Basin/Subbasin	Request Agency	Lead Region Office	Short Description	Modification Type	Recommendation	Regulatory Basis for Denial Article 6	
<u>1-02.01 KLAMATH RIVER VALLEY</u> - TULELAKE	Tulelake Irrigation District	NRO	Tulelake Irrigation District (TID) is exploring a modification to the Tule Lake	Scientific External	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrest
<u>5-04 BIG VALLEY</u>	Lassen County	NRO	Watershed and subwatershed hydrologic unit boundaries form the proposed perimeter	Scientific External	Denied	345.2(c) and (d)	This request did no necessary to suppo included volcanic ro was not provided to alluvial basin, nor w radial groundwater
5-21.52 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - COLUSA, 5-21.51 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - CORNING	Tehama County Flood Control & Water Conservation	NRO	Jurisdictional Consolidation of the Tehama County portion of the Colusa Subbasin	Jurisdiction Consolidation	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea
2-9.04 SANTA CLARA VALLEY - EAST BAY PLAIN, 2-9.01 SANTA CLARA VALLEY - NILES CONE	Alameda County Water District	NCRO	Request to correct the boundary of the Niles Cone Groundwater Basin (Niles Cone	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved, as modified		This request was a to align with the late modification was su affected local agen
<u>3-03.01 GILROY-HOLLISTER</u> VALLEY - LLAGAS AREA	Santa Clara Valley Water District	NCRO	Modify eastern Llagas Subbasin boundary to match extent of water- bearing sediment	Scientific External	Approved		This request was a regulation and provisition studies, local outreast
<u>5-21.60 SACRAMENTO VALLEY -</u> NORTH YUBA	Yuba County Water Agency	NCRO	Subdivision of the North Yuba Subbasin along the Butte-Yuba county line	Jurisdiction Subdivision	Approved, as modified		The modification re subdivision, however Department introdu between 2003 and the North Yuba sub modification submis
5-21.61 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - SOUTH YUBA, 5-21.64 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - NORTH AMERICAN	Placer County	NCRO	Request to adjust the subbasin boundary to align with the Yuba / Placer county	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outres
5-21.67 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - YOLO, 5-21.52 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - COLUSA, 5-21.68 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - CAPAY VALLEY, 5-21.66 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - SOLANO	Yolo County Flood Control And Water Conservation District	NCRO	County Basin Consolidation of four subbasins within Yolo County to existing County	Jurisdiction Internal, Jurisdiction Consolidation	Approved, as modified		The request was an County with additio jurisdictional modifi within Yolo County minor jurisdictional subbasin and coince American subbasin than along hydrolog
5-22.01 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - EASTERN SAN JOAQUIN, 5-22.16 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - COSUMNES	Eastern San Joaquin County Groundwater Basin Authority	NCRO	A boundary modification to merge a portion of the Cosumnes Subbasin into the Ea	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea

### **Summary Draft Decisions**

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

not include sufficient detail and/or required components opport approval of the request. The proposed modification c rock geologic units (not alluvial basin material) and evidence t to substantiate the connection to the porous permeable r were conditions presented that could potentially support er flow as observed in alluvial basins.

s approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

approved with minor modifications to the eastern boundary ateral extent of alluvium. The request for jurisdictional supported by sufficient technical information and necessary encies provided letters in support of the modification.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

request was originally submitted as a jurisdictional ever, during the review of the request it was revealed that the duced a significant error in the basin boundary sometime ad 2014, resulting in a portion of Butte County being applied to subbasin. The Department corrected the error during this mission period.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

approved as a county consolidation of basins within Yolo tional internal jurisdictional modifications. The internal difications included exclusion of some local agency areas ty which remained in the Solano subbasin. There were also hal modifications applied to the eastern edge of the proposed incident boundaries of Sutter, North American and South sins to align the boundary along county boundaries rather logic features.

s approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical treach and/or notification.

Basin/Subbasin	Request Agency	Lead Region Office	Short Description	Modification Type	Recommendation	Regulatory Basis for Denial Article 6	
<u>5-22.15 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY -</u> <u>TRACY</u>	Brentwood City Of	NCRO	Subdivide Tracy Subbasin by counties on scientific and jurisdictional grounds.	Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Subdivision	Denied	345.2(d)	The request did no support was obtain system within the a
5-22.16 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - COSUMNES, 5-21.65 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - SOUTH AMERICAN	Omochumne- Hartnell Water District	NCRO	Merge a portion of the South American Subbasin into the Cosumnes Subbasin.	Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Internal	Denied	345.2 (c) and (g)(4)	This request was s internal modification scientific information therefore it was ev Sacramento Count
<u>3-21 SANTA CRUZ PURISIMA</u> FORMATION, 3-26 WEST SANTA CRUZ TERRACE, 3-01 SOQUEL VALLEY, 3-02 PAJARO VALLEY	Soquel-Aptos Groundwater Management Committee	SCRO	This request is for the basin boundary modifications for the proposed Santa Cru	Scientific External, Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Internal, Jurisdiction Consolidation	Approved		This request was a regulation and pro- studies, local outre
3-21 SANTA CRUZ PURISIMA FORMATION, 3-02 PAJARO VALLEY, 3-04.09 SALINAS VALLEY - LANGLEY AREA, 3- 04.01 SALINAS VALLEY - 180/400 FOOT AQUIFER	Pajaro Valley Water Management Agency	SCRO	A basin boundary modification proposal that reflects current groundwater manage	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved, as modified		This request was a regulation and pro- studies, local outre the southern bound
3-04.06 SALINAS VALLEY - PASO ROBLES AREA	Heritage Ranch Community Service District	SCRO	Modify western boundary of the Paso Robles Groundwater Basin 3-04.06 on the Nac	Scientific External	Denied	345.2(c)	The technical stud agency did not sup alluvial basin. The Paso Robles Form river, shallow alluv clear disconnection
<u>3-04.06 SALINAS VALLEY - PASO</u> ROBLES AREA	Monterey County Water Resources Agency	SCRO	A jurisdictional basin subdivision of the Paso Robles Area Subbasin at the Mont	Jurisdiction Subdivision	Denied	345.2(d)	The request did no support was obtain system within the a
<u>3-04.06 SALINAS VALLEY - PASO</u> ROBLES AREA	Templeton Community Services District	SCRO	Scientific modifications of the Paso Robles Basin justified by Rinconada Fault	Scientific External, Scientific Internal	Approved, as modified		This request incluc approved based or <u>Eastern Boundary</u> Fault serves as ar provided and inclu- infromation suppor flow. <u>Western Boundary</u> Robles Formation. <u>Southern Boundar</u> Santa Margarita Fo

### Summary Draft Decisions

not include the necessary documentation proving that 75% ained as required from all local agency and public water affected subbasin.

s submitted as both a scientific internal and jurisdictional tion. However, the request did not provide necessary tion to meet basin boundary definition requirements, evaluated solely as a jurisdictional modification. Based on inty comment the modification request was denied.

s approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical treach and/or notification.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical treach and/or notification. Minor modifications were made to undary to align with an existing jurisdictional boundary.

udy and supporting information supplied by the requesting upport the removal of the area identified from the defined he connections of the surficial alluvial units and underlying rmation demonstrate a hydraulic connection between the lake, uvial sediments. Information supplied did not demonstrate a ion in the area of the request.

not include the necessary documentation proving that 75% ained as required from all local agency and public water e affected subbasin.

uded three modification areas; only the eastern boundary was on additional scientific evidence:

ry - adequate information demonstrating that the Rinconada an effective hydrogeologic barrier to groundwater flow was duded in package following draft recommendation. This ports the Rinconada Fault serves as a barrier to groudnwater

ary - adequate information was not provided to exclude Paso n.

ary - adequate information was not provided to exclude the Formation.

Basin/Subbasin	Request Agency	Lead Region Office	Short Description	Modification Type	Recommendation	Regulatory Basis for Denial Article 6	
<u>3-04.10 SALINAS VALLEY -</u> <u>CORRAL DE TIERRA AREA, 3-</u> <u>04.08 SALINAS VALLEY -</u> <u>SEASIDE AREA</u>	Monterey Peninsula Water Management District	SCRO	Basin boundary modification request to formally recognize the adjudicated Seaside	Scientific External	Approved, as modified		This request was in changed to a scient supporting the adju original Seaside sul subbasin due to sin
<u>3-08 LOS OSOS VALLEY</u>	San Luis Obispo County	SCRO	Boundary modification for the Los Osos Valley Groundwater Basin (3-8) in San Lu	Scientific External	Denied	345.2 (c), (d), (f), and (h)	This request include based on a lack of s not adequately char alluvial material. Sp adding estuary area of a basin. For the clear hydrogeologic as observed on cro request did not inclu Adequate information would result in crea
<u>3-21 SANTA CRUZ PURISIMA</u> FORMATION, 3-50 FELTON AREA, 3-27 SCOTTS VALLEY	Scotts Valley Water District	SCRO	Define the extent of an expanded and renamed Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin	Scientific External, Jurisdiction Internal	Approved, as modified		The request was a supporting docume In addition, adminis boundaries.
<u>5-22.05 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY -</u> CHOWCHILLA	Chowchilla Water District	SCRO	Adjust boundary to conform to CWD sphere of influence boundary, CWD boundary an	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a an affected agency
5-22.06 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - MADERA, 5-22.05 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - CHOWCHILLA	New Stone Water District	SCRO	Jurisdictional internal modifications bring New Stone WD fully within the Made	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea
5-22.06 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - MADERA, 5-22.07 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - DELTA-MENDOTA	Aliso Water District	SCRO	Extend the boundary of the Delta- Mendota Subbasin (5-22.07) to include all of A	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea
KINGS, 5-22.06 SAN JOAQUIN	San Luis & Delta- Mendota Water Authority	SCRO	Jurisdictional Internal Modification to accommodate bifurcated entities.	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea
<u>5-22.08 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY -</u> <u>KINGS</u>	Kings River Conservation District	SCRO	Modify the subbasin boundary to correct small segments that divide various local	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea

### Summary Draft Decisions

s initially submitted as a jurisdictional subdivision, but was entific external modification based upon the USGS study djudication of the Seaside area. The remaining portions of the subbasin were incorporated into the Corral De Tierra similar hydrogeologic properties.

uded three separate modifications which were all denied of scientific evidence. The scientific information provided did haracterize areas outside of the proposed basin as non Specifically for the western boundary, the request included reas into the basin which does not meet the alluvial definition he eastern boundary the request was denied as there is a gical connection across the proposed hydrogeologic barrier cross-sections within the area. For the southern boundary the nclude sufficient evidence of a clear hydrogeological barrier. ation was not provided to exclude the southern area which reation of unmanaged areas.

s approved with modifications and included the necessary nentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification. nistrative edits were made to align to adjacent basin

approved as submitted following withdrawl of opposition by cy, based on the formation of an inter-basin agreement.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical rreach and/or notification.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the ovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

Basin/Subbasin	Request Agency	Lead Region Office	Short Description	Modification Type	Recommendation	Regulatory Basis for Denial Article 6	
<u>5-22.09 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY -</u> <u>WESTSIDE</u>	Westlands Water District	SCRO	Request a jurisdictional internal modification to the Westside Subbasin Boundary	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved, as modified		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea were made to align
5-22.14 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - KERN COUNTY, 5-22.10 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - PLEASANT VALLEY	Devils Den Water District	SCRO	Internal Jurisdictional modification to reassign a portion of Basin No. 5-22.14	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved, as modified		This request was a regulation and prov studies, and local o were made to align
<u>5-22.09 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY -</u> <u>WESTSIDE, 5-22.10 SAN</u> JOAQUIN VALLEY - PLEASANT VALLEY	Pleasant Valley Water District	SCRO	Subdivide Pleasant Valley Basin (5- 22.10) at the Fresno County Line, and modify	Scientific External, Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Subdivision	Approved, as modified		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea public water system County line. In add basin boundaries.
<u>5-22.12 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY -</u> <u>TULARE LAKE, 5-22.11 SAN</u> JOAQUIN VALLEY - KAWEAH	Corcoran Irrigation District	SCRO	Modify subbasin boundary(5-22.12 and 5-22.11) to follow the Kings-Tulare Count	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea
<u>5-22.12 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY -</u> TULARE LAKE	Kings River Conservation District	SCRO	Modify the subbasin boundary to correct small segments that divide various local	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved, as modified		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea were made to align
<u>5-22.12 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY -</u> TULARE LAKE	Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District	SCRO	Clarify the narrative description of the Tulare Lake Subbasin boundary.	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea
<u>5-22.13 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY -</u> TULE, 5-22.14 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - KERN COUNTY	Delano-Earlimart Irrigation District	SCRO	Delano Earlimart Irrigation District Internal Jurisdictional Boundary Modification	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea
<u>5-22.14 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY -</u> <u>KERN COUNTY</u>	Olcese Water District	SCRO	Scientific internal request to subdivide the Kern County Subbasin (5-22.14)	Scientific Internal	Denied	345.2(c)	The request did not hydrogeologic barri subbasin. The sout barrier to groundwa becomes the main than the Olcese Fo water within the Olc representing a true
<u>5-22.14 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY -</u> KERN COUNTY	Tejon-Castac Water District	SCRO	Scientific request to subdivide the Kern County Subbasin (5-22.14) based on a h		Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outrea

### **Summary Draft Decisions**

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification. In addition, administrative edits gn to adjacent basin boundaries.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical al outreach and notification. In addition, administrative edits gn to adjacent basin boundaries.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical rreach and/or notification. The required 75% local agency and em support was met to subdivide the basin along the Fresno addition, administrative edits were made to align to adjacent s.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the ovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification. In addition, administrative edits gn to adjacent basin boundaries.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the ovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

not provide sufficient scientific information demonstrating a arrier to the south and southwest of the proposed Olcese buthern barrier does not appear to be a hydrogeological water flow, but rather where the Santa Margarita Formation in water-bearing formation in the Kern County subbasin rather Formation. The southwest barrier is where the formation Olcese Formation becomes brackish for use rather than ue hydrogeologic barrier preventing groundwater flow.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

Basin/Subbasin	Request Agency	Lead Region Office	Short Description	Modification Type	Recommendation	Regulatory Basis for Denial Article 6	
<u>3-13 CUYAMA VALLEY</u>	Santa Barbara County Water Agency	SRO	Boundary modification for the Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin (3-13) in Santa B	Scientific External, Scientific Internal	Denied	345.2(c)	The provided techn the modifications to 1) it was not demor groundwater flow a 2) the external bou consistently follow alluvial basin defini
<u>3-14 SAN ANTONIO CREEK</u> VALLEY	Santa Barbara County Water Agency	SRO	Boundary modification for the San Antonio Creek Groundwater Basin in Santa Barb	Scientific External, Jurisdiction Internal	Approved, as modified		The request was an studies from the US eastern corner to ir
<u>3-49 MONTECITO, 3-18</u> CARPINTERIA	Carpinteria Valley Water District	SRO	Revise External boundary of The Carpinteria Groundwater Basin on the west end t	Scientific External	Denied	345.2(c)	The request did no Canyon area is cor requesting agency support was not de
<u>3-53 FOOTHILL</u>	Santa Barbara City Of	SRO	External Scientific revision to modify the northern boundary of the Foothill basin	Scientific External	Approved, as modified		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outre with minor modifica basin.
4-02 OJAI VALLEY	Ojai Basin Groundwater Management Agency	SRO	To modify Bulletin 118 boundary of the Ojai Basin to be consistent with the geo	Scientific External	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outre
4-08 LAS POSAS VALLEY, 4-06 PLEASANT VALLEY, 4-4.02 SANTA CLARA RIVER VALLEY - OXNARD		SRO	Las Posas Valley Basin (4-08) western (internal jurisdictional) and portion of	Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outre
<u>4-3.01 VENTURA RIVER VALLEY - UPPER VENTURA RIVER</u>	Ventura River Water District	SRO	This is a scientific boundary revision reflecting updated geologic science.	Scientific External, Scientific Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outre
4-4.07 SANTA CLARA RIVER VALLEY - SANTA CLARA RIVER VALLEY EAST	Castaic Lake Water Agency	SRO	External boundary modification of the Santa Clara River Valley East sub basin (	Scientific External	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outre
<u>6-12 OWENS VALLEY</u>	Inyo County Water Department	SRO	This is a request for a scientific internal modification to the Owens Valley Ba	Scientific Internal	Denied, as Modified	345.2(c)	The request did no subdivision at the M associated geologic groundwater flow. previously identified Valley.

### Summary Draft Decisions

hnical information did not adequately demonstrate support for to the basin boundaries due to:

nonstrated that the Russel Fault is a hydrogeologic barrier to adequate to subdivide the basin, and

bundary modifications described in the USGS report did not w geologic contacts used to define units consistent with the inition.

approved to reflect more detailed geologic mapping and USGS. Administrate edits were also made at the north include alluvial material.

not provide scientific information demonstrating that the Toro connected to the Carpinteria or Montecito basins. The cy changed request type, but local public water system demonstrated.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification. The request was approved because ications to reflect more recent geological mapping of the

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical reach and/or notification.

approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical rreach and/or notification.

not provide adequate scientific information to support basin e Mono / Inyo County line. The observed gravity anomaly and gic structure does not demonstrate a hydrogeologic barrier to *i*. In addition, administrative edits were made to include a ied B-118 basin, "Fish Slough", as a subbasin to Owens

Basin/Subbasin	Request Agency	Lead Region Office	Short Description	Modification Type	Recommendation	Regulatory Basis for Denial Article 6	
7-21.01 COACHELLA VALLEY - INDIO	Mission Springs Water District	SRO	MSWD would like to have the Garnet Hill Subbasin be added as a Bulletin 118 Sub	Scientific Internal	Incomplete	345.2(a)(4) and (d)	The request did no modification. Speci illustrating that the and coordination fo
7-24 BORREGO VALLEY	Borrego Water District	SRO	Boundary modification for the Borrego Valley Groundwater Basin (7-24).	Scientific Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and pro- studies, local outre
<u>8-01 COASTAL PLAIN OF</u> ORANGE COUNTY	La Habra City Of	SRO	Basin modification to Basin 8-1 to establish the La Habra Groundwater Basin.	Scientific External, Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Internal	Incomplete	345.2(d)	The request did no modification and w
<u>8-01 COASTAL PLAIN OF</u> ORANGE COUNTY	Orange County Water District	SRO	Revise the extboundaries of Basin 8- 1 to be consistent with the written description	Scientific External	Approved, as modified		The request was a mapping information Orange County Ba included administra northwest corner of (subbasin) of the C
<u>8-02.01 UPPER SANTA ANA</u> VALLEY - CHINO	Inland Empire Utilities Agency	SRO	Modify the Chino Subbasin (8-02.01) boundary to align more closely with the 197	Scientific External, Jurisdiction Internal	Approved, as modified		The request was a the basin boundary
8-02.03 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - RIVERSIDE- ARLINGTON	Western Municipal Water District Of Riverside	SRO	Define and identify the non-adjudicated areas of the Riverside-Arlington subbasin	Jurisdiction Internal	Denied	345.2(d)	The request did no Jurisdictional Intern with a Jurisdictional Jurisdictional Subo water system supp adjacent subbasine changes to the boo
8-02.07 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - YUCAIPA, 8-02.06 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - BUNKER HILL, 8-02.04 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - RIALTO- COLTON, 8-02.03 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - RIVERSIDE- ARLINGTON	San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District	SRO	Align local basin boundaries with existing defined adjudicated basin boundaries	Jurisdiction Internal	Approved, as modified		The request was a Modifications inclu consolidated rock.
<u>8-02.08 UPPER SANTA ANA</u> VALLEY - SAN TIMOTEO	Yucaipa Valley Water District	SRO	The proposed El Casco Basin is a jurisdictional modification of the San Timoteo	Jurisdiction Internal	Incomplete	345.2(d)	The request did no modification and it

### Summary Draft Decisions

not include the necessary required information to evaluate this becifically, the request did not include the documentation the requesting agency conducted the appropriate notification of for a scientific internal type of modification.

s approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical treach and/or notification.

not include the necessary required information to evaluate this was deemed incomplete.

approved to reflect more recent and detailed geologic ation within the Orange County portion of the Coastal Plain of Basin with additional modifications. The additional modification atrative edits consisting of the removal of alluvium in the of the basin which were incorporated into the Central Basin a Coastal Plain of Los Angeles.

approved with minor modifications to maintain alignment of ary with the extent of the alluvium.

not include all the required information necessary to perform a ernal modification. A portion of the request was inconsistent nal Internal modification but would have consisted of a bdivision which requires additional local agency and public pport. Note: approved basin boundary modifications to ins (Chino and Rialto-Colton) have, by default, resulted in boundaries shared with Riverside-Arlington subbasin.

approved based on more detailed geologic mapping. luded adding alluvial areas and excluding areas of k.

not include the necessary required information to evaluate this it was deemed incomplete.

Basin/Subbasin	Request Agency	Lead Region Office	Short Description	Modification Type	Recommendation	Regulatory Basis for Denial Article 6	
<u>8-04 ELSINORE, 8-02.09 UPPER</u> SANTA ANA VALLEY - TEMESCAL	Corona City Of	SRO	Partition the Elsinore Basin in two basins and align boundaries of both basins	Scientific External, Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Internal	Approved		This request was a regulation and prov studies, local outre
<u>8-05 SAN JACINTO</u>	Eastern Municipal Water District	SRO	Extend the boundary in the southwestern portion of the basin to include additional	Scientific External	Approved, as modified		Modifications proper approved. However was determined to inclusion as basin revised based on n
<u>9-07 SAN LUIS REY VALLEY</u>	City of Oceanside	SRO	Two boundary modifications for the San Luis Rey Valley Groundwater Basin in San	Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Internal	Denied	345.2(c)	The request did no hydrogeologic barri
<u>9-19 TIA JUANA, 9-17</u> <u>SWEETWATER VALLEY, 9-18</u> <u>OTAY VALLEY</u>	San Diego City Of	SRO	Scientific-based modification to include the entire San Diego Formation as the 	Scientific External	Approved, as modified		The request was a geologic extent of t basin. The extent Diego Bay. This m basin.

## Summary Draft Decisions

s approved because it met the technical requirements of the rovided the necessary supporting documentation, technical treach and/or notification.

pposed in the Domenigoni Valley portion of the basin were ever, a portion proposed as basin south of Domenigoni Valley to not have sufficient thickness of alluvial deposits to support in area. In addition, portions of the San Jacinto basin were in newer and more detailed geological mapping.

not provide sufficient scientific information demonstrating a arrier preventing groundwater flow.

approved and modified to include areas representing the of the main aquifer consistent with the definition of an alluvial nt of the basin was modified to follow the edge of the San modification consolidates contiguous basin material into one