Reset Form

DocuSign Envelope ID: A76AC971-7F3E-4E50-A9DD-0CEE2AB3EDA2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

	ECONOMIC IN	IPACI STATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Department of Water Resources	Ryan Bailey	Ryan.Bailey@water.ca.gov	916-873-4669
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations	and assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	e whether this regulation:		
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes	reporting requirements	
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes	prescriptive instead of performance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations		individuals	
d. Impacts California competitiveness		the above (Explain below):	scalimnact Changes are
		rial changes and there is no anticipated fi ify the regulation by improving clarity and	-
	a through g is checked,	, complete this Economic Impact Statement. e Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.	
Department of Water Resour	ces		
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that th	ne economic impact of this regulation (which includes	the fiscal impact) is:
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	is over \$50 million, agencies ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impac</u>	<u>t Assessment</u>
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted	: 0		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	profits): N/A		
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	0	_	
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	ated: 0	eliminated: 0	
Explain: Administrative update impro	ving clarity & resolvii	ng ambiguities; thus no businesses or jobs	should be impacted.
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: \[\infty	Statewide		
	Local or regional (List are	eas):	
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated	I: <u>0</u>	
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	octed: Not Applicable		
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc		ith YES X NO	
If YES, explain briefly:			

DocuSign Envelope ID: A76AC971-7F3E-4E50-A9DD-0CEE2AB3EDA2
STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

Reset Form

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations of	and assumptions in t	he rulemaking record.			
What are the total statewide dollar costs tha	t businesses and indiv	viduals may incur to comply with this regu	ulation over its lifetime? \$ 0		
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$0		Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: 0		
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$0		Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: 0		
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0		Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: 0		
d. Describe other economic costs that may	occur: Not Applic	cable			
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the	e share of total costs f	for each industry: Not Applicable			
3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirem Include the dollar costs to do programming, re-					
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing c	osts? YES	X NO			
	If YES, enter t	he annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$			
Number of units:					
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	YES [× NO			
Explain the need for State regulation given the	ne existence or absen	ce of Federal regulations: MWELO is a	n existing State regulation required for		
the protection of natural resource	es. This update	is necessary to improve clarity	and resolve ambiguities.		
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/	or individuals that m	ay be due to State - Federal differences: \$	0		
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the a	dollar value of benefi	ts is not specifically required by rulemakir	ng law, but encouraged.		
	orker safety and the S	State's environment: <u>e MWELO ame</u> i	ndments are being done to simplify lved parties that are not experts in MWELO.		
2. Are the benefits the result of: X specific st	atutory requirements	s, or goals developed by the agency	based on broad statutory authority?		
Explain:					
3. What are the total statewide benefits from the	nis regulation over its	lifetime? \$ 0			
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses no expansion of business.	currently doing busii	ness within the State of California that wo	uld result from this regulation: There will be		
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION I specifically required by rulemaking law, but		and assumptions in the rulemaking record	l. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not		
1. List alternatives considered and describe the	m below. If no altern	atives were considered, explain why not:	There are no other alternatives to the		
regulation. MWELO's requireme	nts are unique.				

DocuSign Envelope ID: A76AC971-7F3E-4E50-A9DD-0CEE2AB3EDA2
STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

Reset Form

		ECON		51711	EMENT (CO.	(TITTOED)	
Summarize the	total statewide co	osts and benefits	from this regulation a	nd each al	ernative considered	:	
Regulation:	Benefit: \$	0	Cost: \$ 0		_		
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	0	Cost: \$ 0		_		
					_		
Briefly discuss a	ny quantification	issues that are rel	evant to a comparisor		!! - -		
of estimated co	osts and benefits	s for this regulation	on or alternatives:	NOT A	рысаріе		
					es specific		
						res No	
Explain: MWI	ELO follows /	ASABE/ANSI	performance sta	ndards	for all irrigation	n equipment, ho	wever, these are existing
standards i	in the MWEL	Ο.					
MAJOR REGU							
Will the estimat	ed costs of this re	egulation to Califo	ornia business enterpi	ises excee	d \$10 million?	res × NO	
			•	-			
			· ·				
•						was performed:	
							_
_							
(, ittalen adamien.	iai pages iei eailei						
For the regulat	ion, and each alte	ernative just desc	ribed, enter the estim	ated total o	ost and overall cost-	effectiveness ratio:	
Regulation:	Total Cost \$	0	Cost-eff	ectiveness	ratio: \$ <u>0</u>		-
Alternative 1: 7	Fotal Cost \$	0	Cost-eff	ectiveness	ratio: \$ <u>0</u>		-
Alternative 2: 1	Fotal Cost \$	0	Cost-eff	ectiveness	ratio: \$ <u>0</u>		-
exceeding \$50	million in any 12-	month period be	tween the date the m				
YES [X NO						
Briefly describe	the following:						
The increase or	decrease of inve	stment in the Sta	te:	Invest	ment in the St	ate shall remain	the same.
The incentive for	or innovation in r	products materia	Is or processes. The	e is no c	hange to incen	itives for innovat	tion in products, materials.
		saaco, materia	5. p. 5005505. 11101	2.3.10	ge to micel		p. 2 diagon, materially
The benefits of	the regulations, i						Regulation will improve
	Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: Briefly discuss a of estimated concentrations or processed and actions or processed actions or processed and actions or processed acti	Regulation: Benefit: \$	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits Regulation: Benefit: \$ 0 Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 0 Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are rel of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation actions or procedures. Were performance stand explain: MWELO follows ASABE/ANSI standards in the MWELO. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and California Environmental Insubmit the following. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California Environmental Insubmit the following. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California Environmental Insubmit the following. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California Environmental Insubmit the following. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California Environmental Insubmit the following. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California Environmental Insubmit the following. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California Environmental Insubmit the following. Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach additional pages for other alternatives) For the regulation, and each alternative just descreased in the regulation subject to OAL review have an exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period be after the major regulation is estimated to be fully If YES NO If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardiz Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include Briefly describe the following: The increase or decrease of investment in the Standardiz Or processes. The benefits of the regulations, including, but no residents, worker safety, and the state's environment or residents, worker safety, and the state's environment environment in the state's environmen	Regulation: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 0 Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 0 Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 0 Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standard: regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to loe Explain: MWELO follows ASABE/ANSI performance standards in the MWELO. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the California Environmental Protection Agency submit the following (per Health and.) Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprints and the cost of this regulation to California business enterprints. If YES, If Stripping the cost of the regulation and action of alternatives, for which alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach additional pages for other alternatives) For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated action in the regulation: Total Cost \$ 0 Cost-eff Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ 0 Cost-eff Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ 0 Cost-eff Alternative 3: Total Cost \$ 0 Cost-eff Alternative 4: Total Cost \$ 0 Cost-eff Alternative 5: Total Cost \$ 0 Cost-eff Alternative 5: Total Cost \$ 0 Cost-eff Alternative 6: Total Cost \$ 0 Cost-eff Alternative 7: Total Cost \$	Regulation: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 0 Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 0 Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 0 Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 0 Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: Not Agreed to submit a standard costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: Not Agreed to submit a standard costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: Not Agreed to submit a standard costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: Not Agreed to submit a standard considered to lower complex performance standards considered to lower complex performance standards as an alternation of procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower complex performance standards in the MWELO. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemakia. **California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA submit the following (per Health and Safety Complete of this regulation to California business enterprises exceeding the submit of the stimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceeding the submit of the stimated costs of this regulation of alternatives, for which a cost-eact of the submit of the submit of alternatives, for which a cost-eact of the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total of Cost-effectiveness Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ 0 Cost-effectiveness Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ 0 Cost-effectiveness Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ 0 Cost-effectiveness Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to be exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation in in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation in	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered Regulation: Benefit: \$	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 0 Strippy discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: Not Applicable

Print Form Reset Form

ocuSign Envelope ID: A76AC971-7F3E-4E50-A9D STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMEN current year and two subsequent Fiscal Year.		through 6 and attach calculatior	ns and assumptions of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current St (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of t			
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Go	vernor's Budget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current St (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of t	ate Fiscal Year which are NOT re he California Constitution and Se	imbursable by the State. (Approx ections 17500 et seq. of the Gover	imate) rnment Code).
\$			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbur	sable and provide the appropriate	e information:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate co	ntained in ——————		
b. Implements the court mandate set f			Court.
Case of:		vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people			
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific	request from affected local entit	y(s).	
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, i	revenue, etc. from:		
Authorized by Section:		of the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected	l unit of local government which	will, at a minimum, offset any ad	ditional costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the p	penalty for a new crime or infract	ion contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
\$ <u>0</u>			
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulat		bstantive or clarifying changes to c	current law regulations.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation doe	es not affect any local entity or pro	ogram.	
★ 6. Other. Explain Administrative char	nge to MWELO requireme	ents by incorporating Gov	. Code §65596.(e), which has no cost
or benefit, and §65596.(n), which will have neglig	ible costs and benefits be	ecause it is likely already being done.

Print Form Reset Form

DocuSign Envelope ID: A76AC971-7F3E-4E50-A9D STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assigned year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	umptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
🛛 4. Other. Explain Administrative change to MWELO requirements by incorporating Gov. Code	e §65596.(e), which has no cost
or benefit, and §65596.(n), which will have negligible costs and benefits because	e it is likely already being done
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attace impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	h calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain Administrative change to MWELO requirements by incorporating Gov. Code	e §65596.(e), which has no cost
or benefit, and §65596.(n), which will have negligible costs and benefits because	e it is likely already being done
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE 10/16/2023
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections	
he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretar nighest ranking official in the organization.	y must have the form signed by the
	DATE
& Bryan Cash	11/3/2023
inance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Imp	act Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE