## Table 1. 2016 Final Basin Boundary Modifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin/Subbasin</th>
<th>Request Agency</th>
<th>Lead Region Office</th>
<th>Short Description</th>
<th>Modification Type</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Regulatory Basis for Denial</th>
<th>Summary Draft Decisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-02.01 KLAMATH RIVER VALLEY - TULELAKE</td>
<td>Tulare County Flood Control &amp; Water Management District</td>
<td>NRO</td>
<td>Tulare County Flood Control &amp; Water Management District (TFC) is exploring a modification to the Tulare Lake...</td>
<td>Scientific External</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Article 6</td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.04 BIG VALLEY</td>
<td>Lassen County</td>
<td>NRO</td>
<td>Watershed and subwatershed hydrologic unit boundaries form the proposed perimeter...</td>
<td>Scientific External</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>345.2(c) and (d)</td>
<td>This request did not include sufficient detail and/or required components necessary to support approval of the request. The proposed modification included volcanic rock geologic units (not alluvial basin material) and evidence was not provided to substantiate the connection to the porous permeable alluvial basin, nor were conditions presented that could potentially support radial groundwater flow as observed in alluvial basins.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-21.52 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - COLUSA, 5-21.57 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - CORNING</td>
<td>Tehama County Flood Control &amp; Water Conservation District</td>
<td>NRO</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Consolidation of the Tehama County portion of the Colusa Subbasin...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Consolidation</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-21.04 SANTA CLARA VALLEY - EAST BAY PLAIN, 2-9.01 SANTA CLARA VALLEY - NILES CONE</td>
<td>Alameda County Water District</td>
<td>NCRO</td>
<td>Request to correct the boundary of the Niles Cone Groundwater Basin (Niles Cone)...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved with minor modifications to the eastern boundary to align with the lateral extent of alluvium. The request for jurisdictional modification was supported by sufficient technical information and necessary affected local agencies provided letters in support of the modification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20.01 GILROY-HOLLISTER VALLEY - LLAGAS AREA</td>
<td>Santa Clara County Flood Control &amp; Water Management District</td>
<td>NCRO</td>
<td>Modify eastern Llagas Subbasin boundary to match extent of water-bearing sediment...</td>
<td>Scientific External</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-21.60 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - NORTH YUBA</td>
<td>Yuba County Water Agency</td>
<td>NCRO</td>
<td>Subdivision of the North Yuba Subbasin along the Butte-Yuba county line</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Subdivision</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>The modification request was originally submitted as a jurisdictional subdivision, however, during the review of the request it was revealed that the Department introduced a significant error in the basin boundary sometime between 2003 and 2014, resulting in a portion of Butte County being applied to the North Yuba subbasin. The Department corrected the error during this modification submission period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-21.61 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - SOUTH YUBA, 5-21.64 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - NORTH AMERICAN</td>
<td>Placer County</td>
<td>NCRO</td>
<td>Request to adjust the subbasin boundary to align with the Yuba / Placer county...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-21.67 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - YOLO, 5-21.52 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - COLUSA, 5-21.68 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - CAPAY VALLEY, 5-21.66 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - SOLANO</td>
<td>Yolo County Flood Control And Water Conservation District</td>
<td>NCRO</td>
<td>County Basin Consolidation of four subbasins within Yolo County to existing County...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Consolidation</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>The request was approved as a county consolidation of basins within Yolo County with additional internal jurisdictional modifications. The internal jurisdictional modifications included exclusion of some local agency areas within Yolo County which remained in the Solano subbasin. There were also minor jurisdictional modifications applied to the eastern edge of the proposed subbasin and coincident boundaries of Sutter, North American and South American subbasins to align the boundary along county boundaries rather than along hydrologic features.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.01 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - EASTERN SAN JOAQUIN, 5-22.16 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - COSUMNES</td>
<td>Eastern San Joaquin County Groundwater Basin Authority</td>
<td>NCRO</td>
<td>A boundary modification to merge a portion of the Cosumnes Subbasin into the Es...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basin/Subbasin</td>
<td>Request Agency</td>
<td>Lead Region Office</td>
<td>Short Description</td>
<td>Modification Type</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Regulatory Basis for Denial</td>
<td>Summary Draft Decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.15 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - TRACY</td>
<td>Brentwood City Of NCRO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subdivide Tracy Subbasin by counties on scientific and jurisdictional grounds.</td>
<td>Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Subdivision</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>345.2(d)</td>
<td>The request did not include the necessary documentation proving that 75% support was obtained as required from all local agency and public water system within the affected subbasin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.16 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - COSUMNES, 5-21.65 SACRAMENTO VALLEY - SOUTH AMERICAN</td>
<td>Omochumne-Hartnell Water District NCRO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Merge a portion of the South American Subbasin into the Cosumnes Subbasin.</td>
<td>Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>345.2(c) and (g)(4)</td>
<td>This request was submitted as both a scientific internal and jurisdictional internal modification. However, the request did not provide necessary scientific information to meet basin boundary definition requirements, therefore it was evaluated solely as a jurisdictional modification. Based on Sacramento County comment the modification request was denied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21 SANTA CRUZ PURISIMA FORMATION, 3-04.06 SALINAS VALLEY - PASO ROBLES AREA</td>
<td>Soquel-Aptos Groundwater Management Committee SCRO</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request is for the basin boundary modifications for the proposed Santa Cruz...</td>
<td>Scientific External, Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Internal Jurisdiction Consolidation</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21 SANTA CRUZ PURISIMA FORMATION, 3-04.06 SALINAS VALLEY - PASO ROBLES AREA</td>
<td>Pajaro Valley Water Management Agency SCRO</td>
<td></td>
<td>A basin boundary modification proposal that reflects current groundwater...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification. Minor modifications were made to the southern boundary to align with an existing jurisdictional boundary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-04.06 SALINAS VALLEY - PASO ROBLES AREA</td>
<td>Heritage Ranch Community Service District SCRO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Modify western boundary of the Paso Robles Groundwater Basin 3-04.06 on the Nac...</td>
<td>Scientific External</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>345.2(c)</td>
<td>The technical study and supporting information supplied by the requesting agency did not support the removal of the area identified from the defined alluvial basin. The connections of the surficial alluvial units and underlying Paso Robles Formation demonstrate a hydraulic connection between the lake, river, shallow alluvial sediments. Information supplied did not demonstrate a clear disconnection in the area of the request.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-04.06 SALINAS VALLEY - PASO ROBLES AREA</td>
<td>Monterey County Water Resources Agency SCRO</td>
<td></td>
<td>A jurisdictional basin subdivision of the Paso Robles Area Subbasin at the Mont...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Subdivision</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>345.2(d)</td>
<td>The request did not include the necessary documentation proving that 75% support was obtained as required from all local agency and public water system within the affected subbasin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-04.06 SALINAS VALLEY - PASO ROBLES AREA</td>
<td>Templeton Community Services District SCRO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scientific modifications of the Paso Robles Basin justified by Rinconada Fault...</td>
<td>Scientific External, Scientific Internal</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request included three modification areas; only the eastern boundary was approved based on additional scientific evidence: Eastern Boundary - adequate information demonstrating that the Rinconada Fault serves as an effective hydrogeologic barrier to groundwater flow was provided and included in package following draft recommendation. This information supports the Rinconada Fault serves as a barrier to groundwater flow. Western Boundary - adequate information was not provided to exclude Paso Robles Formation. Southern Boundary - adequate information was not provided to exclude the Santa Margarita Formation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basin/Subbasin</td>
<td>Request Agency</td>
<td>Lead Region Office</td>
<td>Short Description</td>
<td>Modification Type</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Regulatory Basis for Denial</td>
<td>Summary Draft Decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-04.10 SALINAS VALLEY - CORRAL DE TIERRA AREA, 3-04.08 SALINAS VALLEY - SEASIDE AREA</td>
<td>Monterey Peninsula Water Management District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Basin boundary modification request to formally recognize the adjudicated Seaside...</td>
<td>Scientific External</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was initially submitted as a jurisdictional subdivision, but was changed to a scientific external modification based upon the USGS study supporting the adjudication of the Seaside area. The remaining portions of the original Seaside subbasin were incorporated into the Corral De Tierra subbasin due to similar hydrogeologic properties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-08 LOS OOSOS VALLEY</td>
<td>San Luis Obispo County</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Boundary modification for the Los Osos Valley Groundwater Basin (3-8) in San Lu...</td>
<td>Scientific External</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>345.2 (c), (d), (f), and (h)</td>
<td>This request included three separate modifications which were all denied based on a lack of scientific evidence. The scientific information provided did not adequately characterize areas outside of the proposed basin as non alluvial material. Specifically for the western boundary, the request included adding estuary areas into the basin which does not meet the alluvial definition of a basin. For the eastern boundary the request was denied as there is a clear hydrogeological connection across the proposed hydrogeologic barrier as observed on cross-sections within the area. For the southern boundary the request did not include sufficient evidence of a clear hydrogeologic barrier. Adequate information was not provided to exclude the southern area which would result in creation of unmanaged areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21 SANTA CRUZ PURISIMA FORMATION, 3-50 FELTON AREA, 3-27 SCOTTS VALLEY</td>
<td>Scotts Valley Water District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Define the extent of an expanded and renamed Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin ...</td>
<td>Scientific External, Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>The request was approved with modifications and included the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification. In addition, administrative edits were made to align to adjacent basin boundaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.09 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - CHOWCHILLA</td>
<td>Chowchilla Water District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Adjust boundary to conform to CWD sphere of influence boundary, CWD boundary an...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved as submitted following withdrawal of opposition by an affected agency, based on the formation of an inter-basin agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.06 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - MADERA, 5-22.05 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - CHOWCHILLA</td>
<td>New Stone Water District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Jurisdictional internal modifications bring New Stone WD fully within the Made...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.06 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - MADERA, 5-22.07 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - DELTA-MENDOTA</td>
<td>Aliso Water District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Extend the boundary of the Delta-Mendota Subbasin (5-22.07) to include all of A...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.08 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - KINGS, 5-22.06 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - MADERA, 5-22.09 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - WESTSIDE, 5-22.07 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - DELTA-MENDOTA, 5-22.15 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - TRACY</td>
<td>San Luis &amp; Delta-Mendota Water Authority</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Jurisdictional Internal Modification to accommodate bifurcated entities.</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.08 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - KINGS</td>
<td>Kings River Conservation District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Modify the subbasin boundary to correct small segments that divide various local...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 1. 2016 Final Basin Boundary Modifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin/Subbasin</th>
<th>Request Agency</th>
<th>Lead Region Office</th>
<th>Short Description</th>
<th>Modification Type</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Regulatory Basis for Denial</th>
<th>Summary Draft Decisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-22.09 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - WESTSIDE</td>
<td>Westlands Water District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Request a jurisdictional internal modification to the Westside Subbasin Boundary...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td>Article 6</td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification. In addition, administrative edits were made to align to adjacent basin boundaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.11 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - KERN COUNTY, 5-22.10 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - PLEASANT VALLEY</td>
<td>Devils Den Water District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Internal Jurisdictional modification to reassign a portion of Basin No. 5-22.14...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td>Article 6</td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, and local outreach and notification. In addition, administrative edits were made to align to adjacent basin boundaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.09 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - WESTSIDE</td>
<td>Pleasant Valley Water District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Subdivide Pleasant Valley Basin (5-22.10) at the Fresno County Line, and modify...</td>
<td>Scientific External, Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Subdivision</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td>Article 6</td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, and local outreach and notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.12 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - TULARE LAKE, 5-22.11 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - KAWEAH</td>
<td>Corcoran Irrigation District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Modify subbasin boundary(5-22.12 and 5-22.11) to follow the Kings-Tulare Count...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Article 6</td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, and local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.12 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - TULARE LAKE</td>
<td>Kings River Conservation District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Modify the subbasin boundary to correct small segments that divide various local...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td>Article 6</td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, and local outreach and/or notification. In addition, administrative edits were made to align to adjacent basin boundaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.12 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - TULARE LAKE</td>
<td>Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Clarify the narrative description of the Tulare Lake Subbasin boundary.</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Article 6</td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, and local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.13 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - TULE, 5-22.14 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - KERN COUNTY</td>
<td>Delano-Earlimart Irrigation District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Delano Earlimart Irrigation District Internal Jurisdictional Boundary Modification...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Article 6</td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, and local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.14 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - KERN COUNTY</td>
<td>Olesce Water District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Scientific internal request to subdivide the Kern County Subbasin (5-22.14)</td>
<td>Scientific Internal</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>345.2(c)</td>
<td>The request did not provide sufficient scientific information demonstrating a hydrogeologic barrier to groundwater flow, but rather where the Santa Margarita Formation becomes the main water-bearing formation in the Kern County subbasin rather than the Olcese Formation. The southwest barrier is where the formation water within the Olcese Formation becomes brackish for use rather than representing a true hydrogeologic barrier preventing groundwater flow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-22.14 SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY - KERN COUNTY</td>
<td>Tejon-Castac Water District</td>
<td>SCRO</td>
<td>Scientific request to subdivide the Kern County Subbasin (5-22.14) based on a...</td>
<td>Scientific Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Article 6</td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, and local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basin/Subbasin</td>
<td>Request Agency</td>
<td>Lead Region Office</td>
<td>Short Description</td>
<td>Modification Type</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Regulatory Basis for Denial Article 6</td>
<td>Summary Draft Decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-13 CUYAMA VALLEY</td>
<td>Santa Barbara County Water Agency</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Boundary modification for the Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin (3-13) in Santa B...</td>
<td>Scientific</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>345.2(c)</td>
<td>The provided technical information did not adequately demonstrate support for the modifications to the basin boundaries due to: 1) it was not demonstrated that the Russel Fault is a hydrogeologic barrier to groundwater flow adequate to subdivide the basin, and 2) the external boundary modifications described in the USGS report did not consistently follow geologic contacts used to define units consistent with the alluvial basin definition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-14 SAN ANTONIO CREEK VALLEY</td>
<td>Santa Barbara County Water Agency</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Boundary modification for the San Antonio Creek Groundwater Basin in Santa Barb...</td>
<td>Scientific</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>The request was approved to reflect more detailed geologic mapping and studies from the USGS. Administrative edits were also made at the north eastern corner to include alluvial material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-49 MONTECITO, 3-18 CARPINTERIA</td>
<td>Carpinteria Valley Water District</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Revise External boundary of The Carpinteria Groundwater Basin on the west end l...</td>
<td>Scientific</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>345.2(c)</td>
<td>The request did not provide scientific information demonstrating that the Toro Canyon area is connected to the Carpinteria or Monteeco basins. The requesting agency changed request type, but local public water system support was not demonstrated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-53 FOOTHILL</td>
<td>Santa Barbara City Of</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>External Scientific revision to modify the northern boundary of the Foothill basi...</td>
<td>Scientific</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification. This request was approved because with minor modifications to reflect more recent geological mapping of the basin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-02 OJAI VALLEY</td>
<td>Ojai Basin Groundwater Management Agency</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>To modify Bulletin 118 boundary of the Ojai Basin to be consistent with the geob...</td>
<td>Scientific</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-08 LAS POSAS VALLEY, 4-06 PLEASANT VALLEY, 4-02 SANTA CLARA RIVER VALLEY - OXNARD</td>
<td>Fox Canyon (GMA)</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Las Posas Valley Basin (4-08) western (internal jurisdictional) and portion of ...</td>
<td>Scientific</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-3.01 VENTURA RIVER VALLEY - UPPER VENTURA RIVER</td>
<td>Ventura River Water District</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>This is a scientific boundary revision reflecting updated geologic science.</td>
<td>Scientific</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-4.07 SANTA CLARA RIVER VALLEY - SANTA CLARA RIVER VALLEY EAST</td>
<td>Castaic Lake Water Agency</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>External boundary modification of the Santa Clara River Valley East sub basin (...</td>
<td>Scientific</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 OWENS VALLEY</td>
<td>Inyo County Water Department</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>This is a request for a scientific internal modification to the Owens Valley Bas...</td>
<td>Scientific</td>
<td>Denied, as Modified</td>
<td>345.2(c)</td>
<td>The request did not provide adequate scientific information to support basin subdivision at the Mono / Inyo County line. The observed gravity anomaly and associated geologic structure does not demonstrate a hydrogeologic barrier to groundwater flow. In addition, administrative edits were made to include a previously identified B-118 basin, &quot;Fish Slough&quot;, as a subbasin to Owens Valley.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basin/Subbasin</td>
<td>Request Agency</td>
<td>Lead Region Office</td>
<td>Short Description</td>
<td>Modification Type</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Regulatory Basis for Denial Article 6</td>
<td>Summary Draft Decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-21.01 COACHELLA VALLEY - INDIO</td>
<td>Mission Springs Water District</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>MSWD would like to have the Garnet Hill Subbasin be added as a Bulletin 118 Sub...</td>
<td>Scientific Internal</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>345.2(b)(4) and (d)</td>
<td>The request did not include the necessary required information to evaluate this modification. Specifically, the request did not include the documentation illustrating that the requesting agency conducted the appropriate notification and coordination for a scientific internal type of modification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-24 BORREGO VALLEY</td>
<td>Borrego Water District</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Boundary modification for the Borrego Valley Groundwater Basin (7-24).</td>
<td>Scientific Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-01 COASTAL PLAIN OF ORANGE COUNTY</td>
<td>La Habra City Of Orange County Water District</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Basin modification to Basin 8-1 to establish the La Habra Groundwater Basin.</td>
<td>Scientific External, Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>345.2(d)</td>
<td>The request did not include the necessary required information to evaluate this modification and was deemed incomplete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-02 01 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - CHINO</td>
<td>Inland Empire Utilities Agency</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Modify the Chino Subbasin (8-02.01) boundary to align more closely with the 197...</td>
<td>Scientific External, Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>The request was approved with minor modifications to maintain alignment of the basin boundary with the extent of the alluvium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-02 03 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - RIVERSIDE- ARLINGTON</td>
<td>Western Municipal Water District Of Riverside</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Define and identify the non-adjudicated areas of the Riverside-Arlington subbasin...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>345.2(d)</td>
<td>The request did not include all the required information necessary to perform a Jurisdictional Internal modification. A portion of the request was inconsistent with a Jurisdictional Internal modification but would have consisted of a Jurisdictional Subdivision which requires additional local agency and public water system support. Note: approved basin boundary modifications to adjacent subbasins (Chino and Rialto-Colton) have, by default, resulted in changes to the boundaries shared with Riverside-Arlington subbasin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-02 07 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - YUCAIPA, 8-02 06 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - BUNKER HILL, 8-02 04 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - RIALTO-COLTON, 8-02 03 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - RIVERSIDE- ARLINGTON</td>
<td>San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Align local basin boundaries with existing defined adjudicated basin boundaries...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>The request was approved based on more detailed geologic mapping. Modifications included adding alluvial areas and excluding areas of consolidated rock.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-02 08 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - SAN TIMOTEO</td>
<td>Yucaipa Valley Water District</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>The proposed El Casco Basin is a jurisdictional modification of the San Timolaeo...</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>345.2(d)</td>
<td>The request did not include the necessary required information to evaluate this modification and it was deemed incomplete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basin/Subbasin</td>
<td>Request Agency</td>
<td>Lead Region Office</td>
<td>Short Description</td>
<td>Modification Type</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Regulatory Basis for Denial Article 6</td>
<td>Summary Draft Decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-04 ELSINORE, 8-02.09 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY - TEMESCAL</td>
<td>Corona City Of SRO</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Partition the Elsinore Basin in two basins and align boundaries of both basins ...</td>
<td>Scientific External, Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
<td>This request was approved because it met the technical requirements of the regulation and provided the necessary supporting documentation, technical studies, local outreach and/or notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-05 SAN JACINTO</td>
<td>Eastern Municipal Water District</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Extend the boundary in the southwestern portion of the basin to include additional...</td>
<td>Scientific External</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>Modifications proposed in the Domenigoni Valley portion of the basin were approved. However, a portion proposed as basin south of Domenigoni Valley was determined to not have sufficient thickness of alluvial deposits to support inclusion as basin area. In addition, portions of the San Jacinto basin were revised based on newer and more detailed geological mapping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-07 SAN LUIS REY VALLEY</td>
<td>City of Oceanside</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Two boundary modifications for the San Luis Rey Valley Groundwater Basin in San...</td>
<td>Scientific Internal, Jurisdiction Internal</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>345.2(c)</td>
<td>The request did not provide sufficient scientific information demonstrating a hydrogeologic barrier preventing groundwater flow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-19 TIA JUANA, 9-17 SWEETWATER VALLEY, 9-18 OTAY VALLEY</td>
<td>San Diego City Of</td>
<td>SRO</td>
<td>Scientific-based modification to include the entire San Diego Formation as the ...</td>
<td>Scientific External</td>
<td>Approved, as modified</td>
<td></td>
<td>The request was approved and modified to include areas representing the geologic extent of the main aquifer consistent with the definition of an alluvial basin. The extent of the basin was modified to follow the edge of the San Diego Bay. This modification consolidates contiguous basin material into one basin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>