

From: [Felice Pace](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Scoping comment for proposed Delta Conveyance
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 10:42:06 AM

For the record:

Yurok Tribe member Georgiana Gensaw said it best: " "We are not dumb ... we know what that tunnel is coming for. We are Indians. We know how things work. If there's a road, a highway is coming next ... we see things down the line," Gensaw said."

The proposed Delta Conveyance serves the interests of Big Ag and, if built, will materially damages the interests of those who depend on salmon and healthy Rivers. The Taxpayers don't want to subsidize Big Ag any more. The EIR MUST:

1. Fully describe potential future actions that will be necessary to feed the "conveyance" (to use its capacity) including under climate change scenarios.
2. Fully disclose who the conveyance will benefit and who it will hurt both economically and culturally. The dollar value of those costs and benefits should be disclosed.
3. The EIR must fully analyze and disclose the extent to which water from the Klamath-Trinity River Basin would be needed to meet the "conveyances" water transport capacity.
4. The EIR must fully analyze and disclose alternatives to the Delta Conveyance in order to balance water supply and demand in areas south of the Delta, including reducing the acreage farmed which has been irresponsibly increased by corporate farms via vastly expanded tree plantings. Disclose how water demand south of the Delta has increased over the last 20 years and by which crops and by which major corporations. Please display an alternative that includes those who irresponsibly expanded irrigation south of the Delta being required to cut back to 1990 levels of irrigation.

Please keep me informed.

F 

Felice Pace
Klamath, CA 95548
707-954-6588

"Ring the bells that still can ring.

Forget your perfect offering.
There is a crack, a crack in everything,
That's how the light gets in."

Anthem, Leonard Cohen

From: [Patrick Nolan](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Cc: Anderp33@outlook.com
Subject: Single Tunnel Project
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 2:52:14 PM

Delta Conveyance Scoping Comments
Attn Renee Rodriguez
Department of Water Resources
PO Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236

Dear Ms. Rodriguez,

As a California boater, I am very concerned about the significant negative impact that the closure of navigable waterways and tributaries will have on recreational boating for more than a dozen years.

There must be a plan to ensure that the Delta infrastructure will not only be preserved but improved.

The plan must address the threat that climate change and increased water transfer pose to the amount and quality of the water in the Delta.

Thank you for the opportunity to offer my comments.

Sincerely yours,

Patrick M Nolan

3528 Mountain View Dr. Rocklin, CA 95677
pmnolan3528@gmail.com

From: [Lynn Lipinski](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Cc: cwilson@socalwater.org
Subject: SoCal Water Coalition comments on Notice of Preparation of Environmental Impact Report for the Delta Conveyance Project
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 10:28:35 AM
Attachments: [NOP-SCWC-Response-FINAL.pdf](#)

Dear Ms. Rodriguez,

On behalf of the Southern California Water Coalition, I'm submitting comments on the Notice of Preparation of Environmental Impact Report for the Delta Conveyance Project, in advance of the March 20, 2020 deadline.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best,
Lynn Lipinski
Southern California Water Coalition
2621 Green River Road, Suite 105-#234
Corona, California 92882
Cell: 818-423-8385



March 10, 2020

Delta Conveyance Scoping Comments

Attn: Renee Rodriguez

Department of Water Resources

P.O. Box 942836

Sacramento, CA 94236

Dear Ms. Rodriguez:

RE: Notice of Preparation of Environmental Impact Report for the Delta Conveyance Project

On behalf of the 200 members of the Southern California Water Coalition, I thank you for the occasion to provide comments on the scope of issues and alternatives to consider in developing the Environmental Impact Report for single-tunnel Delta Conveyance. Our member organizations include leaders from business, regional and local government, agricultural groups, labor unions, environmental organizations, water agencies and the general public.

SCWC has consistently supported efforts to upgrade, improve and modernize the State Water Project's water delivery system through the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. We believe it is a vital project to allow water to move more reliably through the Delta. We appreciate Governor Newsom's support for a one-tunnel project and its inclusion as a key recommendation within the draft Water Resilience Portfolio.

In our view, a modern conveyance solution through the Delta is long overdue, has been studied for more than three decades, and should be moved forward now in order to secure the state's water supply from seismic vulnerabilities, sea level rise and other climate realities, which are well-documented through science. Below are our specific comments on four key areas of interest to Southern California.

1. Project Flow Capacity is Key

We support a tunnel capacity of up to 7,500 cfs as the right size for the proposed project. In that capacity range, the project brings the most benefits in addressing impacts of climate change and risk of earthquakes while protecting water quality, supply reliability and native fish populations. Smaller capacity proposals simply do not provide the same value for the cost of construction.

A tunnel size of up to 7,500 cfs with intakes above the anticipated sea level rise also ensures flexibility and efficiency in our water supply, enabling us to capture more water during wet years so it can be stored for dry years as well as preserve and recharge groundwater supplies.





2. Consider Carbon Emissions from Existing Farming on Delta Islands

As the environmental review process advances, it is critical that any examination of the probable effects of the project's construction on air quality and greenhouse gas be considered within the context of the massive concentrations of carbon dioxide historically, and currently, being emitted by long-standing farming practices on peat soils in the Delta..

The U.S. Geological Survey, California Department of Water Resources, HydroFocus and the University of California at Berkeley have been studying subsidence and GHG emissions since the 1980s and have found significant effects. Drained and cultivated organic soils continue to oxidize, subside and emit an estimated one to two million tons of CO₂ released into the atmosphere each year. Eliminating those emissions would be the equivalent of removing 300,000 vehicles from California's roads.

Some say that current farming practices on much of these lands are unsustainable, with the interior of Delta islands sitting as much as 30 feet below sea level and sinking every day. These practices also increase risk of the devastation that sea level rise or an earthquake could have on these friable levees built more than a century ago. We urge a holistic view of air quality and greenhouse gas emissions that not only looks at construction's effects but also at the larger landscape of current and harmful land use practices. Preservation and protection of the Delta "as a place" must account for the realities of carbon emissions caused by historical and current farming practices.

Fortunately, Delta island farmers have begun the inevitable conversion of this land into wetlands, swapping harmful agricultural practices for the restoration of habitat supporting wildlife. Farmers may soon be able to sell carbon capture and reduction credits at scale to help reduce the state's emissions. The Delta Conservancy through the Delta Carbon Program aims to complete the first-ever third-party verification of wetlands that quantifies the carbon emission reduction estimates from 1,600 acres of managed wetlands on Sherman and Twitchell islands operated by the California Department of Water Resources and hope that these findings will inform a full view of air quality and greenhouse gas emissions related to construction of the Delta Conveyance project.

3. Local Supplies Require Reliable Imported Supplies

For decades, Southern California has invested in smart integrated water supply solutions such as groundwater recharge and storage, recycled water, captured runoff, and desalination of seawater and brackish groundwater.

However, a common misperception persists that initiatives like wastewater reuse, stormwater capture and reuse, household rain barrels and water use efficiency can replace imported water supplies for Southern California. This thinking ignores critical facts about the region's hydrology, the water conservation measures already in place, and the importance of this region and its residents, businesses and farmers to the state's economy.

Indeed, Southern California has invested—and will continue to invest—heavily in these types of programs that improve regional resilience and stretch scarce water supplies. However, these types of projects cannot entirely replace imported supplies. What they can do is ensure that we make the best possible use of our imported supplies by doubling or even tripling their use through water recycling and groundwater recharge and storage projects that allow us to use each drop



more than once. Of course Southern California will continue to leverage technology and behavior change to reduce water use—however many agree that increasing water efficiency becomes more difficult over time as demand hardens.

4. Project Benefits Far Outweigh Its Costs

Southern California's \$1.6 trillion economy depends on a reliable supply of water from the State Water Project as part of the planning for future water supply resiliency. Thirty percent of our water supply comes from the State Water Project in an average year, sustaining life in our homes, businesses, communities, farms and environment.

This water supply is not just alimental, it is one of the most affordable. The costs associated with alternative water supply solutions such as desalination, contaminated groundwater clean-up and stormwater capture and reuse can be significant and hard to meet in disadvantaged communities. By contrast, high-quality water from the State Water Project is affordable, even with construction of the single-tunnel conveyance project. That's because past investments in the system have yielded that benefit. We must not turn our back on the past investments in this system. Instead we should acknowledge their value and make the additional investment toward modernization.

We Can Help

SCWC has organized a coalition of more than 300 organizations statewide that stand in support of a Delta Conveyance solution. The full list can be viewed here: <https://www.socalwater.org/supporters>. We are always available for collaboration and discussion, and to bring water leaders and experts from across our region and the state together.

Conclusion

We believe that building a large-capacity single tunnel under the Delta protects the state's most valuable and affordable water supply and protects the public's investment in the State Water Project, while safeguarding one of the richest ecosystems in the world.

I'm pleased to answer any questions you have about our comments on the scope of issues and alternatives to consider in developing the Environmental Impact Report for single-tunnel Delta Conveyance. Thank you again for this opportunity, and we look forward to working with you as the EIR process moves forward.

Best,

Charles Wilson
Executive Director
Southern California Water Coalition
2621 Green River Road, Suite 105-#234
Corona, California 92882

From: [Jacklyn Shaw](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#); barbara@restorethedelta.org; wesb@lodinews.com; [Wid Anders Christenson,mngr](#); [Bruce Blodgett](#); [JD. judicial Watch. Tom fitton](#)
Cc: cwinn@sigov.org; belliott@sigov.org; tpatti@sigov.org; [San Joaquin Farm Bureau](#); [Congressman Devin Nunes](#); [Leader Kevin McCarthy](#)
Subject: Stop Water exports for more drought with any Terminus Delta tunnel Plan! Due dates & locations for written and public comments?
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 1:14:37 PM

on 3.11.2020 from jjjjshaw@verizon.net

Dear DWR / EIR contacts, Barbara, Andy & Bruce, Directors, SJFB County, elected congressional officials as addressed, lodinews, and others (EIR, DWR):

RE: STOP WATER EXPORTS, for more drought, with Terminus Delta Plan! Due dates & locations for written and public comments? DWR, Sacramento; EIR, Stockton, etc.?

Could you please inform us/me of pending due dates for written comments and public comments against any Terminus tunnel? In particular, it is horrifying to have a Terminus tunnel plan, in Lodi school district area and with recreational marinas. That plan would be 12 miles from Lodi City Hall and Stockton. Such a dust-kicking, empty tunnel is ignorant. It ignores the elected Delta Coalition with Supervisors of five Delta counties. They all wrote and signed that any tunnel would be devastating to the five Delta counties. Over 100 to 200 fresh food crops are grown in San Joaquin County, 2/3 the Delta. (Informed Californians already voted against any water exports from NorCal, around 1982.)

SoCal Metro knows that concrete costs more than Desalination. 90% live on California Coast. Also, "flooding" excuse is disingenuous, as formerly controlled for decades, by USACE Army Corps and others in deep, PURE Dredging. Lodinews.com reported that Lodi, only 12 miles from any Terminus tunnel, has the worst drought in February on recorded history. Proof that water exports do not work is in the Woodbridge/ WID vs East Bay/ EBMUD. Since 1929, Pardee Dam has exported Lodi Mokelumne River, Aqueduct towards Port Of Oakland. Across its port is UCB, where at Doe library, Jacques Leibovitz, Ph.D., 1977, has article on Desalination. It has been used in Israel, Australia and over 100 nations.

Why did DOI, Reclamation approve Desalination grants for 16 states, but not for California? TV news reported forest fires. Department of Interior, DOI, Bernhardt was former assistant/lobbyist to Fiji Water man of Westlands, near Hanford (known for dune buggy racing). Pres. Trump put a hold on Tunnel travesty plan, a month, after facts were shared on drought by DOI, Reclamation staff. He had met in Bakersfield, Kern County, formerly with grander Yosemite waters (Hetch Hetchy dam exports to San Francisco). That was to keep his promise to farmers, but that is over 300 miles south of a Delta tunnel, and only signifies the southern tip of 28 counties in Central Valley. Bakersfield Basic provides for desalination. DOI Bernhardt said that he can control the flow or "spigots". We have heard that bureaucratic talk before. "Who ya gonna call?" Where is simplified contact for voices in timely, local citizen advocates?

Sincerely distraught,

Prof. Jacklyn Shaw, Grower
facebook.com/CaliforniaWaterSolutions
 Lodi, CA 95242
 *west of Lodi

P.S. More on *Squiggly Pro Quo of Broken Promises in Water exports, socialism*. There is dry Mono Lake in Owens Valley, advocating for Rivers, tributaries, after Los Angeles fiasco. And Anderson Dam soon flooded Silicon Valley, February, 2020. Maybe we need to **advocate . . . for Delta west tunnel**, near that extra ship canal? (Duck hunters will be OK; but they could focus legal shots on big nutria rodents tearing

down the levees. Don't hurt the beavers.) Save the rivers.

NOTE: A page size map showing Terminous tunnel plan was handed to Lodinews.com , but where is the news on impact? Last year, a photo was taken of Woodbridge Dam. It suggested looking at all the dam water, not the trickling Mokelumne River to Lodi Lake or the Aquifer, in critical water storage. Some solutions suggested were that locals would dynamite a Terminous tunnel. Another was to pull a glacier from Alaska. Generational jobs in agri-industry and tourism are at stake, with fresh food crops, not just jobs in destruction or higher taxes displacing growers and farmers in the #1 agriculture economy for San Joaquin County.

cc: contact the White House: federal departments (DOI, Reclamation)? See email addresses above, as sent.

From: [Samuel Butler](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Delta Conveyance Project
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2020 1:50:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the building of any tunnel to divert water from the northern California delta. All of the iterations of this proposed project have been and are a terrible waste of money. They would also cause further environmental damage to an ecosystem which is already highly stressed due to human action and climate change.

A far more sensible, economical and environmentally sound approach is to look at alternatives that cause minimal disruption, such as conservation measures in our cities and farming industries, more water efficient agricultural practices and soil conservation measures, along with better water capture systems within our infrastructure. Thankfully some of these are already being planned and implemented. Let's do more of this and achieve our water goals in a far more efficient and less disruptive way.

Thank you.

Sam Butler

8134 Westlawn Ave.,
Los Angeles, CA 90045
Mobile: 310-801-3444

From: [Donna Sharee](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Delta Tunnel
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2020 2:48:19 PM

To whom it may concern:

I would like to add my voice to the opposition to the plan for a tunnel that would divert water from California's largest estuary. I think this would be a most misguided and prohibitively expensive project for the whole state of California's people, flora, and fauna. No one would benefit but perhaps the companies building the project.

Thanks for much.

Donna Sharee

Voter in District 11

San Francisco, California, 94112

From: [Julie Fouquet](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Cc: [Julie Fouquet](#)
Subject: Delta Tunnel
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 10:35:13 PM

Delta Conveyance Scoping Comments
Attn Renee Rodriguez
Department of Water Resources
PO Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236

Dear Ms. Rodriguez,

As a California boater, I am very concerned about the significant negative impact that the closure of navigable waterways and tributaries - during construction of the "single tunnel" - will have on recreational boating for more than a dozen years.

Additionally, extracting large amounts of water from the Delta is extremely hard on fish species such as salmon.

Any water plan should ensure that both salmonid populations and the Delta infrastructure will not only be preserved, but also improved. It must also address the threats that climate change and increased water transfer pose to the amount and quality of the water in the Delta.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely yours,

Julie Fouquet
48 Hillbrook Dr
Portola Valley, CA 94028
jefouquet1@gmail.com

From: [Don Lipari](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Tunnel Project
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2020 9:18:49 AM

For what it's worth, I am strongly opposed to the plan to build a single tunnel to divert Sacramento River water to the south. While I'm sure you're up against a well funded campaign to build the tunnel, it would lead to an environmental disaster to the Delta region and the San Francisco Bay. I know you know that. Please do what is right for the environment, not wealthy commercial interests.

Don Lipari
Penn Valley, CA

From: [jay uhalt](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Tunnels
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2020 7:49:02 AM

Please consider other methods of getting water to the southern valley and L.A. basin. Conservation number one. Many in the L .A. area have no idea that much of their water comes from northern california. More above ground storage is another good idea. Streamline the permit process for dams. Also recycle, all new home should have grey water and black water systems. After 33 years working in and all types of construction projects, dams and tunnels, the idea of a tunnel under the DELTA seems like a nightmare of problems and unforeseen cost over runs. Remember the newest Bay Bridge? The tunnel is a worse idea than the " high speed rail" project, and it above ground so you can see what's going on. OTHER METHODS
[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: dianekirk@frontiernet.net
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Comments on NOP
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2020 2:26:50 PM
Attachments: [NOP comments#2.doc](#)

Hello,

Please find my attached additional comments on the Delta Conveyance NOP.

Thank you,

Diane Kirkham

Comment #2 on
Delta Conveyance Environmental Review
Notice of Preparation
from
Diane Kirkham
6600 Twin Cities Road,
Elk Grove, CA 95757
916 684-2395
dianekirk@frontiernet.net

I wish to share the following concerns relative to Launch Shaft Site A for the Central Corridor for the proposed Delta Conveyance Project as being currently discussed by the Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. (I believe that this is now also the preferred site for Launch Shaft Site A for the Eastern Corridor as well.)

This site, which some of background materials call the "Glannville" site, was proposed at the March 11, 2020 Stakeholders Engagement Committee (SEC) meeting to be actually 2 sites:

--one site, approximately 20 acres, proposed to be west of I-5 and north of Twin Cities Road.

--a second site, approximately 500 acres, at the northwest corner of Twin Cities Road and Franklin Blvd.

I am most concerned about the proposed site at the northwest corner of Twin Cities Road and Franklin Blvd for the following reasons:

1. **Swainson's Hawk Easements.** The site is spliced between two properties that are part of the City of Elk Grove's Swainson's Hawk Mitigation Program. Directly adjacent to the proposed site, on the south, is a 170-acre property where the City of Elk Grove holds a Swainson's Hawk Conservation Easement. In addition, adjacent to the proposed site on the north, is a 736-acre property where the City of Elk Grove also holds a Swainson's Hawk Conservation Easement. The noise, vibrations, traffic, and all manner of intense human activity that this site will bring to the area will have an extremely negative impact on the Swainson's hawks that frequent this area. Furthermore, utilizing this site for construction activities will take the 500 acres out of its current use -- irrigated pasture -- which is extremely beneficial for the foraging activities of the Swainson's hawks.

2. **Traffic.** The site, at an ALREADY busy intersection, will negatively impact traffic delays on both Franklin Blvd and Twin Cities Road due to drastically increased volumes of construction vehicles and employee vehicles. Furthermore, traffic delays are likely to be exacerbated by heavier and more frequent loads on the main line of the adjacent railroad and by newly added railroad spur or spurs. This tangle of traffic will not only negatively affect local residential and agricultural traffic, but also, employees of

the Rio Cosumnes Correctional Center that use this route, as well as the many residents of Galt and Herald that commute to the Bay Area and Sacramento via Twin Cities to I-5.

3. **Contrary to NOP.** The site, as depicted in the maps displayed at the March 11 meeting of the SEC, is partially outside of the Proposed Project Facility Corridor Options as shown on the map included in the NOP for the Delta Conveyance Project (Page 4, Figure 1). How can the DCDCA, without due public notice, just ignore the NOP and go outside the area that DWR published as the area where facilities may be built?

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

From: [Steven White](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Delta Conveyance Scoping Comments
Date: Monday, March 16, 2020 7:09:12 AM
Attachments: [DeltaConveyance WrittenComments 2_16_20.docx](#)

Attached are my comments regarding the Delta Conveyance project and associated EIR development. Thank you sincerely for this opportunity to submit comments. Dr. Steven White

WRITTEN COMMENTS to the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES concerning the “**DELTA CONVEYANCE PLAN**”.

Dr. Steven White, Prof. Emeritus in the Dept. Biological Sciences, San Jose State Univ.

UNDENIABLY UNSUSTAINABLE.

California’s water use pattern is unsustainable. Our rivers are over-allocated even during increasingly rare “wet years”, and in a number of areas across the state groundwater has been and/or is being severely over-drafted [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The most recent 2020 measurements of snowpack in the Sierra revealed levels only about half of the historical norm [7], and this late in the season it is unlikely that a massive increase in the snowpack will occur. These conditions, while severe, are hardly novel, and have been a relatively recurrent feature in California over the past decade. In the face of this reality, a re-examination of California’s water use pattern is critically important and necessary if irreversible ecosystem collapse in the San Francisco Delta is to be prevented.

Over the past five decades or more, demand for water exports out of the SF Bay Delta have slowly but steadily increased, to the point that currently freshwater flow to the Bay is on average less than 50% of the native, unimpaired flow, and is further decreased to 35 % or less in some years [8, 2, 9]. As stated by Rozengurt et al in [The Role of Water Diversions in the Decline of Fisheries of the Delta-San Francisco Bay and Other Estuaries](#) over 30 years ago [10]:

*“Published results regarding water development in rivers entering the Black Sea, the Sea of Azov, the Caspian Sea and the Mediterranean Sea in Europe and Asia all point to the conclusion that **when successive spring withdrawals exceed 30% and annual (total) water withdrawals are more than 40-50% of the normal unimpaired flow (computed as the average for 50-60 years of observations), water quality and fishery resources in the river-delta-estuary-coastal zone ecosystems deteriorated to levels which overrode the ability of the system to restore itself**”.*

Rozengurt’s conclusions and dire warnings, unheeded at the time, have since been shown true. The collapse of the salmon populations using the SF Bay Delta is now well documented [11, 12 13, 14, 15. 16], as is the **precipitous decline of the salmon fishing industry**. **Winter-run Chinook salmon** (listed as “endangered” since 1994) remain endangered, and apparently only survived a recent drought through a captive breeding and rearing program [17]. **Spring-run Chinook salmon** are currently listed as “threatened”, late fall-run Chinook salmon remain a species of “special concern”, and the **native San Joaquin fall-run Chinook salmon** (a species of “special concern”) are all but gone, with the wild (native) population replaced by a hatchery-derived population [18]. These drastic reductions in populations are not, however, just limited to salmon. The **green sturgeon** population is similarly listed as “threatened” and it’s numbers also severely reduced. The **Starry Flounder** population has declined an average of 90% since 1980 [2]. The **Delta Smelt** and **Longfin Smelt** populations, both listed as “threatened”, have declined by an average

of 99.5% and are “near extirpation” (near extinction) in the San Francisco estuary today [19, 2, 20,21,22]. Invertebrate populations (Bay Shrimp, for example) are falling dramatically [2, 23,24]. The productivity of photosynthetic algal populations is declining as turbidity increases and ammonia and other pollutant concentrations (from improperly treated sewage and industrial and agricultural runoff) increase [2, 25, 26, 27, 28], which in turn contributes to the production of toxic algal blooms. The evidence for the continuing collapse of the Bay and Delta ecosystem is thus growing and uncontestable.

We are strangling the river systems that drain into the Bay and Delta, withdrawing so much freshwater that the complex ecosystem they support is collapsing. **The freshwater flow into the Bay and Delta simply can *not* be reduced to less than 50% of the total annual unimpaired flow it would naturally receive. To withdraw more... as this “single tunnel Delta Conveyance project” would do when paired with the other restrictions in freshwater flow to the Delta already in place... virtually *insures* the collapse of the Bay/Delta ecosystem.**

It is now *imperative* that we **develop a mix of water conservation, recycling and reuse technologies and policies in California that can provide freshwater *without* driving ecosystem collapse.** Those options will be discussed later in these comments.

ISSUES THAT SHOULD BE EXAMINED IN ANY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS OF THE “DELTA CONVEYANCE PLAN”.

As stated previously, the “Delta Conveyance Environmental Review Notice of Preparation Overview” factsheet presents a bullet list of topics the EIR will evaluate, including (but not limited to): “water supply, groundwater, water quality, geology and seismicity, soils, fish and aquatic resources, terrestrial biological resources, land use, recreation, aesthetics, cultural resources, transportation, utilities, energy, air quality, noise, hazardous materials, public health, mineral resources, and climate change and growth”. Some of these are very huge topics to “evaluate”.

With respect to “**water quality**”, for example, **important concerns that need to be analyzed and mitigated** include (but are not limited to) the following.

--The effect of reduced freshwater flow on **salinity gradients** in the Bay and Delta [29, 2, 30] and the **corresponding changes in abundance and distribution of animal, plant and microbial populations.**

--The effect of reduced freshwater flow on the **concentration and distribution of potentially toxic organic pollutants, metals and/or nutrients** discharged into the Bay and Delta [25, 2] and the corresponding effects on animal, plant and microbial populations.

--The effect of reduced freshwater flow on overall **turbidity** and the **nature and distribution of sediments** [18, 2] in the Bay and Delta and the corresponding effects of animal, plant and microbial populations.

--And, while it is only peripherally related to “water quality”, will the proposed reduced freshwater flow into the Delta have any effect on **water temperature gradients** within the Bay and Delta? If so, significant thermal stresses to sensitive animal, plant and/or microbial populations should be evaluated and mitigated.

--For that matter, since changes in salinity, the concentrations of pollutants and/or nutrients, changes in turbidity etc are all known to affect a number of animal, plant and microbial populations, how will the effect of reduced freshwater flow into the Bay/Delta system on the **abundance and distribution of invasive species** [2, 18] be evaluated?

It is also deeply troubling that “**maintaining ecosystem viability**” is not listed as a topic the EIR will consider. This should be discussed as follows.

--In the Project Description explain how the concept of “**functional flows**” [18] will be utilized in designing the target annual hydrograph of freshwater flow into the Bay/Delta system, where functional flow is defined as a **strategy for allocating water in order to support crucial processes upon which native aquatic species depend**. Explain how closely this hydrograph model will follow the natural flow regime. Intimately tied to this is the requirement to discuss the lifecycle histories [8, 18] of important species in the ecosystem and incorporate that into the biological resources analysis, since these lifecycles have developed in species over time in direct response to the natural flow regime.

--Secondly, a number of important metrics must be evaluated if environmental impacts to bay and delta estuaries (and the adjacent stretches of rivers that feed them) are to be understood. Some of these metrics have been alluded to above (turbidity, water temperature and salinity, for example), but since we in the “general populace” currently have been given no idea of what you will study or how, please consider this a formal request to **evaluate** the following important **estuary metrics**.

--Metrics of the physical environment, including: (i) **geomorphology** (depth and height profiles for deposition and scour, modeling, predator hotspots etc), (ii) **flow velocities**, (iii) **sediment transport and budgets** (both for the estuary and rivers feeding it), (iv) **turbidity**, (v) **salinity/specific activity**, and (vi) **water temperature**.

--Metrics of the chemical environment, including: (i) **dissolved oxygen**, (ii) **pH**, (iii) **mineral nutrients** (types, amounts and distribution), (iv) presence of **selected contaminants** (amounts and distributions).

--Metrics of the biotic environment, (which would probably fall under your “fish and aquatic resources” topic) including: (i) **phytoplankton chlorophyll concentration** and **phytoplankton productivity**, (ii) **phytoplankton counts and**

biovolume, (iii) aquatic **bacteria** counts and growth rates and ideally a broad-scale microbial survey (as could be developed by high-throughput DNA sequencing), (iv) **microzooplankton** counts and species identities, (v) **macrozooplankton** abundance, biomass and identities, (vi) **epibenthic crustacean** abundance and biomass, (vii) survey of **fish population identities, abundance and distributions**, (viii) diets and growth rates of selected fish species, (ix) **bivalve** identities, abundances and biomass (esp. important for filtration estimates), (x) **aquatic and shorebird** identities and estimated abundances, (xi) **aquatic vegetation** extents, distributions and biomass, (xii) abundance of **rare larger vertebrates** (seals and otter, for example), and (xiii) presence of **introduced and/or invasive species** (percent by species, numbers or biomass) and their effects on native Bay and Delta populations [8, 2, 18 and references therein].

--A long list of **river metrics** can be provided as well, but rather than supplying that long list here (it is similar but certainly not identical to the estuary metrics cited above, since it also includes considerations of velocity structures in the river channel, flow inundation mapping in areas where floodplain connectivity occurs, differences in the assemblage of indicator species in a river environment etc) I refer you to the section on river metrics presented in the 2019 study entitled [Developing Biological Goals for the Bay-Delta Plan: Concepts and Ideas from an Independent Scientific Advisory Panel](#) by Drs. Dahm, Kimmer, Korman, Moyle, Ruggerone (lead author) and Simenstad [18].

Another key consideration is the selection of delta indicator species used to estimate environmental impacts.

--**What are your indicator species** and what is the **scientific rationale for their selection** (and the exclusion of others)?

--Related to the question above is the idea of **using multiple species as indicators for a specific habitat/environment** [18]. A **pelagic** assemblage of indicator species, for example would exhibit a different species collection from that of an **inshore, shallow-water** assemblage vs a **benthic** channel assemblage vs an **inshore vegetation** assemblage. Again, please supply your scientific rationale for selection of different species in different habitats.

These metrics and considerations are especially important for understanding the fate of key fisheries species such as Chinook salmon. The single tunnel “Delta Conveyance” project is currently proposed to extract (divert into the tunnel) 6,000 cubic feet of water per second (cfs) from the Sacramento River. Since there is a strong correlation between the extent of freshwater flow in the rivers feeding into the Delta and the abundance and survival of Chinook salmon [2, 8], **please analyze the projected effect of this 6,000 cfs diversion of water on salmon survival, and discuss how will that effect be monitored, evaluated and mitigated.**

With respect to the bulleted “groundwater” and “water supply” topics, how will the withdrawal of so much freshwater along the Sacramento River affect the **water**

table level in the immediate and surrounding Delta area? How will it affect **aquifer salinity and recharge rates** in that area?

Finally, why is the single tunnel project the only alternative presented to the public at the Notice of Preparation (NOP) Scoping meetings, while an equally robust “water conservation/recycling/reuse” option (see comments below) is not? Numerous studies suggest that most or all of the freshwater needed could be supplied through this second option without incurring the cost and environmental damage associated with the “single tunnel” plan [3, 31]. These are but a small portion of the considerations that should be addressed in the Delta Conveyance Environmental Impact Report.

CONFRONTING DEAF EARS and TUNNEL VISION... DEVELOPMENT OF A “MARSHALL PLAN” FOR WATER CONSERVATION, ENVIRONMENTALLY SOUND WATER CAPTURE, REUSE and RECYCLING IN CALIFORNIA.

Developers and supporters of the single tunnel “Delta Conveyance Plan” do not *want* to discuss a water conservation/recycling/reuse alternative. They only appear willing to discuss the “One Tunnel Delta Conveyance Plan” as the **ONLY** solution for freshwater collection and distribution.

In light of **California’s continually growing population** and their associated water demand, along with **growing industrial and massive agricultural water demands** [32], a fundamental “new” fact of **perpetual water scarcity must be faced**. The idea that we can simply continue to export more and more freshwater from the Delta and tributary river systems has become increasingly unsupportable and ever more absurd. **ANY water allocation and management plan proposed by the Department of Water Resources MUST now include a fully integrated conservation plan that encompasses the following:**

--Changes/Improvement of **Agricultural water use and efficiency**.

--Changes in **Land Use** [33, 34, 35].

--Selection of **Water Efficient (less water demanding) Crops**.

--Improvements in **Irrigation Technology**[36, 37, 38].

--Changes/Improvements in **Soil Management** [39, 40].

--Increased use of Mulching.

--Increased use of Cover Crops and Rotation of cropland use.

--Changes/Improvements in **Urban yard water use and efficiency** [41, 42].

--A reexamination of the urban yard and its water requirements.

--Use of Water Efficient (less water demanding) plantings. On

average, outdoor water use in California is thought to account for about 50% of all urban water consumption.

--Changes/Improvements (increases) in **Rainwater Capture across all sectors (urban, industrial and agricultural)** 28].

--Building in water capture and/or aquifer recharging capabilities in urban, industrial and agricultural settings.

--Changes/Improvements (increases) in **Greywater capture and reuse** across all sectors [44].
 --Changes/Improvements (increases) in **Water Recycling** across all sectors.
 and
 --Changes/Improvements (increases) in **Detecting and Stopping Leaks throughout the Water Distribution System** in California [45, 46, 47].

In the absence of such a comprehensive conservation strategy the single tunnel “Delta Conveyance” plan is a colossal mistake, and will ultimately insure the demise of a critically important “Bay-Delta-River Tributary ecosystem” upon which much of the state depends.

Sincerely and respectfully,
 Dr. Steven White
 Professor Emeritus, Department of Biological Sciences
 San Jose State University, San Jose, CA 95124
 Steven.white@sjsu.edu

References.

1. Igor Lacan and Vincent H. Resh. *A case study in integrated management: Sacramento-San Joaquin Rivers and Delta of California, USA*. *Ecodydrology and Hydrobiology* **16**: 215-228 (2016).
2. *San Francisco Bay: The Freshwater-Starved Estuary*. How Water Flowing into the Ocean Sustains California’s Greatest Aquatic Ecosystem. Report prepared by the Bay Institute (Sept. 2016).
3. Peter Gleick. *The Untapped Potential of California’s Water Supply: Efficiency, Resuse and Stormwater*. Report for the National Resources Defense Council (NRDC) and the Pacific Institute (June 2014).
4. Chandrakanta Ojhi et al. *Sustained Groundwater Loss in California’s Central Valley Exacerbated by Intense Drought Periods*. *Water Resources Research* **54**: 4449-4460 (2018).
5. Bridget R. Scanlon et al. *Groundwater depletion and sustainability of irrigation in the US High Plains and Central Valley*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **109**(24):932-9325 (2012).
6. Staff report Bulletin 118. *Critically Overdrafted Basins*. California Department of Water Resources (2018).
7. Michael Cabanatuan. *Sierra snowpack measures below average—but there’s plenty of time to hope*. *San Francisco Chronicle* (Jan. 31 2020).
8. *Development of Flow Criteria for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Ecosystem*, Prepared Pursuant to the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Reform Act of 2009. State Water Resources Control Board and California Environmental Protection Agency (Aug. 3, 2010).
9. John Cain. *Letting the Water Flow in the San Joaquin*. *American Rivers* (Nov. 21, 2016).

10. Michael Rozengurt, Michael J. Herz and Sergio Feld. *The Role of Water Diversions in the Decline of Fisheries of the Delta-San Francisco Bay and Other Estuaries*. Technical Report Number 87-8 (Revision of the Romberg Tiburon Center Exhibit #20 for the State Water Resources Control Board Bay-Delta Hearings). Paul F. Romberg Tiburon Center for Environmental Studies, San Francisco State University (Sept. 1987).
11. Abigail Tucker. *On California's Coast, Farewell to King Salmon*. Smithsonian Magazine (Oct. 2008).
12. Michelle Ma. *Largest Chinook Salmon disappearing from the West Coast*. UW News. University of Washington (Feb. 27, 2018).
13. Larry Brown, Peter Moyle and Ronald Yoshiyama. *Historical Decline and Current Status of Coho Salmon in California*. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 14: 237-261 (1994).
14. Alastair Bland. *Many of California's Salmon Populations Unlikely to Survive the Century*, in "The Salt: broadcast on National Public Radio (May 17, 2017 at 6:00pm ET).
15. California Chinook Salmon Population Data webpage (fishwildlife.org).
16. C. Jeff Cederholm et al. *Pacific Salmon and Wildlife, in Ecological Contexts, Relationships and Implications for Management*, 2nd ed. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (2000).
17. Moyle, P., R. Lusardi, P. Samuel, and J. Katz. 2017. *State of the Salmonids: Status of California's Emblematic Fishes*. Center for Watershed Sciences, University of California, Davis, and California Trout, San Francisco, CA. p. 579 (2017)
https://watershed.ucdavis.edu/files/content/news/SOS%20II_Final.pdf
18. *Developing Biological Goals for the Bay-Delta Plan: Concepts and Ideas from an Independent Scientific Advisory Panel*. A final report to the Delta Science Program prepared by Dr. Cliff Dahm – University of New Mexico (Panel Chair) Dr. Wim Kimmerer – San Francisco State University Dr. Josh Korman – Ecometric Research LTD Dr. Peter B. Moyle – University of California, Davis Dr. Gregory T. Ruggione – Natural Resources Consultants, Inc. (Lead Author) Prof. Charles A. Simenstad – University of Washington (Ap. 2019).
19. Moyle, P.B., J.A. Hobbs, and J.R. Durand. *Delta smelt and the politics of water in California*. Fisheries 43:42-51 (2018).
20. Peter B. Moyle, Larry Brown, John Durand and James Hobbs. *Delta Smelt: Life History and Decline of a Once-Abundant Species in the San Francisco Estuary*. San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science 14(2) (July 2016).
21. The Bay-Delta Crisis and California Water Management. Testimony of Steve Thompson, Manager, California-Nevada Operations Office (Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior), before the House Natural Resources Subcommittee on Water and Power Regarding the Upper San Francisco Bay-Delta Ecosystem. (July 2007).
22. Endangered: The Unsung Smelt. Estuary News 23(1): 3 (Mar. 2014).
23. Jimmy Tobias. Ship Retraces Legacy of Once Mighty Bay Shrimp. Bay Nature (Oct. 2, 2014).

24. California's Living Marine Resources: A Status Report—Bay Shrimp. California Department of Fish and Game (Dec. 2001).
25. Staff Report Including Substitute Environmental Documentation for Amendments to the Water Quality Control Plan for Enclosed Bays and Estuaries—Part 1 Sediment Quality (Sediment Quality Provisions). California Water Board (June 5, 2018).
26. Wim Kimmer. *Science Foundation Chapter 3, Appendix 3.1—Case Study of Plankton*. Baylands Ecosystem Habitat Goals Science Update (2015).
27. Patricia Gilbert et al. *Phytoplankton communities from San Francisco Bay Delta respond differently to oxidized and reduced nitrogen substrates—even under conditions that would otherwise suggest nitrogen sufficiency*. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 1:1 (July 2014).
28. Patricia Gilbert et al. *Major—but rare—spring blooms in 2014 in San Francisco Bay Delta, California, a result of long-term drought, increased residence time, and altered nutrient loads and forms*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 460: 8-18 (2014).
29. Hydrodynamics: Keeping the Salt Field at Bay. *Estuary News* 23(1): 8 (March 2014).
30. *Unabridged Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for Water Quality Challenges in the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary*. United States Environmental Protection Agency (Feb. 2011).
31. Chiiara Scaramuzzino et al. *The Smart Alternative to Tunnel(s): A Sensible Water Management Portfolio*. A report by Sierra Club California Water Committee Volunteers (May 2019).
32. Jeff Mount and Ellen Hanak. *Just the Facts: Water Use in California*. Public Policy Institute of California (2016).
33. *A Management Plan for Agricultural Subsurface Drainage and Related Problems on the Westside San Joaquin Valley: Final Report of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program*. U.S. Department of the Interior and California Natural Resources Agency (1990).
34. Tom Birmingham. Testimony before the House Committee on Natural Resources, Subcommittee on Water and Power. (Sept. 21, 2006).
35. *California Farmland Conversion Report*. California Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection.. P24 (2015).
36. Samuel Sandoval-Solis, Ph.D. et al. *Spatial Analysis of Application Efficiencies In Irrigation for the State of California*. Water Management Research Laboratory, UC Davis (June 2013).
37. Renee Johnson and Betsy Cody. *California Agricultural Production and Irrigated Water Use*. Congressional Research Service (June 2015).
38. Heather Cooley et al. *Agricultural Water Conservation and Efficiency Potential in California*. Pacific Institute and Natural Resources Defense Council (June 2014).
39. Jeffrey Mitchell et al. *No-tillage and high-residue practices reduce soil water evaporation*. *California Agriculture* 66(2):55-61 (Ap. 01, 2012).

40. D.G. Sullivan et al. *Potential impact of conservation tillage on conserving water resources in Georgia*. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. Vol. 62 No. 3, p145-152 (May/June 2007).
41. Matthew Heberger et al. *Urban Water Conservation and Efficiency Potential in California*. Pacific Institute, (June 2014).
42. Peter Gleick et al. *Waste Not Want Not*. Pacific Institute (Nov. 2003).
43. The *2012 Rainwater Capture Act*. This act allowed residential users, and other private entities, to capture and use rainwater harvested from rooftops. In 2018, California Proposition 72 allowed Rainwater Capture Systems to be added to the value of the home, yet to be excluded from property tax assessments.
44. Lucy Allen, Juliet Christian-Smith and Meena Palaniappan, *Overview of Greywater Reuse: The Potential of Greywater Systems to Aid Sustainable Water Management*. The Pacific Institute (Nov. 2010).
45. *Infrastructure Report Card, 2017*. American Society of Civil Engineers.
46. Ben Poston and Matt Steven. *LA's aging water pipes, a \$1 billion dilemma*. Los Angeles Times (Feb. 6, 2015).
47. *California drought: Leaky water pipes losing billions of gallons targeted by new state law*. Cited by Paul Rogers in the San Jose Mercury News (Oct. 9, 2015).

From: [Gene Beley](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Delta tunnel scoping email comment by Gene Beley, Stockton
Date: Friday, March 13, 2020 5:06:38 PM

The single tunnel concept is still a disaster train wreck in the making and a huge waste of state funds once again. The financial boondoggle of the choo choo train is a small example of what a financial disaster the single tunnel concept is for the state of California, plus it will devastate the Delta economy, run people out of their homes, and threaten legacy communities like Locke—where buildings may even collapse with the pile drivers going for long periods of time in that area, plus all the big trucks plying those roads. The Delta roads are already very dangerous, due to Google apps rerouting traffic onto Highway 160 and many deaths are occurring. So just add hundreds of trucks and construction equipment for a total increase in fatalities on those roads and ask how many of you current government employees pushing this project will be responsible for those deaths?

Californians are taxed to death now, as voiced in the recent Prop. 13 negative votes.

For \$1 billion you can build a desalination plant like Orange County and San Diego did in Carlsbad and get NEW inputs of water... like 57 million gallons a day, which makes more sense.

Why would you ruin the largest estuary on the West Coast of the Americas, destroy the Delta economy with boaters who come here from as far away as Los Angeles and Seattle, who won't return once they see the serenity isn't here any longer in anchor out places like Mildred Lake that will become a construction zone with bright lights on at night and loud activity, plus barges plying the waterways that will scare anyone.

The entire nation is once again headed for a recession, Big Time. Start using some common sense! Stop this tunnel construction water grab nonsense now.

Gene Beley
6428 Embarcadero Drive
Stockton, CA 95219
209-956-6575 home phone

From: [Peter Aughney](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Stop the delta water tunnel
Date: Friday, March 13, 2020 5:32:50 PM

Please stop dreaming of taking the water that prevents salt water intrusion miles into the delta, your going to destroy an ecosystem that has been working for thousands of years and destroy it only to fill up swimming pools in Southern CA.

Peter Aughney
1620 Madeira Circle
Petaluma, CA 94954

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Barbara Epstein](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Tunnel
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2020 11:22:50 AM

Please consider NO PROJECT!

Study alternate means to achieve the same goal.

Study the water management strategies of the City of San Antonio to see if underground storage is feasible here in California.

Capture and store underground to limit evaporation.

Listen to our native people and conservation groups, like Sierra Club, for guidelines.

Thank You

Barbara Epstein
Redondo Beach, Ca.

Sent from my iPad

From: [David Mackler](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Delta Single Tunnel Project Feedback
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 9:09:54 PM

Delta Conveyance Scoping Comments
Attn Renee Rodriguez
Department of Water Resources
PO Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236

Dear Ms. Rodriguez,

As a California boater, I am very concerned about the significant and extensive negative impact that the closure of navigable waterways and tributaries will have on recreational boating for more than a dozen years.

Please make all efforts to ensure that our Delta infrastructure will not only be preserved but improved.

The plan must address the threat that climate change and increased water transfer pose to the amount and quality of the water in the Delta.

Thank you for the reading and acting upon my feedback.

Sincerely yours,

David Mackler

From: [B.K](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Delta water
Date: Friday, March 13, 2020 9:18:52 PM

This is the only inland delta in the world, it needs to be cared for and protected. Pumping of water out of Clifton Court Forebay should be regulated and limited during drought years. I do support re-engineering of the Forebay to reduce the entrapment of native fish populations. I am a born and bred Californian who wants to see a proactive approach to make sure the delta and the people who rely on it can sustain a healthy future.

Sent from my iPhone

From: tlicerio@citlink.net
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#); [Tracey Licerio](#)
Subject: EIR Comment for Delta Conveyance Project
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2020 4:38:06 PM
Attachments: [EIR.docx](#)

Thank you for your consideration in the development of the Draft EIR for Delta Conveyance project.

Tracey Licerio

Tracey Licerio
20760 Big Bend Rd.
Montgomery Creek
CA, 96065
March 15, 2020

To Renee Rodriguez and the DWR,

Please consider these following items during the scoping period and the EIR process being conducted for the Delta Conveyance Project:

- What is the indirect affect on the Trinity River?
- Adverse affects on the Sacramento River watershed
- How will you be acknowledging the traditional and cultural practices of the Winnemum Wintu people?
- What is the water quality baseline of the Sacramento and Trinity Rivers?
- How will this project affect the extinction of Salmon runs?
- How will this affect the level of arsenics in Shasta Lake?
- How will this affect pollution discharged into the San Joquin River?
- How will this impact the cultural, social, and spiritual beliefs of the local American tribe in Shasta County and in the Central Valley?

Thank you for your consideration,
Tracey Licerio

From: [Rachel Rolnicki](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: OCBC Comments on the Notice of Preparation of the Environmental Impact Report for the Delta Conveyance Project
Date: Friday, March 13, 2020 4:38:42 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Delta Conveyance Scoping Comment Letter DWR.doc.pdf](#)

Dear Director Nemeth,

Attached, please find comments from OCBC regarding the Notice of Preparation of the Environmental Impact Report for the Delta Conveyance Project. If any questions arise, find my contact information in my signature below.

Best regards,

Rachel Rolnicki

Vice President of Government Affairs
Orange County Business Council

2 Park Plaza, Suite 100 | Irvine, CA 92614
Tel: 949.794.7215 | rrolnicki@ocbc.org

Signature block-01



www.ocbc.org and www.LocationOC.com

March 13, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL: DeltaConveyanceScoping@water.ca.gov

Ms. Karla Nemeth
Director
California Department of Water Resources
P.O. Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236-0001

RE: Comments on the Notice of Preparation of the Environmental Impact Report for the Delta Conveyance Project

Dear Director Nemeth,

On January 15, 2020, the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) released the Notice of Preparation (NOP) of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Delta Conveyance Project (“the Project”). Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NOP. Orange County Business Council (OCBC) appreciates DWR’s consideration of all stakeholders’ public comments.

OCBC represents and promotes the business community, working with government and academia, to enhance Orange County’s economic development and prosperity in order to preserve a high quality of life. With a population of 3.19 million, Orange County is the third most populous county in the state and the sixth most populous county in the nation. The six counties that comprise the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG)—Orange, Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura and Imperial—hold nearly half of the state’s population. More than two-thirds of all Californians either reside in the SCAG region or in other areas dependent on an aging, deteriorating system of dirt levees, aqueducts, pipes and canals.

Improvements to the statewide water delivery system are long overdue. OCBC vocally supported the California WaterFix project during Governor Jerry Brown’s administration, during which Governor Brown proposed a two-tunnel project. OCBC strongly supports Governor Gavin Newsom’s revised plan to construct a single pipeline water conveyance system. Crucially, Governor Newsom’s vision would both safeguard the Deltas ecosystem and ensure the delivery system is more resilient to climate change impacts.

OCBC fully endorses a thorough EIR that accounts for all environmental impacts to the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta. Restoring the Delta ecosystem and fortifying water supply reliability are co-equal goals. Achieving these goals through the Project is perhaps the greatest infrastructure challenge facing the state, but is absolutely necessary that the Governor’s plan succeeds.

Nearly 35 percent of Orange County’s total water supply, serving more than 2.3 million of its residents, is received through the current conveyance system. Additionally, 100 percent of Southern California’s six-month emergency water supply is stored in Diamond Valley Lake, which also receives its water from the current conveyance system. In the event of a destructive earthquake, Southern California would likely be deprived of this

Ms. Karla Nemeth
March 13, 2020
Page 2 of 2

crucial water source and the region's emergency reserves would be either unreliable or inaccessible.

Importantly, there are lessons to be learned from California WaterFix and the legal, environmental and financial struggles that prevented its success. It is imperative that the issues that plagued California WaterFix are avoided by all means possible, as this project is urgently needed. In the event of a major earthquake or drought, Southern California's water supply will be massively disrupted in the absence of a modernized water delivery system. The current timeline for the Project aims to begin construction in 2023. The Project will take 13 years to construct and commission; therefore, the earliest completion date would be 2036. OCBC strongly recommends that construction begins by 2023 and is not delayed. Every year the project is delayed, the water supply depended on by the majority of Californians is jeopardized.

Governor Newsom altered Governor Brown's proposal by downsizing from a two tunnel project to a one tunnel project. The single tunnel reduces the cost of the Project and serves as a compromise between the proponents and opponents of California WaterFix. Despite this balance, some opponents argue that the status quo must be preserved and that no substantive improvements to the water conveyance system should be made. Opponents of the tunnel propose that individual water districts should instead invest in local resources, storm water recovery, and other infrastructure projects. These strategies are certainly vital for solving problems involving water supply. Many water districts across the state are already committed to implementing these strategies. However, these alternatives focus on problems related to supply, and do not address problems involving conveyance. The only true alternative to the Project is to not complete it, which would endanger the safety and economic future of the majority of Californians. A comprehensive approach to addressing California's water challenges is the best path forward.

OCBC appreciates the opportunity to offer input on the Delta Conveyance Project. The business community understands the magnitude of the Project and acknowledges the complexity of implementing the Governor's vision. OCBC applauds Governor Newsom for taking the steps needed to fortify California's future for generations to come. OCBC looks forward to continuing to collaborate with public and private stakeholders to ensure that the Project comes to fruition.

Sincerely,



Rachel Rolnicki
Vice President of Government Affairs
Orange County Business Council

RR:CM

From: [Dennis Tapley](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Peripheral canal
Date: Monday, March 16, 2020 7:32:28 AM

Remains a bad idea, no matter what it is called. California needs Mr Newsom to withdraw his support. Instead, mr Newsom, youv'got population reduction on your plat. There are too many of us.
Sent from my iPad

From: [Rick Downey](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Public Comment on the Delta Conveyance project.
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2020 2:07:33 PM

I strongly object any effort to take water out of the delta to transfer anywhere else.

I understand that there are farmers in the San Joaquin Valley that provide a vast amount of food. However, it is time to face some facts.

When the first Spaniard explorers arrived, they described California as a "semi-arid region". It was only during the 1900 ~ 2000 century that California had the mild and rain filled period of time.

It is obvious that California is no longer having the amount of rain we had during the last century, nor is there any evidence that it will return to that state. The opposite is true.

To try to ignore this fact is typical of man's futile attempt to control nature.

The farmers need to change their livelihoods, and we have to find other sources of food, or less water dependent crops.

For example, studies show that it takes 1,900 gallons of water to produce one pound of almonds. It is obvious that they need to STOP GROWING ALMONDS in California!!!!

Fish populations from the delta are NOT recovering, and southern Californians need to stop depending on northern Californian water.

Stop this project.

Rick Downey
rdowney@airmail.net
831-246-1542

From: [William Roltsch](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: public comments to EIR development
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2020 3:11:27 PM
Attachments: [SINGLE TUNNEL EIR.docx](#)

Please see my attachment reviewing my concerns for the development of the EIR for the Delta tunnel project.

Regards,
William Roltsch

Sent from [Outlook](#)

3/12/2020

To: Delta Conveyance Scoping

From: William Roltsch, 8301 Bull Mountain Circle, Elk Grove, CA 95758 (wjroltsch@hotmail.com)

RE: Public response to future development of the Delta Conveyance Project EIR

I am not a land owner in the Delta, nor am I a direct beneficiary of the Central Valley Canal system. I simply love the vast natural resources California has to offer and hope that they are not squandered through excessive and unjustifiable demands for limited water. There needs to be fair usage of water in the state, not usage based on the degree of bluster by some parties. Losing fish and wildlife species is forever. Commercial fisheries, sports fisheries, Native American heritage, and the tourism industry all must be accounted for in statewide water decisions.

The current water plan advocates the removal of 5 to 7k csf water. This is down from the twin tunnel plan of 9K. **These amounts of redirected water need to be specifically stated** and convey under what circumstances various amounts can be reasonably removed without jeopardizing fish and wildlife. For example, 7k csf to be taken if necessary during years of very high precipitation and flow (but specifics need great detail) for water storage, etc.

Appropriate demands of current and anticipated future water usage need to be addressed. Southern California population continues to grow and generally is unsympathetic to the natural resources of northern California where the water resource exists. This is also true of a good deal of the State's agriculture. Is more new land being put under plow on the east and west edges of the Central Valley, with sustained if not increased demand of water for agriculture, even as the State's population grows? Orchards seem to be appearing in previously uncultivated land. **Limitations must be acknowledged in the EIR.**

Exposure of the environment to **mercury is of great concern**. I hope to see clear evidence that substantial mercury is not unearthed and released during any digging process. Can the excavated soil be safely used for berms, etc. or will it be hazardous?

Alternative plans are of key interest. Would an above ground "tube" be possible, avoiding many problems with underground tunneling? Perhaps this could affect time and cost in a positive manner. Has a low, less obtrusive profile "tube" with a different shape (example: flattened oval) been evaluated for above ground deployment?

From: [Lee Johnson](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: tunnel
Date: Friday, March 13, 2020 4:44:29 PM

No tunnel no tunnel no tunnel

From: [Patricia Atkins](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Tunnel
Date: Friday, March 13, 2020 1:50:08 PM

Please NO to the Tunnel!
Please spend a fraction of the money & revitalize and update Clifton Court Fore-bay and the current water canal system.
Please regulate the farming in Central California and not allow unsustainable water thirsty crops, such as almonds.
Do not damage our Delta System this way!!!!
Thank you,
Patricia Atkins

Patricia Atkins, GIA GG
Atkins Appraisal's & Jewelry Services
Member, National Association of Jewelry Appraisers
510-396-6937
Victorianldy@gmail.com



From: [H Meyers](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Comment on Delta Conveyance Project
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2020 9:49:32 AM

I am writing to oppose the single tunnel plan of the Delta Conveyance Project. This project would create new, unacceptable, environmental problems, including new environmental justice problems. It would not result in new water for cities and farms to the south of the Delta and would result in significant environmental damage.

Thank you,
Hildy Meyers
Huntington Beach, CA

From: [Ian Kent](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Delta Tunnel
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2020 10:04:57 AM

Please do not go forward with this project. It is harmful to our environment it is bad for the planets future it does not help the people who need help the most. Working Californians. It's just another project to help the rich in southern Californian get more water.

Please stop the madness; this is wrong.
Thank you.
Ian

BodySlopesMassage

iPhoned

From: [Jacklyn Shaw](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#); [Chris Maven Austin](#)
Cc: [cwinn@sjgov.org](#); [Wid Anders Christenson,mnqr](#)
Subject: FYI/Feedback? "Delta UNDERGROUND BULLET TRAIN"? Change to West of Delta River (with Duck Hunters)!
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2020 2:36:23 PM

on 3.14.2020 from jacklyn at jjjshaw@verizon.net and facebook.com/CaliforniaWaterSolutions

RE: "Delta UNDERGROUND BULLET TRAIN"? Change to West of Delta River (with Duck Hunters):

Given the larger map options of Terminus Tunnel (DWR, EIR), 12 miles from Lodi City Hall, this is responding to Mavens Notebook, March 12? (It is "on Delta Conveyance", or "modernizing" what informed Californians voted against in 1982.) It is horrifying, double-talk, "disingenuous" and "devastating on any Delta tunnel plan", to the Supervisors Coalition of Five Delta Counties. That is a dust-kicking, with Delta Breeze, for an another "EMPTY, UNDERGROUND BULLET TRAIN BOONDOGGLE, AND WATER SOCIALISM" That is by "water redistribution" 300 miles (to Hanford, dune buggy racing and Fiji Water man), privy grants, former elected officials as bond profiteers and endless water boarding meetings"? It is displacing GENERATIONAL AGRI-TOURISM to USA & world and RECREATIONAL MARINAS, like Tower Park with Levees. Then it would be to temporary construction jobs, amidst critical water overdraft (Woodbridge/WID vs EastBay/EBMUD, Mokelumne River Aqueduct to PORT of Oakland, since 1929). Here is proof that water exports are nonsense (besides failed water exports of dry Mono Lake to LA, Anderson Dam to Silicon flooding, etc.) Lodi raised watermelons with three months fog; now it is a few weeks fog with worst drought in February for Lodi recorded history, with fruits, nuts and more. Increasing cycles of SOIL SALINITY makes MORE DROUGHT STATEWIDE. Scientists say "Delta conveyance" is "NOT PRACTICAL". So, for Westlands just play it west side of the Delta River (for Duck Hunters, or switch and bait)! Why did DESALINATION grants go to 16 states, but not California Coast with 90% of Californians? It was invented at UCB with article Jacques Leibovitz, 1977. Then DESAL has been used in over 100 nations. San Francisco has had idle Desalination plant; how about Port of Oakland, near Stanford salt energy research? Bakersfield basin can serve for Desalination, with Delta Conveyance plans and state university students learning more than one option for Water Smarts. To avoid flooding propaganda, restore Deep, Pure DREDGING, from Rio Vista to Antioch Bay. Also, who owns the Freeport intake, Sacramento, on Interstate five, I-5? SAVE THE RIVERS. Thanks for public comments. Distraught, Prof. Jacklyn, Grower, born in USA.

cc: Mavens Notebook/Comments? As a frequent reader of voluminous Maven's Notebook, Word Press was busy, and comments did not accept this URL. So, I put this timely concern on facebook.com/CaliforniaWaterSolutions

From: sunnycosce
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Cc: sunnycosce@yahoo.com
Subject: No Delta Tunnel!
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2020 2:17:00 PM

To Whom it May Concern:

I am writing this email to urge you not to divert any more water from the Trinity River for any reason. The Trinity is the largest tributary to the Klamath River, which is where my family lives.

Salmon populations along these rivers have been in severe decline since the "fish kill" in 2002, when over 70,000 adult salmon perished along the Klamath due to low, warm water conditions. These conditions were and are preventable by ensuring an adequate flow of cool water. This occurs naturally when water is not diverted upstream.

The people who live along these rivers have always depended on the salmon and other species for subsistence and sacred ceremonies. It is vital to our communities that we keep our fisheries from collapse and extinction!

The impact of this tunnel project would be irreversibly devastating. I implore you to put a stop to the diversion of water from the Trinity River.

Sincerely,
Sunny Cosce
Site 10 Box 15
Hoopa, CA 95546
(707) 951-8153

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

From: [Meaghan Simpson](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: NO!!! NO!!! NO!!! To the DELTA TUNNEL PLAN!!!
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2020 2:45:54 PM

EVERY PROPOSAL FOR VARIOUS RENDITIONS OF THE DELTA TUNNEL PLANS... ARE TOTALLY WRONG, IMMORAL AND INSANE!!! All communities of the Delta and north of the Delta we are totally against this insane water theft for corporate farmers and people far south!!! No version of this is ever going to be wise or prudent or fair!!!

Stop wasting CA taxpayers money endlessly trying to rob and rip and rape Northern California delta and our rivers communities in central and northern CA!!! The water fix bullshit must stop now!!!

Respectfully,
Meaghan Simpson
2150 foxwood drive
eureka, CA 95503

From: [Helen Harberts](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Opposition to project
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2020 12:00:52 PM

Until all of the California Aqueducts are re-built, resealed, suppressed and covered to prevent loss from leaks and evaporation, I oppose any project which moves water past the already established Delta protection plan in place.

In addition, all marginal lands should be removed from agricultural use, and crops which are water intensive such as cotton, should be banned in low water areas. CA is a desert. Act like it.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Martin Heatlie](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Tunnel Project
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2020 10:31:02 AM

Preservation of a healthy salmon population, and maintaining the delta marsh environment should take priority over delivering water to southern California. The tunnels should not be built if they will in any way adversely affect these vital resources. Conversely, the tunnels should only be built if it can be shown, with specific details, as to how they will benefit the salmon population and the delta marsh environment.

Martin Heatlie

From: [Liz Elias](#)
To: [DWR Delta Conveyance Scoping](#)
Subject: Tunnels
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2020 2:55:54 PM
Attachments: [Water conveyance protest letter to DWR.odt](#)

Please find attached a letter detailing my opposition to this project.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Liz Elias

--

"Tell the truth and you don't have to remember anything."Mark Twain

"I distrust those people who know so well what god wants them to do because I notice it always coincides with their own desires." -- Susan B. Anthony

Liz Elias
70 W. Bolton Rd.
Oakley, CA 94561
March 12, 2020

Attn: Renee Rodriguez
Delta Conveyance Scoping Comments
Department of Water Resources
P.O. Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236

To Whom It May Concern:

George Orwell was right. He just got the year wrong. "Big Brother" now surrounds us, and doublespeak is rampant.

A perfect example of the latter is the "Delta Conveyance Project," which is nothing more than political doublespeak for the old, tired, tunnel project, designed to steal water from Northern California's most sensitive environmental area for shipment to Los Angeles and environs so they can have swimming pools and lush lawns.

Supporting agriculture in the central valley is one thing, and there are options there. But the wholesale theft of water from the San Francisco Bay Delta region is unacceptable. Even with just one tunnel, the projected 3 – 7.5 *thousand* cubic feet of water *per second* is a staggering amount, incomprehensible for most people to envision, but it would have devastating, irreversible effects on the health of the Delta ecosystem.

According to Deidre des Jardins of California Water Research, and the Sierra Club water committee, our delta is at high risk if this project goes through.

The Delta Smelt is already in decline, and as this is an indicator species, further withdrawals from this river system are ill-advised.

The fact of the matter is that it is not the fault of Northern California that Southern California chose to build huge cities in a desert. They need to become self-sufficient in their water needs, including building desalinization plants and becoming very conservative in water use and recycling of water for agriculture. Continuing to subsidize their wasteful ways does nothing to encourage conservation.

Arguments are made about recharging groundwater. This cannot happen if surface water is redirected to other areas. This "conveyance" boondoggle will not serve the local area, or conserve water. Nor will it protect endangered species: quite the opposite. Fish kill at intake sites will be drastic.

Water shortage due to precipitation variance is cited. However, this is a specious argument, because it does not matter what causes the shortage, be it climate change or earthquake, the fact remains that we in California must all be far more conservative in our use of this precious resource. In point of fact, the natural ecosystem is far more resilient in the face of natural occurrences such as quakes than any tunnel would be: that could indeed rupture with disastrous consequences.

This environmentally dangerous project must be abandoned once and for all!

Sincerely,

Liz Elias

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS182

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name John Armstrong Date 2/3/20

Organization Sierra Club Water Committee Email armstrongj1@outlook.com

Address 6517 Powder Ridge Dr.

City Rocklin State CA Zip 95765

SCC (Sierra Club of CA) is opposed to any more water
committed by MWD to Central Valley ag / domestic & foreign
agribiz

for these reasons among others:

— Ag Beneficiaries of the tunnel ~~are~~ export 50 to 80% of the
produce/output. That's a huge ^{permanent} loss of embedded
water ^{and} soil mineral resource, and water associated (whole
foot print) loss to exporting

— MWD has recently been saying they have more water
than they need! But rather than take less water via SWP,
they want to maintain status quo by penalties and rate increases

There are no profit-sharing programs between domestic
& foreign agribiz and CA citizens with profit gains
from sales of CA water and mineral resource

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS183

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name Memmy Bates ^{enrolled in the} yuok tribe. Date 2-3-2020

Organization KTUSD Email _____

Address _____

City Orleans State C.A. Zip _____

I think that this ~~is~~ Delta project is a terrible idea because you are practically killing a community/tribe mentally ~~is~~ even literally, because we use this water you are "stealing" from us for a lot in our culture like for our food sources ~~is~~ to drink. Just think, yes, you will have more water but what about us, what are we supposed to drink, Shower in, Wash our stuff in, etc. If you guys think oh "they will be fine," your ~~is~~ wrong ~~is~~ selfish, ~~is~~ you should re-think your decision. If anything we need tunnels bringing water into our river. We just went through one of the biggest droughts, ~~is~~ you will be the reason we go into another if you put this in. You will go down in history as the people who stole water from innocent people ~~is~~ tribes.

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

DCS184

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name GARY GRANAM HUGHES Date 02/03/2020
Organization BIOFUELLAND Email garyhughes.bfu@gmail.com
Address PO Box 401
City REDWAY State CA Zip 95560

There are many reasons to be concerned about the threats of this mega-infrastructure project to the ecological integrity of the rivers of the NORTH STATE.

What stands out as particularly egregious and evidence of the flawed conceptual underpinnings of this proposed project is the absence of any scheduled meetings in the northern reaches of the state.

How could the Trinity be left out of the public meetings? As a Humboldt County resident it is offensive that there are no scheduled

Email comments to DeltaConveyanceScoping@water.ca.gov.

meetings up NORTH.

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name Susan Wallace Date 2-3-20

Organization _____ Email suewallacern@gmail.com

Address 2104 Farnsworth Way

City Randow Cordova State CA Zip 95670

Diverting so much water from the delta will cause serious harm to the delta and to the Californians who rely on it. Decreased fresh water flow will result in increased levels of pollutants + salts & will promote toxic algae blooms. There would be decreased water to support fish, fishing + farming as well as safe water sources for northern Californians.

There is no need to take needed water from the delta to support desert farms that export most of their fruits.



The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

DCS186

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name Phyllis Johnston Date 2/5/2020

Organization _____ Email PJsorter@gmail.com

Address 143 Hart Ave.

City Santa Monica State CA Zip 90405

I hope the EIR will examine a no tunnel alternative, analyzing water conservation, efficiency, and demand reduction. Delta water diversion will destroy the Delta ecosystem and damage the livelihood of Delta farmers and fisher men. The beneficiaries are people who planted water-greedy orchards in dry lands where they don't belong and southern California. The Owens Valley experience has shown what can happen when southern California's needs are given priority. In this instance, salt water intrusion from San Francisco Bay is a compounding consequence. The money would be better spent on storm water capture and other non-destructive alternatives.



**DELTA CONVEYANCE: ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW
PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING
February 5, 2020**

Associated General Contractors



Southern California Contractors Association



Engineering Contractor's Association



Building Industry Association of Southern California, Inc.



International Union of Operating Engineers



Southern California District Council of Laborers



Associated General Contractors San Diego Chapter



Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters

Hi, my name is Marci Stange, and I am speaking on behalf of Southern California Partnership for Jobs, an organization that represents 2,750 construction firms, and 90,000 union workers. I want to thank you for allowing us to provide input for the scoping process of the single-tunnel Delta Conveyance Project.

Southern California has a long tradition of investing in water projects to meet the needs of future generations. These water projects are imperative not only with the need to comply with the Safe Drinking Water Act, but also as we continue to rebuild the great state of California and the critical issues that face our disadvantaged communities.

Southern California Partnership for Jobs, in partnership with California Consulting, has started a program to research, submit, and fund grants for our disadvantaged communities who do not have the time nor the means to get many of the much-needed water projects completed. These grant submittals will be done by a professional, and each grant application will be considered extremely competitive.

Modernizing and upgrading our state's aging infrastructure with a single tunnel, properly sized to convey 6,000 cubic-foot-per-second of water supply for the State Water Project, will allow us to more efficiently move water, restore the Delta ecosystem and manage our water supply through climate extremes.

We support the Newsom administration's work to move forward in the planning process in a manner that achieves the goals of water supply reliability and ecosystem restoration. With our largest and most affordable supply at risk, we need the reliability the proposed Delta conveyance project will provide.

Thank you.

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS188

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name Rosalie Preston Date Feb. 5 2020

Organization _____ Email rosalieannp@hotmail.com

Address 15913 Menlo Ave

City Gardena State CA Zip 90247

I ask that you consider a "no tunnel" alternative. This would be the cheapest and least costly to those of us in Southern California who would use the water as well as the least impactful to fish & habitat & water supply in the Delta area.

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS189

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name Maximilienne Ewalt Date 2/10/2020
Organization N/A Email maxewalt@gmail.com
Address 13967 Ray St.
City Locke State CA Zip 95690

Taking water from the Delta will also have detrimental effects on the S.F. Bay

I believe there is so much more that California can do ~~before~~ other than building any tunnel to transport water from the largest fresh water estuary on the West Coast to Southern California.

How about subsidies for homeowners to put in gray water systems all over California.

I actually think that should be mandated.

Certainly all new construction in the state should be obligated to install gray water systems in all buildings. Conservation should be the 1st step.

The Delta's levees should be reinforced with trees, not metal and rock. We know trees prevent erosion.

And we also need more water storage (not dams)

Email comments to DeltaConveyanceScoping@water.ca.gov. ^{such as} under ground storage

for gray water for use on crops in the Central Valley

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS190

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name Jonathan Baker Date 2/10/20

Organization Farmer Email jbaker530@gmail.com

Address Sutter Island!

City Courtland State _____ Zip _____

Why the Hell do you want this tunnel to start
so far north if the water is headed south?
Dumb Thinking, Thanks for wasting your time!
We are fighting till the end.

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS191

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name JEFFREY MICHAEL Date _____

Organization _____ Email j.michael@pacific.edu

Address WEBER HALL, UNIV OF THE PACIFIC

City STOCKTON State CA Zip 95211

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMENTS

① ENV JUSTICE IS NOT IN THE NOP BULLET

LIST OF ANALYSIS AREAS - MAJOR OVERSIGHT

② THE TUNNEL WILL NOT SAVE LIVES

FROM THE LEVEE COLLAPSE SCENARIO,

WHEREAS LEVEE STRENGTHENING PROJECTS WILL

THIS FLOOD COULD KILL POOR FARMWORKERS

& LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES AROUND THE

DELTA MUCH LIKE KATRINA DESTROYED

THE LOWER 9TH WARD IN NEW ORLEANS.

↳ DEMANDS ALTERNATIVES THAT SAVE LIVES

& PROTECT THE WATER PROJECT, ~~KNOWLEDGE~~ → STRENGTHEN

→ STRENGTHEN THE LEVEES

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS192

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name Christine Hottinger Date 2/10/2020
Organization Property Owner Email collielass@hotmail.com
Address 14700 Andrews Island Rd
City Isleton State CA Zip 95641

It is my perspective that the "new one tunnel" has the same problems that the old-expensive two tunnel project had. The extensive negative impacts of this project are not openly being discussed or understood by shareholders.

It seems obvious to me that special interest individuals & groups have pushed tunnels through the CA Delta as a favorable water fix. It also seems obvious that there are alternative fixes that would have reduced negative impacts.

Importantly, as a home owner who lives on the Sac River, has dealt w/DWR for years, my experience with DWR have been dismal.

Email comments to DeltaConveyanceScoping@water.ca.gov.

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS193

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name Josephine Gambado Date 13 Feb

Organization _____ Email _____

Address 3909 Glen Abby

City Stk State CA. Zip 95219

Must Find An Alternative
No Tunnel

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS194

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name LYNN WAELDEN Date 13th Jan 2020

Organization _____ Email _____

Address 2330 TELEGRAPH AVE

City STOCKTON State CA Zip 93204

- ① Look at POINT MAGU PROJECT 1994
DESALINATION PLANT - REJECTED
- ② Look AT MULHOLLAND - RAPE
OF INYO COUNTY - COLORADO RIVER
- ③ LOOK AT THE # OF NEW MULTI
1000+ APARTMENT COMPLEXES BEING
BUILT ON THE CONTIGENCY OF
RECEIVING DELTA WATER



DWR Tunnel Scoping Meeting
 February 13, 2020
 Stockton, CA

The San Joaquin Audubon Society submits the following concerns relative to the construction of a single tunnel water conveyance structure through the heart of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.

1. There needs to be protection for all bird species that are present in the Delta. The project proponents cannot rely on the California Natural Diversity Database to determine whether species are present. Thorough surveys must be done before the project design is determined and the EIR is written, so that the full cost and extent of destruction and/or disruption of the bird species and the habitats on which they are dependent for survival can be evaluated for each alternative, and avoided and mitigated before construction starts. This is especially necessary for all species that are endangered, protected and/or listed as species of special concern. However, the Public Trust Doctrine provides that the state should conserve all species and the habitats on which they are dependent for survival.
2. Humans have the right under the Public Trust Doctrine to observe and enjoy the wildlife and natural beauty of the Delta, and wildlife habitat is a recognized beneficial use under the Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan. Construction and operation of any conveyance system must fully protect this right. Access and quality of individual use of the Delta for fishing, boating, birdwatching, hiking or just watching sunsets, must be fully protected. This must include impacts on travel, by roads or by water, caused by truck and barge traffic or other disruption caused by construction and operation activities related to the project.
3. Impacts on the Delta caused by climate change and sea level rise must be considered at least until the year 2100.
4. To avoid decimating endangered or threatened bird populations, mitigation for lost habitat must be completed and proven effective before any existing habitat can be destroyed or disrupted. Landscape influences in habitat restoration and/or creation is critical as is recently described by Shuford et al. (*The Relative Importance of Agricultural and Wetland Habitats to Waterbirds in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta of California*, San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science, vol 17, issue 1, article 2, 2019.) In the conclusion of the article it states: "Given the great uncertainty in the future extent and pace of habitat loss and degradation in the Delta - but with rapid or catastrophic change certainly possible - prioritizing the conservation action needed to maintain robust waterbird populations in the region is urgent."
5. The Delta Reform Act mandates that flow criteria for the survival of the Delta must be determined before the Water Board approves potential operation of a new conveyance system through a change in point of diversion. No construction can be commenced until the change in point of diversion is approved. Any decrease in flow in the south and central Delta that will contribute to increased toxic algae blooms and perpetuation of invasive species must be avoided.

6. The Delta Reform Act mandates that best available science must be used in all planning and approval considerations for the new conveyance project.
7. Monitoring programs must be established and functioning before construction starts and after operation of the proposed conveyance system begins. The project users must accept financial responsibility for all unpredicted consequences of the project.
8. Full transparency of the project planning, construction and operation must be observed. This includes financial costs as well as monitoring and adaptive management events. Monitoring and adaptive management activities must include representation by all stakeholders in the Delta.

David S. Fries, Ph. D.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "David S. Fries". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, prominent initial "D".

Conservation Chair

San Joaquin Audubon Society

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS196

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name Jasmine Leek Date 2/13/2020

Organization Third City Coalition Email jasmine@thirdcity.co

Address 509 E. Main St

City Stockton State CA Zip 95202

① Please consider other alternatives to this single tunnel project; and prioritize preserving and protecting our beautiful Delta. ② For the past 3 years, community organizations in Stockton have been working together to address sustainability-related issues in Stockton. Collectively, we do not accept any proposal that will negatively impact our water quality any further. Our communities have suffered for far too long from environmental degradation. ③ We ask that you continue to outreach to environmental justice communities across the state and listen to local leaders in impacted areas. The leadership of organizations like Restore the Delta, tribal communities, and others who will have to deal with the consequences should be taken seriously and revered. We are counting on you to listen to us when we say NO TUNNEL

Email comments to DeltaConveyanceScoping@water.ca.gov.

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS198

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name Laura Schneider Date 2/19/20

Organization _____ Email eklywdr@aol.com

Address Clarksburg

City _____ State CA Zip 95612

In what way will The building of The tunnel
change The flow of water through
Snodgrass Slough?

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS199

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name WOMAN MICHAEL MCKOWN Date 2/17/20

Organization _____ Email MIKEMCKOWN@MISHN.COM

Address 36601 DAVID LANE

City CLARKSBURG State CA Zip 95612

WHAT IS THE PLAN FOR ELK SLOUGH.

THE PLAN WILL DEWATER ELK SLOUGH IN
THE CLARKSBURG AREA.

Delta Conveyance Project

Scoping Comment Card



DCS200

The public scoping period is January 15, 2020 through March 20, 2020

Please provide comments on the scope of issues to be considered in the Delta Conveyance Project environmental analysis. Please print.

Name Jim Williamson Date 2/20/2020

Organization Delta Resident Email jtw185@yahoo.com

Address 185 Eagle Lane

City Brentwood State CA Zip 94513

① How big is the delta compared to the inlet you will build for this 60' tunnel?

If you do the calculations this tunnel will take more water than the 2 tunnels.

What will people do with their wells that are only 80' deep? when we have ^{fresh} Non water in the delta.

When will the government put Public Health in front of Money?

Email comments to DeltaConveyanceScoping@water.ca.gov.

OK
Back

Next time get a much bigger room so everyone can have a seat

FOLD ALONG DOTTED LINE



Delta Conveyance Project Scoping Comments
Attn: Renee Rodriguez
Department of Water Resources
P.O. Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236

PLACE
STAMP
HERE

Delta Conveyance Project Scoping Comments
Attn: Renee Rodriguez
Department of Water Resources
P.O. Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236

TAPE HERE