



April 1, 2016

Via Email and U.S. Mail

California Department of Water Resources
Attn: Lauren Bisnett, Draft GSP Emergency Regulations Public Comment
P.O. Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236

Email: sgmps@water.ca.gov

Re: Draft GSP Emergency Regulations Public Comment

Dear Ms. Bisnett:

The San Joaquin Tributaries Authority (SJTA) reviewed the Draft Groundwater Sustainability Plans Emergency Regulations (Proposed Regulations), released by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) on February 18, 2016. The SJTA appreciates the opportunity to provide DWR with comments and recommended revisions to the draft. The SJTA's comments are provided to DWR in three forms: (a) the general comment in this letter; (b) technical comments attached hereto; and (c) redline of the regulations, also attached hereto.

The SJTA understands the development of the Proposed Regulations is challenging and believe the first draft of the Proposed Regulations are a positive first step toward developing successful regulations. However, the SJTA is concerned with the breadth of the Proposed Regulations and the expansion of Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) requirements. The most concerning areas of overreach described below. The SJTA is also providing suggested revisions to resolve the issues described below. The SJTA looks forward to continuing to work with DWR to resolve these issues and finalize successful regulations that are compliant with the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act .

(1) State Prescription and Local Control

One of the guiding principles of SGMA groundwater management is that management is performed at the local level. (SGMA Uncodified Findings, Stats. 2014, ch. 346, § 1(a)(6), 2014 Cal. SB 1168 ["Groundwater resources are most effectively managed at the local or regional level."].)

SGMA specifically states that one of its primary goals is to “manage groundwater basins through the actions of local government agencies to the greatest extent feasible, while minimizing state intervention to only when necessary.” (Water Code, § 10720.1(h).) DWR also recognizes the importance of local control, stating, “Local control and management is a fundamental principle of SGMA.” (Dept. of Water Resources, *SGMA Draft Emergency Regs. For Groundwater Sustainability Plans and Alternatives* (Feb. 18, 2016), at 1 [http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/sgm/pdfs/DRAFT_GSP_Emergency_Regulations_021816.pdf].)

The SJTA recognizes there is tension between local management and statewide rules for determining the adequacy of local groundwater sustainability plans. However, the Proposed Regulations are overreaching in places, too prescriptive at times, and certain sections of the Proposed Regulations attempt to manage groundwater basins from the state instead of from the local level.

For example, the Proposed Regulations prescribe the metrics that local agencies must use to report groundwater data. (Section 352.6.) In addition, the Proposed Regulations prescribe the factors and timing upon which a local agency must define historical basin conditions. (Section 354.16.) The Proposed Regulations set forth a list of six factors upon which the local water budget must be based. (Section 354.18.) The Proposed Regulations require that locally developed minimum thresholds be “numeric values.” (Section 354.28.) This section continues on to require that the local definition of minimum thresholds include six specific factors. (*Id.*)

The SJTA requests DWR revise the Proposed Regulations to ensure that locals are able to manage basins at the local level. In addition to these comments, the SJTA is providing specific technical comments and suggested redline changes that may assist DWR in revising the Proposed Regulations to be less prescriptive.

(2) Multiple Plans Per Basin

SGMA allows more than one groundwater sustainability agency to manage groundwater in each basin. (Water Code, § 10727(b)(3).) SGMA also allows the groundwater sustainability agencies to develop more than one plan per basin. (Water Code, §§ 10727(b)(3), 10727.6.) In the event that more than one plan is developed per basin, the agencies that developed the plans must enter into a coordination agreement. (Water Code, § 10727.6.)

The Proposed Regulations significantly expand the requirements for basins that have more than one groundwater sustainability plan. SGMA only requires that groundwater sustainability agencies in multi-plan basins enter into a coordination agreement. (Water Code, § 10733.4(b).) However, the Proposed Regulations require significantly more than a coordination agreement. The Proposed Regulations require the multiple plans be “synthesized” into a single plan, that measureable objectives be the same for each plan, and that a single entity represent the entire basin to present DWR with the single synthesized plan. (Section 357.4)

In addition, the Proposed Regulations have several requirements that refer to the “basin” rather than the “plan area.” For example, the Proposed Regulations require agencies to develop, compile and report information on land use, water use, geological data, well specifications, and other information for an entire basin rather than the plan area. (Sections 354.14, 354.16, 354.18, 354.34, 355.4(a)(3).) Such requirements are unnecessary and overly burdensome in part because there are many basins in California that are geographically vast and vary greatly in different areas of the basin with regard to specific basin characteristics. To the extent these basins include several plans that manage smaller or isolated areas, the requirement to develop, compile and disclose significant information about portions of the basin that are not geographically or hydrologically connected to the Agency’s management area is unreasonable and not driven by sustainable management.

The Proposed Regulations overreach the intent and purpose of SGMA regarding multi-plan basins. The practical effect expanding coordination requirements to the degree found in the Proposed Regulations is to significantly erode the ability to manage a basin with more than one plan. This violates the provisions of SGMA, which clearly allows multiple plans per basin. Further, it violates the requirements set forth by SGMA for coordination. The SJTA requests that DWR revise the Proposed Regulations to ensure compliance with SGMA and allow basins to be managed by more than one plan both in theory and in practice.

(3) Technical Information

SGMA requires local agencies to report groundwater levels, demand, and maps of the basin. (Water Code, § 10727.2.) The Proposed Regulations require significantly more information than required by SGMA. Not only do the Proposed Regulations require significantly more information than SGMA, the Proposed Regulations require agencies provide more information than is necessary to achieve sustainability. For example, the Proposed Regulations require disclosure and description of “all agricultural, industrial, and domestic water supply wells” in the entire basin. (Section 354.8(a)(5).) Requiring each Plan to describe all wells in the entire basin will be highly duplicative in basins with multiple plans. Further, these duplicative disclosures are not designed to facilitate sustainability. Another example of expansion is that the Proposed Regulations require agencies provide “maps and cross-sections of the chloride concentration isocontour” and describe the current and projected effects of sea level rise, regardless of whether seawater intrusion is an issue for the basin or plan area. (Section 354.28(b)(3).) To the extent these disclosures are necessary to achieve sustainability, an agency should provide this information. However, requiring this level of development and disclosure of information for all managed areas is too onerous and often will not serve the purpose of promoting sustainability.

Generally, the Proposed Regulations require each agency develop significant volumes of information that may or may not be related to achieving groundwater sustainability. The Proposed Regulations should be scaled back to allow the local management agencies to evaluate which information is necessary to achieve sustainable groundwater management.

(4) Clarity of Regulatory Language and Regulated Community Understanding

Regulations must be sufficiently clear that the regulated community understands the regulations and the requirements set forth in the regulations. (*Barclays Bank Int'l Ltd. v. Franchise Tax Bd.* (1992) 10 Cal. App. 4th 1742, 1759 [“Government regulation must be sufficiently clear so that it is understandable and does not encourage arbitrary and discriminatory application.”].) The Proposed Regulations develop rules for difficult subject matter. However, some of the language in the Proposed Regulations is confusing and must be revised to provide clarity to the regulated community.

For example, the SJTA understands it is DWR’s intent to have Agencies define “interim milestones” and “undesirable results” to be defined at a local Plan level, whereas, “measurable objectives” and the “sustainability goal” should be defined at a basin level. This intent is not made clear by the plain language of the Proposed Regulations. Further, the Proposed Regulations do not make clear which document should include the basin-wide definitions – the Plan or the coordination agreement. Similarly, the language regarding critical parameters, monitoring networks, and conceptual models are all overly complex; the concepts and the language should be revised to be straightforward and understandable.

(5) Depletion of Interconnected Surface Waters Causing Adverse Impacts to Beneficial Uses

SGMA defines sustainability by the avoidance of six undesirable results. (Water Code, § 10721(w).) Clearly the most cumbersome of these undesirable results is the depletion of interconnected surface water that has significant and unreasonable adverse impacts on beneficial uses of surface water. (Id.) The cumbersome quality of this undesirable result is due to the multiple triggering actions. This undesirable result occurs when: (a) groundwater is interconnected with surface water; (b) extractions of groundwater are causing the depletion of surface water; and (c) depletions are causing significant and unreasonable adverse impacts to the beneficial uses of surface water.

The Proposed Regulations use the wrong metric to quantify this undesirable result. The Proposed Regulations state the metric should be the volume of surface water depletion. (Sections 354.28(b)(6), 354.28(e) and 354.16(f).) This is not correct. The metric to measure this undesirable result must measure the adverse impact to beneficial uses of surface water.

Further, in referring to this undesirable result, the Proposed Regulations seem to gloss over the initial required findings that ground and surface waters are interconnected and groundwater extractions are causing surface water depletions. The Proposed Regulations are silent and unclear with regard to the party responsible for making a finding that surface and groundwater are interconnected. The initial finding of interconnected surface and groundwater is an important foundational and causal element that cannot be ignored. The SJTA encourages DWR to revise the Proposed Regulations to correct the metric for this undesirable result and make clear that local agencies are responsible for the initial determination of interconnection. If a Plan determines surface and groundwater are not interconnected, this undesirable result would not apply to the Plan Area.

(6) Best Management Practices

Best management practices are not requirements, but rather, actions that are aspirational and provide guidance for management. The Proposed Regulations lack clarity regarding best management practices. In several places, the Proposed Regulations are unclear regarding compliance with best management practices. Section 351 defines best management practices as actions that will achieve sustainability. (Section 351(h).) Because sustainability is required, this definition could be incorrectly interpreted to suggest that best management practices are compulsory. Further, in section 354.48, the Proposed Regulations require agencies to identify data gaps where best management practices are not satisfied. The general principles state that Agencies must implement projects that achieve best management practices. (Section 350.2(d)(2).) These provisions suggest best management practices are requirements; this is not the case and the language should be revised to be clear that best management practices are not required.

The SJTA requests DWR revise the Proposed Regulations to make clear that best management practices are aspirational and not required. To maintain the language that best management practices are requirements will deter agencies from developing guidance that may be helpful to achieving sustainability for fear that the practices cannot be fully satisfied.

The SJTA looks forward to continuing to work with DWR on improving and finalizing the Proposed Regulations.

Very truly yours,



Valerie C. Kincaid

VCK/llw

cc: SJTA

ARTICLE 1. Introductory Provisions

§ 350.2. General Principles

Section (a) should make clear that the Plan or a set of coordinated Plans are required to achieve the sustainability goal.

Section (d)(2) should make clear that compliance or satisfaction of best management practices is not required.

Section (d)(4) the term “institutional system” is not defined elsewhere and is not a clear term; should be replaced with local agency operations and procedures.

A section on substantial compliance should be added to the general principles section, stating that not every single element of the regulations requires compliance if local agencies determine such compliance is not necessary to achieve sustainable groundwater management.

ARTICLE 2. Definitions

§ 351. Definitions

Baseline definition should be revised to allow local definition and incorporate provisions from Water Code section 10727.2(b)(4).

Best management practice should be revised to state that the practices or actions are not required. In addition, this definition should not require that the practices have been determined to be effective and practicable.

Coordinating agency definition should be deleted, as it creates a new agency not consistent with SGMA and contradicts the definition of “Plan Manager”.

Critical parameter should be revised to be more clear.

Definition of “Plan Area” should be included to define an area of a basin that is managed by a groundwater sustainability plan.

Measurable objectives should be revised to make clear that this definition should be included in a coordination agreement if there is more than one plan in a basin.

ARTICLE 3. Technical and Reporting Standards

§ 352. Introduction to Technical and Reporting Standards

This section should be revised to make clear that compliance with best management practices is not required.

§ 352.4. Best Management Practices

This section should be revised to make clear best management practices are aspirational and not compliance is not required. In addition, this section should be revised to state the five year review process will be conducted by the Agency.

§ 352.6. Data and Reporting Standards

This section should be revised to be advisory rather than required. The reporting standards should be suggested, but not required if other standards allow local agencies to better achieve sustainability. Similarly, well requirements and map specifications should be suggested but not required if sustainability can be achieved without the level of information or specifications.

§ 352.8. Data Management and Recordkeeping

This section should be revised to require a data management system that stores and maintains sufficient information to manage toward and maintain sustainability.

ARTICLE 4. Procedures

§ 353.2. Information Provided by the Department

It may be more prudent to develop forms for plan submittal at a later date; adding technical requirements for submittal should be informed by Plan content and format.

§ 353.4. Reporting Provisions

The requirement that one individual should submit the Plan under penalty of law is unnecessary and may prove difficult to identify one person responsible for all Plan content.

§ 353.6. Initial Notification

This section should be revised to be consistent with SGMA. SGMA requires an agency to provide DWR with a written statement describing how interested parties may participate in the development of a plan prior to the development of such Plan. SGMA does not require the agency notify DWR of its decision to develop a Plan and certainly does not have to do so within 30 days.

§ 353.8. Public Comment

This section should be revised to delete the provision that allows comment on the notice to prepare a Plan. SGMA allows public comment on a Plan, but not on the notice to develop a Plan. (Water Code 10727.8.) Creating a requirement of a notice to develop a Plan and allowing public comment thereon creates an additional process not contemplated or required by SGMA. The Proposed Regulations should not develop requirements outside of SGMA and therefore should delete this provision.

§ 353.10. Withdrawal or Amendment of Plan

This section should be revised to include a provision that explains how a withdrawn Plan affects DWR's review of other Plans in the same basin.

ARTICLE 5. Plan Contents**§ 354.6. Agency Information**

This section is inconsistent with the concept of a single agency per basin, as it allows Agencies to submit Plans directly to DWR.

§ 354.8. Description of Plan Area

Plan Area should be defined in definitions section as the area managed by a Plan. Also, the requirements for disclosure should remain consistent for the Plan Area; the sections which require disclosure of information for the entire basin should be revised to require disclosure for the Plan Area only.

§ 354.10. Notice and Communication

This section should be revised to suggest, but not require a communications plan. A communications plan is not required by SGMA and the requirement of such a plan by the Proposed Regulations is not appropriate.

SUBARTICLE 2. Basin Setting

§ 354.14. Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model

This section should be revised and re-titled to reflect that only a description of the basin setting is required. Referring to a description as a conceptual model is unnecessarily complicated. In addition, this section should be focused to require a description of the Plan Area; requiring this level of information for the entire basin is likely to cause undue burden on Plans for small areas in large basins. In addition, basin wide information may be duplicative or conflict with information provided by other Plans.

§ 354.16. Basin Conditions

This section should be revised to focus on conditions in the Plan Area. In addition, this section should be revised to remove specific dates; local management agencies should be left to interpret the disclosure of historical and current conditions.

§ 354.18. Water Budget

This section should be revised to require a budget for the Plan Area. Water Code section 10727.6 specifically requires the development of a water budget for the Plan Area while coordinating with other agencies in the basin. This provision does not require a single water budget for the entire basin.

In addition, this section should be revised to suggest a water budget quantify supply, demand, and other listed data, rather than require components of a water budget. The Proposed Regulations should not prescribe how each local management area puts together its water budget, this is clearly something that should be locally developed.

The purpose for requiring three water budgets, current, historical and projected is not clear and not supported by SGMA requirements. The Proposed Regulations should require a single budget that is revised and adapted according to new and developed information.

Section (d) should offer information from DWR, but should not require that local agencies use the information to develop water budgets. Again, water budgets should be developed locally and should not be required to incorporate or otherwise rely on information developed by DWR.

§ 354.20. Management Areas

This section should be revised to allow management areas within a basin or within a Plan Area.

§ 354.22. Introduction to Sustainable Management Criteria

This section should be revised to clarify and simplify the definition of a critical parameter. In addition, this section should be revised to correctly describe the interconnected surface water undesirable result as depletions of interconnected surface water that results adverse impacts on beneficial uses of surface water

§ 354.24 Sustainability Goal

This language in this section must be clarified. It requires each agency establish a sustainability goal, but then also seems to require a single sustainability goal for an entire basin. This assumes that each basin only has a single Agency. SGMA allows multiple Agencies per basin.

SGMA defines “sustainability goal” in section 10721(t). In this definition, SGMA explicitly recognizes a basin may include multiple Plans that each identify and implement their own respective measures. The measures from the various Plans must come together to ensure the basin is operated within the sustainable yield. Thus, as defined by SGMA, the sustainability goal is that various Plans are coordinated to achieve a basin-wide sustainable yield. SGMA does NOT require a single sustainability goal or a single measurable objective for the basin. The section should be revised to require sustainability goals for each Plan Area.

§ 354.26. Undesirable Results

This section should be revised to require that each Plan, rather than each Agency, describe the processes and criteria relied upon to define undesirable results. In addition, this section should define undesirable results for the Plan Area, rather than the entire basin.

§ 354.28. Minimum Thresholds

This section should be revised to require the Plan to establish minimum thresholds, rather than each agency. In addition, it is unclear why the Proposed Requirements are mandating that minimum thresholds be numeric, rather than a narrative standard or description. Section (a)(3) should be revised to evaluate whether minimum thresholds affect other basins. SGMA only requires DWR evaluate the impacts on other basins, it does not prohibit potential impacts that limit other basins from achieving sustainability.

Section (b) should be revised to state that minimum thresholds shall be defined after consideration of the following factors, rather than requiring the minimum thresholds are based upon the following factors. The definition of minimum thresholds should remain a locally controlled definition.

The remainder of the section should, again, require local management to consider specific factors. However, these sections should be revised to ensure DWR is not prescribing how

local management develops its management metrics.

Section (e) should be revised to require the Plan support the determination with best scientific data rather than clear and convincing evidence.

§ 354.30. Measurable Objectives

This section should be revised to require measurable objectives for the Plan Area.

In section (d), the clear and convincing standard should be replaced with best science available.

SUBARTICLE 4. Monitoring Networks

§ 354.34. Monitoring Network

This section should be revised to focus monitoring requirements on developing monitoring that will support sustainable groundwater management.

This section should be revised to remove any requirement for monitoring surface water. Such a requirement is outside the scope of SGMA and the Proposed Regulations.

This section appears to assume a Plan will include measurable objectives for monitoring. SGMA requires measurable objectives to measure achievement of the sustainability goal. (10727.2(b).) SGMA refers to monitoring requirements as protocols. (10727.2(f).) The Proposed Regulations should be revised to use the same language as SGMA. In addition, the protocols simply must be designed at a local level to generate information for sustainable management. The Proposed Regulations should be revised to be consistent with the spirit of SGMA.

Section (a) should be revised to require protocols for sustainable management that may include the factors listed. This section should not include monitoring protocols that identify adjacent ability to meet sustainability goals; it is an overreaching requirement.

Section (d) should be revised to suggest the Plan consider the listed factors to determine the density and frequency of measurement, rather than require density and frequency be determined by the factors.

Section (e) should be revised to simply require the Plan to describe how it developed the monitoring protocols.

Section (g) should be revised to ensure that it is clear that the Proposed Regulations are not requiring the satisfaction of best management practices. Best management practices should not set forth “minimum standards.” Further, the best management practices for monitoring should be optional, not mandatory.

§ 354.38. Assessment and Improvement of Monitoring Network

Section (a) should be revised to remove the reference to satisfying best management practices.

Section (d) should require the Plan consider adjusting monitoring protocols and not require such adjustment, as the factors that require adjustment may or may not be resolved by adjusting monitoring. In addition, the reference to surface water conditions should be removed and only used in circumstances where interconnection determination has been made by the local agency.

SUBARTICLE 5. Projects and Management Actions**§ 354.44. Projects and Management Actions**

This section should be revised to make the list of included descriptions suggested not mandatory.

In addition, this section should be revised to eliminate the requirement to describe contingency projects or actions in detail. Contingency projects are not required by SGMA and are generally not practicable, as it is difficult to predict which projects will be necessary for potential issues and challenges in management. Certainly, the requirement that the Plan must describe criteria that would trigger contingency projects is overreaching and asking the Agencies and Plans to predict the future. The Proposed Regulations should be revised to state that the Plan should include some plan for worsening conditions and adaptive management if minimum thresholds are repeatedly violated.

ARTICLE 6. Evaluation and Assessment**§ 355.2. Department Review of Initial Adopted Plan**

This section should be revised to allow for a public comment period of 60 days, not “no less than 60 days” per SGMA requirements.

Section (d) is confusing because it allows DWR to evaluate Plans in probationary basins. There are three ways to become probationary: (1) fail to form a GSA by deadline; (2) fail to have the entire basin covered by a Plan by deadline; or (3) failure to implement a Plan. In order to submit a Plan to DWR, the basin must have a Plan that covers all of the basin. Thus, it is unclear how DWR would have a Plan to review in a probationary basin, unless the basin was probationary due to

This section should be revised to allow 180 days to cure, not up to 180 days as required by SGMA.

§ 355.4. Criteria for Plan Evaluation

This section should be revised to allow for multiple Plans together achieving the sustainability goals.

This section should be revised to remove the impacts to an adjacent basin as a measure of Plan adequacy. DWR is required to evaluate the impact of a Plan on the ability of another Basin to implement its sustainability goals, however, this evaluation may or may not have anything to do with Plan adequacy. It is possible that one basin impacts the ability of another to achieve sustainability, but that such an impact is supported by existing water rights and is justified. In this situation, the impact should not be a consideration of whether a Plan is adequate.

§ 355.6. Periodic Review of Plan by Department

Section (b)(5) should be revised to remove the finding of impact on an adjacent basin; whether a basin is likely to affect an adjacent basin's achievement of the sustainability goal should not be related to whether a Plan is adequate.

Section (b)(6) should be deleted because this catch-all authority is not supported by SGMA and is overly broad.

§ 355.10. Resolution of Conflicts by Department

This section should be deleted. SGMA does not provide DWR with the authority to "address" disputes among and between local agencies. Taking on the role of arbiter is outside the authority of DWR and should be removed. Further, this provision does not provide any further information or explanation as to how DWR would manage and resolve disputes and/or any appeal process from such resolution.

ARTICLE 7. Reports, Assessments, and Amendments**SUBARTICLE 1. Annual Reports****§ 356.4. Annual Report**

This section should be revised to recommend the annual reports provide the listed information. SGMA only requires the annual report include basic elevation and supply/demand information. All information in addition to this basic data should be at the discretion of the local management agency.

This section should be revised to recommend disclosure of information at the Plan Area level; not for the entire basin as consistent with section 10728.

SUBARTICLE 2. Periodic Evaluation of Plan

§ 356.10. Agency Evaluation and Assessment

This section should be revised to delete the requirement that the internal assessment of the Plan be submitted to DWR. This is outside the scope of SGMA and is likely to present several confidentiality and privilege issues.

This section should be revised to require reporting information at the Plan Area level consistent with SGMA. In addition, all required disclosures should be changed to recommended or required evaluation.

SUBARTICLE 3. Plan Amendments

ARTICLE 8. Coordination Agreements

§ 357.4. Intrabasin Coordination

This section should be revised to remove the “submitting agency” concept as the single contact with DWR. This requirement contradicts other provisions in the Proposed Regulations and SGMA. In addition, this requirement erodes much of the purpose of having multiple Plans in a basin, which allows for parties that do not agree on management to provide management at a local level for a portion of a basin.

This section should also be revised to remove the requirement that the coordination agreements develop a single report synthesizing all basin conditions. This requirement is beyond the requirements and spirit of SGMA. The purpose of the coordination agreement is to ensure all of the Plans in the Basin sufficiently work together to obtain the sustainability goal. These requirements create a new layer of regulatory requirement, with a new layer of regulatory agency required to produce yet another regulatory document. These requirements are overreaching and add an unnecessary burden on local groundwater managers.

Section (h) should be revised to remove the provision that DWR should evaluate the coordination agreement to determine it is sufficient to any address any disputes between the parties. DWR is not and should not be in the position of the arbiter between local agencies and should not evaluate how these agencies may address potential future disputes.

ARTICLE 9. Alternatives and Adjudicated Areas**§ 358.4. Alternatives to Groundwater Sustainability Plans**

This section should be revised to be consistent with SGMA and remove the requirement that an alternative demonstrate that no undesirable results are present in the basin. This is overreaching and beyond the authority granted by SGMA. SGMA requires an alternative prove the basin is operating within its sustainable yield. SGMA does not require a showing of no undesirable results. This new and unsupported requirement would significantly limit the opportunity to provide DWR with a successful alternative application.



Sustainable Groundwater Management Act Draft Emergency Regulations for Groundwater Sustainability Plans and Alternatives

Groundwater Sustainability in California

On January 1, 2015, California began implementing the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA). This landmark law empowers local agencies to implement groundwater sustainability plans tailored to the needs of their communities. California depends on groundwater for a major portion of its annual water supply, particularly during times of drought. Current drought conditions illustrate the need for reliable and resilient water supplies. The long-term planning required by SGMA will ensure that groundwater is a buffer against drought and climate change, and contributes to reliable water supplies regardless of weather patterns in the State.

The Department of Water Resources (DWR) released draft emergency regulations for local groundwater sustainability plans on February 18, 2016. The regulations describe the required plan elements and the criteria that DWR will use to evaluate the plans. SGMA requires DWR to adopt final regulations by June 1, 2016.

Key Elements of a Groundwater Sustainability Plan

The draft regulations require local public agencies to define a course to achieve sustainable groundwater management within 20 years of plan implementation. Plans must identify when and where groundwater conditions cause problems, such as seawater intrusion; the specific projects and management actions that local agencies will implement to prevent or abate the problems; and milestones to track plan progress. Plans must also describe how local agencies will monitor groundwater and how monitoring data will be used to improve conditions-achieve sustainability in the basin. Groundwater management can be complicated and technically challenging; the regulations set standards and a framework for local agencies to organize their plans and submit them to DWR for evaluation. Technical and financial assistance will be available to help local agencies develop their plans.

Local Flexibility

Local control and management is a fundamental principle of SGMA; the draft regulations preserve the role of local agencies in managing their basins and achieving sustainability. Local agencies have flexibility in defining the problems in their basins, establishing minimum thresholds, setting measurable objectives, and determining the projects and management actions that will be required to achieve sustainability in their basins. The draft regulations also recognize that adaptive management is an important tool for local agencies, and they allow for continued adaptation and changes to a plan based on new information and data. Local agencies will have wide authority to address plan uncertainties and use adaptive management techniques to improve groundwater management over time.

Public Comments and Next Steps

The draft regulations are available for public review at www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/sgm/gsp.cfm. Public comments may be submitted in writing through March 25, 2016. DWR will host three public meetings and a statewide webinar in March to solicit public input on the draft regulations. DWR welcomes and encourages public comments to improve the draft regulations, and it will use public comments to make changes before issuing final regulations.

GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY PLAN EMERGENCY REGULATIONS**Contents**

ARTICLE 1. Introductory Provisions	1
§ 350. Authority and Purpose	1
§ 350.2. General Principles	1
ARTICLE 2. Definitions	3
§ 351. Definitions	3
ARTICLE 3. Technical and Reporting Standards	7
§ 352. Introduction to Technical and Reporting Standards.....	7
§ 352.4. Best Management Practices	7
§ 352.6. Data and Reporting Standards.....	7
§ 352.8. Data Management and Recordkeeping.....	10
ARTICLE 4. Procedures.....	11
§ 353. Introduction to Procedures	11
§ 353.2. Information Provided by the Department	11
§ 353.4. Reporting Provisions	11
§ 353.6. Initial Notification	12
§ 353.8. Public Comment.....	12
§ 353.10. Withdrawal or Amendment of Plan	13
ARTICLE 5. Plan Contents	14

§ 354. Introduction to Plan Contents	14
SUBARTICLE 1. Administrative Information.....	14
§ 354.2. Introduction to Administrative Information.....	14
§ 354.4. Executive Summary.....	14
§ 354.6. Agency Information.....	15
§ 354.8. Description of Plan Area	15
§ 354.10. Notice and Communication.....	17
SUBARTICLE 2. Basin Setting.....	18
§ 354.12. Introduction to Basin Setting.....	18
§ 354.14. Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model.....	19
§ 354.16. Basin Conditions	20
§ 354.18. Water Budget	21
§ 354.20. Management Areas.....	24
SUBARTICLE 3. Sustainable Management Criteria	25
§ 354.22. Introduction to Sustainable Management Criteria	25
§ 354.24 Sustainability Goal.....	25
§ 354.26. Undesirable Results.....	26
§ 354.28. Minimum Thresholds.....	27
§ 354.30. Measurable Objectives.....	30
SUBARTICLE 4. Monitoring Networks	31

§ 354.32. Introduction to Monitoring Networks.....	31
§ 354.34. Monitoring Network.....	31
§ 354.36. Representative Monitoring	34
§ 354.38. Assessment and Improvement of Monitoring Network.....	35
§ 354.40. Reporting Monitoring Data to the Department.....	36
SUBARTICLE 5. Projects and Management Actions.....	36
§ 354.42. Introduction to Projects and Management Actions.....	36
§ 354.44. Projects and Management Actions	37
ARTICLE 6. Evaluation and Assessment.....	39
§ 355. Introduction to Evaluation and Assessment.....	39
§ 355.2. Department Review of Initial Adopted Plan	39
§ 355.4. Criteria for Plan Evaluation	40
§ 355.6. Periodic Review of Plan by Department.....	42
§ 355.8. Consultation with Board	43
§ 355.10. Resolution of Conflicts by Department.....	44
ARTICLE 7. Reports, Assessments, and Amendments	45
§ 356. Introduction to Reports, Assessments, and Amendments.....	45
SUBARTICLE 1. Annual Reports	45
§ 356.2. Introduction to Reports.....	45
§ 356.4. Annual Report.....	45

§ 356.6. Department Review of Annual Reports 47

SUBARTICLE 2. Periodic Evaluation of Plan..... 47

§ 356.8. Introduction to Agency Evaluation and Assessment 47

§ 356.10. Agency Evaluation and Assessment..... 48

SUBARTICLE 3. Plan Amendments..... 49

§ 356.12. Amendments and Modifications to Plan 49

ARTICLE 8. Coordination Agreements 51

§ 357. Introduction to Coordination Agreements 51

§ 357.2. Interbasin Agreements 51

§ 357.4. Intrabasin Coordination..... 52

ARTICLE 9. Alternatives and Adjudicated Areas 55

§ 358. Introduction to Alternatives and Adjudicated Areas 55

§ 358.2. Adjudicated Areas Subject to Water Code Section 10720.8..... 55

§ 358.4. Alternatives to Groundwater Sustainability Plans 56

§ 358.6. Department Evaluation of Plan Alternatives 57

TITLE 23. WATERS
DIVISION 2. DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
CHAPTER 1.5. GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT
SUBCHAPTER 2. GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY PLANS

ARTICLE 1. Introductory Provisions

§ 350. Authority and Purpose

These regulations specify the components of groundwater sustainability plans, alternatives to groundwater sustainability plans, and coordination agreements prepared pursuant to the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (Part 2.74 of Division 6 of the Water Code, beginning with Section 10720), and the methods and criteria used by the Department to evaluate those plans, alternatives, and coordination agreements and information required by the Department to facilitate that evaluation.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10733.2, 10733.4. Water Code.

§ 350.2. General Principles

Consistent with the State's interest in achieving groundwater sustainability through local management and the avoidance of undesirable results within groundwater basins, the following general principles shall guide the Department in the implementation of these regulations.

- (a) The Plan must, ~~individually or as part of a set of coordinated Plans,~~ achieve the sustainability goal for the entire basin within 20 years of Plan implementation, ~~without adversely affecting the ability of an adjacent basin to implement their Plan or achieve their sustainability goal.~~
- (b) ~~The A~~ Plan shall describe a process for the collection, interpretation, and reporting of sufficient reliable information to permit the Department to evaluate the adequacy of the Plan.
- (c) The Department shall evaluate the adequacy of all Plans, including subsequent modifications to Plans, and reports and periodic evaluations based on a substantial

compliance standard as described in Article 6, provided that the goals of the Act are satisfied. Notwithstanding the provisions of this subchapter, the Department may waive any specific requirement under this subchapter where it determines such waiver is consistent with the Act. An Agency may request a waiver or the Department may waive on its own initiative.

- (d) The Department may determine that an initial Plan is adequate, notwithstanding identified deficiencies, provided that the Plan contains sufficient credible information to support reasonable interpretations about basin conditions and describes all of the following:
- (1) A process for prioritizing and filling data gaps throughout the course of Plan implementation.
 - (2) The specific actions and projects that will bring the Plan into compliance ~~within minimum standards and best management practices~~ with the sustainability goal on a reasonable schedule.
 - (3) A definite course to achieve the sustainability goal within 20 years of Plan implementation and substantial compliance with these general principles based on the Agency's determination of the proper course to achieve sustainability.
 - (4) The ~~institutional system~~ groundwater sustainability agency operations and procedures that will maintain sustainability over the planning and implementation ~~horizon.~~
- (e) Adaptive management may be employed as a tool for improving local and regional management of the state's groundwater basins within 20 years of Plan implementation and over the planning and implementation horizon.
- (f) The processes for an Agency to develop and submit a Plan for evaluation by the Department, and for Department evaluation, as described in these regulations, are made applicable to multiple Agencies developing multiple Plans and to Alternatives, as described in Article 9.
- (g) The Department may evaluate a Plan at any time, for compliance with the Act and this Subchapter.
- (h) Unless otherwise noted, all section references in these regulations refer to this Chapter.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 113, 10720.1, 10733, 10733.2, 10733.4, 10733.6, Water Code.

ARTICLE 2. Definitions

§ 351. Definitions

In addition to terms defined in the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act and in Bulletin 118, and terms defined in Subchapter 1 of this Chapter, which definitions apply to these regulations, the following terms used in this Subchapter have the following meanings:

- (a) “Agency” refers to a groundwater sustainability agency as defined in the Act. For the purposes of this regulation, “Agency” may also apply to multiple Groundwater Sustainability Agencies that are working together on one Plan for the management of a Basin or portion of a Basin.
- (b) “Agricultural water management plan” refers to a plan adopted pursuant to the Agricultural Water Management Planning Act as described in Part 2.8 of Division 6 of the Water Code, commencing with Section 10800 et seq.
- (c) “Alternative” refers to any alternative to a Plan described in Water Code Section 10733.6.
- (d) “Annual report” refers to the report required by Water Code Section 10728.
- (e) “Baseline” or “baseline conditions” refers ~~to historic information used to project future conditions~~ for of hydrology, water demand, and availability of surface water as defined by local Agency and considers the provisions of Water Code section 10727.4(b)(4) and is used to evaluate potential sustainable management practices of a basin.
- (f) “Best available information” refers to information that is accurate, applicable, actionable, and accessible.
- (g) “Best available science” refers to the use of high-value information and data, specific to the decision being made and the time frame available for making that decision, that is consistent with scientific and engineering professional standards of practice.
- (h) “Best management practice” refers to a practice, or combination of practices, that are not required, but designed to achieve sustainable groundwater management and have been determined may be employed by groundwater sustainability agencies as acceptable methods to be technologically and economically effective, practicable, and based on best available science.
- (i) ~~“Coordinating agency” refers to a groundwater sustainability agency or other authorized entity that represents two or more Agencies or Plans for a basin and is the sole point of~~

~~contact with the Department.~~

(f)(i) “Critical parameter” refers to a condition affecting a Plan Area that may cause an “undesirable result” as defined in the Act under Water Code Section 10721(x), which includes chronic lowering of groundwater levels indicating a depletion of supply if continued over the planning and implementation horizon, reduction of groundwater storage, sea water intrusion, degraded water quality, land subsidence that substantially interferes with surface land uses, and depletions of surface water that have adverse impacts on beneficial uses of surface water that may lead to undesirable results,~~as described in Water Code Section 10721(x).~~

(f)(j) “Groundwater flow” refers to the ~~volume~~ volumetric rate and direction of groundwater movement into, out of, or throughout a basin.

(f)(k) “Interested parties” refers to all persons and entities on the list of interested persons established by the Agency pursuant to Water Code § 10723.4.

(f)(l) “Interconnected surface water” refers to conditions where surface water and the underlying aquifer are hydraulically connected by a continuous saturated zone ~~and the overlying surface water is not completely depleted.~~

(f)(m) “Interim milestone” refers to a target value for management actions or measurable groundwater conditions set by an Agency as part of Plan implementation.

(f)(n) “Management area” refers to areas within a basin where conditions such as water use sector, water source type, geology, aquifer characteristics, or critical parameters related to undesirable results are significantly different from basin conditions as a whole, and justify different minimum thresholds, measurable objectives, monitoring and management actions.

(f)(o) “Measurable objectives” refer to specific, quantifiable goals for the maintenance or improvement of specified groundwater conditions that have been included in an adopted Plan, or set of coordinated Plans, to achieve the sustainability goal in a basin.

(f)(p) “Minimum threshold” refers to the point at which groundwater conditions for a given critical parameter are significant and unreasonable.

(f)(q) “NAD83” refers to the North American Datum of 1983 computed by the National Geodetic Survey.

(f)(r) “NAVD88” refers to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 computed by the National Geodetic Survey.

(f)(s) “Plain Language” means language that the intended audience can readily understand and use because that language is concise, well-organized, uses simple vocabulary, avoids excessive acronyms and technical language, and follows other best

practices of plain language writing.

(t) “Plan” refers to a groundwater sustainability plan as defined in the Act. The status of a Plan may change as follows:

(1) “Adopted Plan” refers to a Plan that has been adopted by an Agency pursuant to the requirements of the Act and this Subchapter.

(2) “~~Approved Adequate~~ Plan” refers to an adopted Plan that has been evaluated by the Department and found to be adequate.

(3) “Initial Plan” refers to the first version of a Plan developed by an Agency and evaluated by the Department.

(u) “Plan area” refers to an area of a basin that is managed by a groundwater sustainability plan.

(v) “Plan implementation” refers to the date when an Agency exercises any of the powers described in the Act after adopting and submitting to the Department a Plan or Alternative.

(w) “Plan manager” is an employee or authorized representative of a groundwater sustainability agency who has been delegated management authority for submitting the groundwater sustainability plan and serving as the point of contact between the groundwater sustainability agency and the Department.

(x) “Principal aquifers” refer to aquifers or aquifer systems that store, transmit, and yield significant or economic quantities of groundwater to the wells, springs, or surface water systems.

(y) “Reference point” refers to a permanent, stationary and readily identifiable mark or point on a well, such as the top of casing, from which groundwater level measurements are taken.

(z) “Reporting period” refers to the period covered by the annual report required by Water Code Section 10728, which shall consist of the previous water year.

(aa) “Representative monitoring” refers to a monitoring site within a broader system of sites that typifies one or more conditions within the basin or an area of the basin.

(ab) “Seasonal high” refers to the highest annual static groundwater elevation that is typically measured in the Spring and associated with stable aquifer conditions following a period of lowest annual groundwater demand.

(ac) “Seasonal low” refers to the lowest annual static groundwater elevation that is typically measured in the Summer or Fall, and associated with a period of stable aquifer conditions following a period of highest annual groundwater demand.

(ad) “Seawater intrusion” refers to the advancement of seawater into a groundwater supply that results in degradation of water quality in the basin, and includes seawater from any source.

(ae) “Substantial compliance” means the Agency has attempted to comply with the regulations in this subchapter in good faith, that the Plan and supporting information is sufficiently detailed and the analyses sufficiently thorough and reasonable to permit evaluation of and support the implementation of the Plan, and the Department determines that any discrepancy would not materially affect the ability of the Agency to achieve the sustainability goal or of the Department to evaluate the likelihood of the Plan to attain that goal.

(af) “Urban water management plan” refers to a plan adopted pursuant to the Urban Water Management Planning Act as described in Part 2.6 of Division 6 of the Water Code, commencing with Section 10610 et seq.

(agf) “Water source type” represents the source from which water is derived to meet the applied beneficial uses, including, but not limited to, groundwater, recycled water, reused water, and local or imported surface water sources identified as Central Valley Project, the State Water Project, the Colorado River Project, local supplies, and local imported supplies.

(agah) “Water supply reliability” refers to the likelihood that the supply of water within the basin will satisfy reasonable demands for the beneficial uses and users of water.

(aih) “Water use sector” refers to categories of water demand ~~based on~~ with reference to the general land uses to which the water is applied. They include, but may not be limited to, urban, industrial, agricultural, managed wetlands, managed recharge, and native vegetation.

(aji) “Water year” refers to the period from October 1 through the following September 30, inclusive, as defined in the Act.

(aj) “Water year type” refers to the classification system index provided by the Department to assess the amount of precipitation in a basin.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

ARTICLE 3. Technical and Reporting Standards

§ 352. Introduction to Technical and Reporting Standards

This Article describes the use of ~~best management practices and~~ minimum standards for monitoring sites and other technical matters appropriate to develop or monitor the implementation of a Plan and discusses best management practices.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 352.4. Best Management Practices

- (a) Each Plan shall include best management practices adopted by the Agency for management actions, data collection and analysis, and other necessary elements of the Plan. These practices are aspirational and compliance with the practices is not required. The Agency may rely on best management practices developed by the Department or, if the Agency does not rely on those developed by the Department, shall adopt their own best management practices.
- (b) The Department shall review its Best best management practices, and each Agency shall review its own best management practices if it has adopted those different from the Department, shall be reviewed at least every five years as part of the periodic evaluation of the Plan and the best management practices shall be modified as necessary.
- (c) If best management practices developed by the Department are modified, an Agency shall not be required to amend the Agency's adopted best management practices, regardless of whether the Agency adopted the Department's best management practices or developed its own, until the next five-year review.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10728.2, 10729, 10733.2, 10733.8, Water Code.

§ 352.6. Data and Reporting Standards

- (a) The following reporting standards guidelines may apply to ~~all~~ information required of a Plan based on the Agency's determination of whether its sustainability goals require such reporting practices, unless otherwise indicated:
- (1) Water volumes shall may be reported in acre-feet.
 - (2) Groundwater, surface water, and land surface elevations shall may be measured and reported in feet relative to NAVD88 common datum in the basin, or as modified, to an accuracy determined by the agency of at least 0.1 feet.

(3) Reference point elevations ~~shall~~ may be measured and reported in feet relative to common datum in the basin NAVD88, or as modified, to an accuracy ~~of at least 0.5 feet or the best available information, and the method of measurement described determined by the agency.~~

(4) Geographic locations ~~shall~~ may be reported in GPS coordinates by latitude and longitude relative to common datum in the basin NAD83, or as modified, in decimal degree to five decimal places, and a minimum accuracy ~~of 30 feet~~ determined by the agency.

(b) The following standards may apply to wells and monitoring sites, unless otherwise indicated:

(1) ~~All m~~Monitoring sites ~~shall~~ may include the following information, as appropriate:

(A) A unique site identification number and narrative description of the site location.

(B) A description of the type of monitoring, type of measurement, and monitoring frequency.

(C) Location, elevation of the ground surface, and reference point, including a description of any reference point.

(D) A description of the standards used to install the monitoring site, and identification of any sites that do not conform to best management practices.

(2) Wells used as the source of basic geologic or other information, including data used to develop the hydrogeologic conceptual model, to determine the water budget, or establish the basin setting, ~~shall~~ may provide the best available information. All available information about the wells shall be reported in the Plan, which shall include, at a minimum, well location, well construction, and well use.

(3) Wells used to monitor groundwater conditions ~~shall~~ may be constructed according to standards described in DWR Bulletin 74-90, as amended, and ~~shall~~ may include the following identifying information presented in both tabular and geodatabase-compatible shapefile form:

(A) CASGEM well identification number and, if available, a State well identification number and any local well identification.

(B) Well location, elevation of the ground surface, and reference point, including a description of the reference point.

(C) A description of the well use, such as public supply, irrigation, domestic, monitoring, or other type of well, whether the well is active or inactive, and whether the well is a single, cluster, or nested well.

(D) A list of ~~all~~relevant casing perforations, borehole depth, and total well depth.

(E) A copy of ~~any~~relevant well completion -reports.

~~(F) Any geophysical logs, well construction diagrams, or other relevant information, if available.~~

~~(G)~~(F) Identification of aquifers monitored, if applicable.

~~(H)~~(G) Any other relevant well construction information, such as well capacity, casing diameter, casing modifications, or other information as available.

(4) If an Agency relies on wells that lack casing perforations, borehole depth, and total well depth information to monitor groundwater conditions as part of an initial Plan, the Agency shall may describe a schedule for acquiring monitoring wells with the necessary information, or demonstrate to the Department that such information is not necessary to understand and manage groundwater in the basin.

(c) Maps submitted to the Department shall should meet the following requirements:

(1) Each map, including ~~all~~-data layers, shapefiles, geodatabases, and other information used to create the map, shall should be submitted electronically to the Department in accordance with Article 4.

(2) Each map shall should contain a level of detail and be clearly labeled to ensure that the map is informative and useful.

(3) The datum shall should be clearly identified on the maps or in an associated legend or table included in the Plan.

(d) Hydrographs submitted to the Department shall should meet the following requirements:

(1) Hydrographs shall should be submitted electronically to the Department in accordance with Article 4.

(2) Hydrographs shall should include the state well number or CASGEM well identifier and any local well designation, and elevation of the ground surface, and reference point.

(3) Hydrographs shall should use the same datum and scaling to the greatest extent practical and contain a level of detail and be clearly labeled to ensure that they are informative and useful.

(e) Groundwater ~~and surface~~-water models developed or utilized as part of or in support of a Plan shall should be consist of public domain open-source software that may meets the following requirements:

- (1) ~~Shall~~ Should have publically available supporting documentation that establishes its ability to represent groundwater and surface water flow.
 - (2) ~~Shall~~ Should be calibrated against site-specific field data.
 - (3) ~~Shall~~ Should be based on actual field or laboratory measurements, or equivalent methods, that document the validity of chosen parameter values.
- (f) The Agency ~~shall~~ should provide a list of references and technical studies relied upon by the Agency in developing the Plan. The Agency ~~shall~~ should provide electronic copies of all reports and other documents and materials that are not otherwise generally available to the public. ~~Proprietary data and reports need not be disclosed unless requested by the Department to resolve interbasin disputes, as described in Section 355.12.~~

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 352.8. Data Management and Recordkeeping

Each Agency shall develop and implement a coordinated data management system that is capable of storing, maintaining, and reporting all-relevant information data related to the development or implementation of the Plans sufficient to manage toward and maintain sustainability.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10727.2, 10733.2, 10728, Water Code.

ARTICLE 4. Procedures

§ 353. Introduction to ~~Procedures~~

This Article describes procedural and notification requirements related to the submission of Plans and public comment to those Plans.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 353.2. Information Provided by the Department

- (a) The Department shall make forms and instructions for submitting Plans available on its Internet Web site.
- (b) Information provided by the Department pursuant to this Subchapter shall be provided on the Department's Internet Web site.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10729, 10733.2, Water Code

§ 353.4. Reporting Provisions

Plans, Plan amendments, annual reports, and five-year assessments shall be submitted by each Agency in accordance with the requirements of this section.

- (a) ~~All m~~Materials shall be submitted electronically to the Department through an online reporting system, in a format provided by the Department as described in Section 353.2.

~~(b) All materials shall be accompanied by a transmittal letter signed by a person duly authorized under California law to bind the party submitting the report, and including the following certification:~~

~~"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete."~~

- ~~(c)(b) All M~~materials submitted to the Department shall be posted on the Department's Internet Web site.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10728, 10728.2, 10733.2, 10733.4, 10733.6, Water Code.

§ 353.6. Initial Notification

- (a) Each Agency shall ~~notify the Department, in writing, within 30 days of an Agency's~~

~~decision to develop a Plan. The provide written notification shall provide to DWR general information about the Agency's process for developing the Plan, including the manner in which interested parties may contact the Agency and participate in the development and implementation of the plan. prior to developing a Plan describing how interested parties may participate in the development of the Plan prior to the development of such Plan.~~

The Agency shall make the information publicly available by posting relevant information on the Agency's Internet Web site.

- (b) The Department shall post the initial notification required by this Section, including Agency contact information, on the Department's Internet Web site within 20 days of receipt.
- (c) Upon request, prior to adoption of a Plan, the Department shall provide reasonable assistance to an Agency regarding the elements of a Plan required by the Act and this Subchapter. Notwithstanding any advice provided by the Department, the Agency is solely responsible for the development and adoption of a plan that is capable of achieving sustainable groundwater management.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10723.4, 10727.8, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 353.8. Public Comment

Any person may provide comments to the Department regarding any proposed or adopted Plan.

~~(a) The Department shall accept public comment on any aspect of an Agency's decision to develop a Plan as described in Section 353.6, including all elements of the proposed Plan as it may be developed by the Agency.~~

~~(b)~~(a) The Department shall establish a comment period of ~~no less than~~ 60 days on an adopted Plan that has been accepted by the Department for evaluation pursuant to Section 355.2.

~~(c)~~(b) The following guidelines apply to all public comments:

- (1) Public comment shall be submitted by written notice, and shall include the name, address, and electronic mail address of the person or entity providing the comments and information, with a duplicate copy of the comment provided to the Agency at the same time.
- (2) Public comment should include a clear statement of relevant issues that are the subject of the comments and information.
- (3) The level of detail provided by public comment need not be as comprehensive as that

contained in the proposed or adopted Plan, but ~~should~~ may rely on similar scientific and technical information, including the reliance upon the best available information and best available science.

~~(d)~~(c) All comments and other information received shall be posted on the Department's Internet Web site.

~~(e)~~(d) The Department is not required to respond to comments, but will consider comments as part of its evaluation of a Plan.

~~(f)~~(e) The Department shall give the Agency a reasonable opportunity to respond to public comment, including the opportunity to modify the Plan consistent with Section 355.2.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10727.8, 10733.2, 10733.4, Water Code.

§ 353.10. Withdrawal or Amendment of Plan

An Agency may withdraw a Plan at any time by providing written notice to the Department. If multiple Plans govern the same basin, withdrawal of a Plan shall not affect other Plans in the basin. An Agency may amend a Plan at any time pursuant to the requirements of Section 356.12.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10728.4, 10733.2, Water Code.

ARTICLE 5. Plan Contents

§ 354. Introduction to Plan Contents

This Article describes the required contents of Plans, including general information, a description of the basin setting and characteristics of the aquifer system, sustainable management criteria, and a description of the monitoring network, reports, and projects.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

SUBARTICLE 1. Administrative Information

§ 354.2. Introduction to Administrative Information

This Subarticle describes administrative and other general information in the Plan relating to the Agency that has adopted the Plan, the area covered by the Plan, and other procedural matters.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.4. Executive Summary

Each Plan shall include an executive summary written in plain language that provides an overview of the Plan and description of groundwater conditions of the basin.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, 10733.4, Water Code.

§ 354.6. Agency Information

When submitting an adopted Plan or set of coordinated Plans to the Department, the Agency shall include a copy of the information provided pursuant to Water Code Section 10723.8, with any updates, if necessary, along with the following information:

- (a) The name and mailing address of the Agency or agencies in the basin.
- (b) Documentation of the organization and management structure of the Agency or agencies in the basin. The documentation shall identify persons with management authority for implementation of the Plan or set of coordinated plans.
- (c) The name and contact information, including phone number, mailing address and electronic mail address, of the plan manager.
- ~~(d) The legal authority of the Agency with specific reference to citations setting forth the duties, powers, and responsibilities of the Agency, including information demonstrating that the Agency has the necessary legal authority to implement the Plan.~~
- ~~(e)~~(d) A description of anticipated revenues and costs of implementing the Plan or set of coordinated plans, including programs, projects, contracts, administrative expenses and other expected costs, and information demonstrating that the Agency has the necessary financial ability to implement the Plan.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10723.8, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.8. Description of Plan Area

Each Plan shall include a description of the geographic areas covered, including the following information:

- (a) One or more maps of the basin or Plan area that depict the following:
 - (1) The area managed by the Plan and name and location of any adjacent basins.
 - (2) Jurisdictional boundaries of federal land, state land, tribal land, cities and counties and other land use agencies, ~~and all general plans.~~
 - (3) Adjudicated areas, all Agencies within the basin, and areas governed by Plan alternatives.
 - (4) Designation of existing land uses and the identification of each water use sector and water source type.
 - (5) The density of wells per square mile, by dasymetric or similar mapping techniques, showing the distribution of all agricultural, industrial, and domestic water supply wells in the basin Plan area, including de minimis extractors, and the location and extent of communities dependent upon groundwater. Each Such information shall be provided by the Agency to the extent it is reasonably available and shall utilize based on data available from the Department, as specified in Section 353.2, or the best available information.
- (b) A written description of the Plan area, including a summary of the jurisdictional areas and other features depicted on the map.
- (c) A description of existing water resource monitoring programs including, but not limited to, agricultural water management plans, urban water management plans, the California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring Program, the Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program, and the Groundwater Ambient Monitoring Assessment Program, Salt Nutrient Management Plans. To the extent existing programs require information similar to that required by this Subchapter, the Plan may incorporate data from existing programs.
- (d) How existing water resource monitoring and management programs and agencies with water management authority, could affect the ability of the Agency to achieve sustainable groundwater management, and how the Plan addresses potential effects.
- (e) A description of coordination between the Plan, Integrated Regional Water

Management Plans, and Flood Management Plans, if applicable.

(f) A description of conjunctive use programs and infrastructure in the basin Plan area.

(g) A plain language description of the land use elements or topic categories of any applicable general plans that includes the following:

(1) A summary of land use plans governing the basin Plan area.

(2) A description of how implementation of existing land use plans are expected to change water demands within the basin Plan area.

(3) An identification and assessment of proposed land use activities that may pose a risk to groundwater quality or quantity in the basin Plan area.

(4) An assessment of how implementation of the Plan may affect applicable land use plans.

~~(5)~~ A summary of land use plans outside the basin, for any area the Agency determines to be linked to the hydrology of the area basin governed by the Plan.

~~(6)~~(5) A summary of the process for permitting wells in the basin Plan area.

~~(7)~~(6) How implementation of existing land use plans may affect the ability of the Agency to achieve sustainable groundwater management, and how the Plan addresses potential effects.

~~(8) How implementation of existing land use plans outside the basin, including a description of how implementation of those land use plans could affect the ability of the Agency to achieve sustainable groundwater management, for any area the Agency determines to be linked to the hydrology of the basin governed by the Plan.~~

(h) A description of any of the additional Plan elements included in Water Code Section 10727.4 that the Agency determines to be appropriate.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10720.3, 10727.2, 10727.4, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.10. Notice and Communication

Each Plan shall may, if the Agency deems it appropriate in furthering its sustainability goals, include a summary of information relating to notification and communication by the Agency with other agencies and interested parties including the following:

- (a) The list of interested persons established and maintained by the Agency.
- (b) A description of the interests of beneficial uses and users of groundwater in the basin, and the persons or entities representing those interests, and the nature of consultation with those interests.
- (c) A summary of public meetings at which the Plan was discussed or considered by the Agency.
- (d) A copy of all comments regarding the Plan received by the Agency and a summary of any responses made by the Agency.

~~(e) A communication plan adopted by the Agency, including the following:~~

- ~~(1) An explanation of the Agency's decision-making process and how stakeholder input and public response will be used.~~
- ~~(2) Identification of opportunities for stakeholder engagement.~~
- ~~(3) A description of how the Agency encourages the active involvement of diverse social, cultural, and economic elements of the population within the basin.~~
- ~~(4) A schedule of milestones and scheduled dates for known projects or actions.~~
- ~~(5) A description of the roles and responsibilities of local agencies and the public.~~

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10723.2, 10723.4, 10727.8, 10733.2, 10733.4, Water Code

SUBARTICLE 2. Basin Setting

§ 354.12. Introduction to Basin Setting

This Subarticle describes the information about the physical setting and characteristics of the basin and current conditions of the basin that shall be included with each Plan. Information provided pursuant to this Subarticle shall be prepared by or under the direction of a professional geologist or professional engineer.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.14. Hydrogeologic ~~Conceptual Model~~Description of the Basin or Plan Area

(a) Each Plan shall include ~~a background and technical information hydrogeologic conceptual model~~ of the basin or Plan area consisting of a written description, map, and cross-sections, based on technical studies or qualified maps. The written description shall include a discussion of the following for the Plan area and surrounding area, as deemed necessary by the Agency:

- (1) Regional geologic and structural setting of ~~the basin, Plan~~ the area and surrounding area.
 - (2) Lateral ~~basin~~ boundaries of the Plan area, including major geologic features that significantly impede or impact groundwater flow.
 - (3) The definable bottom of the ~~basin, Plan~~ area.
 - (4) Principal aquifers and aquitards, including the following information:
 - (A) Formation names, if defined.
 - (B) The physical properties of aquifers and aquitards, including their lateral and vertical extent, hydraulic conductivity, and storativity, which information may be based on existing technical studies or other sources of information.
 - (C) The structural properties of the ~~basin, Plan~~ area that restrict groundwater flow within the principal aquifers, including information regarding stratigraphic changes, truncation of units, or other features.
 - (D) General water quality of the principal aquifers, which may be based on information derived from existing technical studies or regulatory programs.
 - (E) Identification of the aquifers used for domestic, irrigation, or municipal water supply.
 - (5) Other relevant information required by the Department as necessary to evaluate the Plan.
- (b) The ~~background hydrogeologic information conceptual model~~ shall be represented graphically by at least two scaled cross-sections, approximately perpendicular to one another and extending the length and width of the ~~basin, Plan~~ area, that display the information required by this section.
- (c) Physical characteristics of the ~~basin, Plan~~ area shall be represented on one or more

maps that depict the following:

- (1) Topographic information, of adequate scale, derived from the U.S. Geological Survey or another qualified source.
- (2) Surficial geology derived from a qualified map including the locations of ~~basin-wide~~ the Plan-area-wide cross-sections- required by this- Subarticle.
- (3) Soil characteristics such as hydraulic conductivity, permeability, total water storage holding capacity, or other water transmitting properties as described by the appropriate Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey or other applicable studies.
- (4) Delineation of existing recharge areas that substantially contribute to the replenishment of the ~~basin~~ Plan area, potential recharge areas, and discharge areas, including active springs, seeps, and wetlands within or adjacent to the basin.
- (5) Surface water bodies with water supply diversions greater than 10 acre-feet per year, storage facilities with a capacity of greater than 100 acre-feet.
- (6) The source location, distribution system, and point of diversion for imported water supplies.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.16. Basin Conditions

The Plan shall characterize current and historical groundwater conditions using baseline conditions dates to be designated and described by the agency in the ~~basin~~ Plan area. ~~The Plan shall rely on the best available data to characterize historical conditions prior to January 1, 2015. The description of historical basin conditions shall specifically include conditions that existed as of January 1, 2015, and a comparison with present conditions. To the extent applicable to the~~ Plan area, the description shall also contain all of the following information:

- (a) Groundwater elevation demonstrating flow directions, lateral and vertical gradients, and regional pumping patterns, including:
 - (1) Groundwater elevation contour maps depicting the current seasonal high and seasonal low for each principal aquifer within the ~~basin~~ Plan area.

(2) Hydrographs depicting long-term groundwater elevations, historical highs and lows, and hydraulic gradients between principal aquifers.

~~(b)~~ Groundwater storage data demonstrating the annual and cumulative change in storage based on seasonal high groundwater conditions, water use, and water year type.

(c)(b) Seawater intrusion conditions in the basin that includes maps and cross-sections of the seawater intrusion front for each principal aquifer within the Plan area.

(d)(c) Groundwater quality issues that may impact the supply and beneficial uses of groundwater, including a description and map of the following:

(1) The location of known groundwater contamination sites ~~and plumes including current or historical waste discharge requirements, known historical or ongoing cleanup activities, and superfund sites~~.

(2) Horizontal and vertical proximity of wells within the Plan area to known sources of groundwater contamination.

(e)(d) The extent, cumulative total, and annual rate of land subsidence within the Plan area, including maps depicting total subsidence. Each Agency shall utilize data available from the Department, as specified in Section 353.2, or the best available information

(f)(e) Identification of interconnected surface water systems and groundwater-dependent ecosystems within the basin, Plan area. Each Agency shall utilize data available from the Department, as specified in Section 353.2, or the best available information

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.18. Water Budget

The Plan shall include a water budget for the basin or Plan area that provides an accounting and assessment of the total annual amount of groundwater and surface water entering and leaving the basin, Plan area, including historical, current and projected water budget conditions, and the change in the amount of water stored. Water budget information shall be reported in narrative, tabular ~~and-or~~ graphical form.

(a) The water budget shall quantify supply, demand, and other listed data, which quantify may include the following:

(1) ~~All~~ Water supplies in the Plan area, including but not limited to infiltration of precipitation, infiltration from applied water, infiltration from surface water systems, and subsurface groundwater inflow.

(2) ~~All~~ Water demands in the Plan area, including but not limited to

evapotranspiration, groundwater extraction, groundwater discharge to surface water sources, and subsurface groundwater outflow.

- (3) ~~All~~ ~~W~~ water supplies in the Plan area by water source type.
 - (4) ~~All~~ ~~W~~ water demands in the Plan area by water source type and water use sector.
 - (5) The change in the annual volume of groundwater in the Plan area in storage between seasonal high conditions.
 - (6) The water year type associated with the annual supply, demand, and change in groundwater stored.
- (b) The Plan ~~shall~~ may, if determined to be appropriate by the Agency, quantify the current, historical, and projected water budget for the ~~basin~~ Plan area as follows:
- (1) Current water budget information shall quantify present-day supply and demand using the most recent hydrology and land use information.
 - (2) Historical water budget information from a baseline period set by the Agency shall ~~may~~ be used to evaluate past surface water supply reliability and aquifer response to water supply and demand trends relative to water year type. The historical water budget ~~shall~~ may include the following:
 - (A) An ~~quantitative~~ evaluation of the historical surface water supply reliability as a function of the historical planned versus actual annual surface water deliveries, by water year type, and based on the ~~most recent ten years~~ a period of historical conditions, to be designated by the Agency, of surface water supply information
 - (B) An ~~quantitative~~ assessment of the historical water budget, starting with the most recently available information and extending back ~~a minimum of 10 years~~ to a date specified by the Agency, or as is sufficient to adequately calibrate and reduce the uncertainty of the tools and methods used to estimate and project future water budget information and future aquifer response to proposed sustainable groundwater management practices over the planning and implementation horizon.
 - (C) A description of how historical conditions concerning hydrology, water demand, and surface water supply reliability have impacted the ~~basins ability~~ basin's potential to achieve sustainable yield.
 - (3) Projected water budgets shall be used to estimate future supply, demand, and aquifer response to Plan implementation, and to identify the uncertainties of these projected water budget components. The projected water budget shall utilize the following methodologies and assumptions for historical baseline conditions concerning hydrology, water demand and surface water supply reliability:

- (A) Hydrology: Projected hydrology shall utilize 50-years or an otherwise appropriate number of years set by the Agency of historical precipitation, evapotranspiration, and streamflow information as the baseline hydrology over the planning and implementation horizon, while evaluating scenarios of future hydrologic uncertainty associated with projections of climate change and sea level rise.
- (B) Water Demand: Projected water demand shall utilize the most recent land and water use, evapotranspiration, and crop coefficient information as the baseline water demand over the planning and implementation horizon, while evaluating scenarios of future water demand uncertainty associated with projections of local land use planning, future population growth, and climate change.
- (C) Surface Water Supply and Reliability: Projected water supply shall utilize the most recent water supply information as the baseline surface water supply over the planning and implementation horizon, while evaluating scenarios of future water supply uncertainty associated with historical surface water supply reliability, and projections of future local land use planning, future population growth, and climate change.
- (c) The Plan ~~shall rely on the best available information and best available science to quantify the water budget for the basin area in order to provide an adequate understanding of historical and projected hydrology, water demand, water supply, land use, population, climate change, sea level rise, groundwater-surface water interaction, and subsurface groundwater flow. If a groundwater-surface water model is not used to quantify and evaluate the projected water budget conditions and the potential impacts to beneficial uses and users of water, the Plan shall identify and describe an equally effective methods or and tools~~ to evaluate projected water budget conditions, or identify provisions for developing a groundwater-surface water model capable of quantifying projected water budget conditions no later than the first five-year assessment.
- (d) The following information shall be provided by the Department and ~~shall~~ may be used by Agencies in developing the water budget:
- (1) Historical ~~water budget~~ information for mean annual temperature, mean annual precipitation, water year type, and central valley land use.
 - (2) Current ~~water budget~~ information for temperature, water year type, evapotranspiration, and Statewide land use.
 - (3) Projected ~~water budget~~ information for population, population growth, climate change, and sea level rise.
- (e) The Department shall provide the California Central Valley Groundwater-Surface Water Simulation Model (C2VSIM) and the Integrated Water Flow Model (IWFM) for use by Agencies in developing the water budget. Each Agency may choose to use a different flow model.

- (f) Information provided by the Department pursuant to this Subchapter shall be provided on the Department's Internet Web site.
- (g) The Agency may utilize other data in addition to or in lieu of information provided by the Department if the Agency is able to demonstrate that the data is of sufficient quality.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.20. Management Areas

Each Agency may define one or more management areas within a ~~basin~~ Plan area if local conditions for one or more critical parameters differ significantly from those of the Plan area or basin at large, and if the Agency has determined that subdivision into management areas will facilitate implementation of the Plan. Management areas may have different minimum thresholds and be operated to different measurable objectives than the Plan area or basin at large, provided that the goal of the Plan is to achieve sustainable management for the entire basin by the target date and that operation to different standards within a management area does not produce undesirable results elsewhere.

- (a) Plans that include management areas shall describe the following:
- (1) The basis for the formation of each management area.
 - (2) The minimum thresholds and measurable objectives appropriate to each management area.
 - (3) The appropriate level of monitoring and analysis for each management area based on documented differences between the area and the Plan area or —basin at large.
- (b) If a Plan creates one or more management areas, the descriptions, maps, and cross-sections required by this Subarticle shall include information about those areas.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, 10733.4, Water Code.

SUBARTICLE 3. Sustainable Management Criteria

§ 354.22. Introduction to Sustainable Management Criteria

This Subarticle describes criteria for sustainable management of a basin, including the standards by which an Agency shall locally define undesirable results and minimum thresholds for each relevant critical parameter. Critical parameter refers to a condition affecting a managed area that may cause an “undesirable result” as defined in the Act under Water Code Section 10721(x), which includes a negative condition or multiple negative conditions affecting a groundwater basin arising from one or more “undesirable result(s)” as defined in the Act under Water Code Section 10721(x), which includes chronic lowering of groundwater levels indicating a depletion of supply if continued over the planning and implementation horizon, reduction of groundwater storage, sea water intrusion, degraded water quality, and land subsidence; and depletions of interconnected surface water that substantially interferes with surface land uses, and depletions of surface water that have adverse impacts on beneficial uses of surface water that may lead to undesirable results, as described in Water Code Section 10721(x). This Subarticle describes the following:

- (a) The interrelationship between minimum thresholds, undesirable results, and measurable objectives.
- (b) The groundwater conditions for which critical parameters are significant and unreasonable, at a given location, which determines the minimum threshold.
- (c) The process for determining the point at which exceeding minimum thresholds has the cumulative effect of causing undesirable results.
- (d) The operational range above the minimum threshold that defines the measurable objective.
- (e) The requirements for the Agency to establish measurable objectives and interim milestones necessary to achieve the sustainability goal in the basin within 20 years of Plan implementation and to maintain the sustainability goal over the planning and implementation horizon.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.24 Sustainability Goal

Each Agency shall establish a sustainability goal for the basin Plan area. ~~The Each~~ Plan shall include a description of the basin-wide sustainability goal, including a discussion of the measures meant to ensure that the basin- Plan area will be operated within its sustainable yield, and an explanation of how the sustainability goal will be achieved within 20 years of Plan implementation. The Agency-Plan will show that it has achieved the sustainability goal by demonstrating that the management and use of groundwater in the basin- Plan area can be maintained through the planning and implementation horizon without causing undesirable results achieves the individual measures established by the Agency to promote -the basin sustainability goal.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10721, 10727, 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.26. Undesirable Results

Each Agency-Plan shall describe the processes and criteria relied upon to define undesirable results applicable to the basin- Plan area. Undesirable results occur when significant and unreasonable effects for any of the critical parameters are caused by groundwater conditions occurring throughout the basin- Plan area.

- (a) The description provided by the Agency shall include, but is not limited to, the following:
- (1) The groundwater conditions under which the critical parameters are significant and unreasonable, which shall define minimum thresholds for that critical parameter as described in Section 354.28.
 - (2) An explanation of the criteria used to define when and where the cumulative effects of such groundwater conditions create undesirable results.
 - (3) A description of known or projected effects on the beneficial uses and users of groundwater, and other potential effects that would occur or are occurring.
 - (4) A description of the cause of groundwater conditions that would lead to undesirable results based on information developed in the hydrogeologic conceptual model, basin conditions, water budget, and other data or models as appropriate.
- (b) Each Agency-Plan may apply different criteria and establish different definitions of the groundwater conditions giving rise to undesirable effects in management areas, provided that the interests of beneficial uses and users of groundwater have been adequately considered and that the Agency demonstrates that the use of different criteria in management areas does not adversely affect the ability of the Agency or coordinated

agencies to achieve the sustainability goal for the basin.

- (c) The Agency may need to evaluate ~~multiple~~ minimum thresholds from multiple locations to determine whether an undesirable result is occurring in the basin. The determination that undesirable results are occurring may depend upon measurements from a network of instruments, rather than a single point or the measurement value of one instrument.
- (d) An Agency that is able to demonstrate that one or more critical parameters would not lead to undesirable results in the ~~basin-~~ Plan area shall not be required to conduct the analysis for those critical parameters described in this Section.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10721, 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.28. Minimum Thresholds

Each Agency Plan shall establish minimum thresholds for each critical parameter ~~based-~~ on with reference to the conditions under which the Agency determines that those critical parameters are significant and unreasonable, as described in Section 354.26. The minimum threshold refers to the point at which conditions for a given critical parameter are significant and unreasonable.

- (a) Minimum thresholds shall be numeric values or narrative descriptions that define conditions that, if exceeded, could lead to undesirable results. The description of minimum thresholds shall include the following:
- (1) The information and criteria relied upon in establishing minimum thresholds for each critical parameter. The justification for the minimum threshold shall be supported by information ~~from that the Agency deems to be significant, which may include~~ the hydrogeologic conceptual model, basin conditions, water budget, and other data or models as appropriate.
 - (2) The interrelationship between critical parameters that explains how the minimum threshold for each critical parameter will not cause undesirable results for any other critical parameter.
 - (3) ~~A discussion of how the minimum thresholds~~ Evaluation of whether the chosen ~~M~~ metrics or minimum thresholds that do not adversely affect the ability of adjacent ~~basins-~~ managed areas to achieve sustainability goals.
 - (4) ~~How minimum thresholds will affect the interests of beneficial uses and users of groundwater.~~

~~(5)(4)~~ State, federal, or local standards that relate to the critical parameter for which the minimum threshold has been established.

~~(6) How each minimum threshold will be quantitatively or, if necessary, qualitatively measured throughout the basin managed area, consistent with the monitoring network requirements described in Subarticle 4.~~

(b) Minimum thresholds for each critical parameter shall be defined ~~based on the following~~ after consideration of the following factors, if applicable:

(1) Chronic Lowering of Groundwater Levels. The minimum threshold for chronic lowering of groundwater levels shall be the groundwater elevation that indicates a significant and unreasonable depletion of supply, as defined by the Agency. Minimum thresholds for chronic lowering of groundwater levels ~~shall~~ may be supported by the following:

(A) The rate of elevation decline calculated ~~based on~~ with reference to historical trends designated by the Agency and projected water use in the basin, ~~based on~~ with reference to water year type.

(B) Potential effects on other critical parameters, including reduction of groundwater storage and land subsidence, and where appropriate, sea water intrusion, surface water depletion, and degraded water quality.

(C) Management of extractions and recharge to ensure that chronic lowering of groundwater levels or depletion of supply during periods of drought is offset by increases in groundwater levels or storage during other periods.

(2) Reduction of Groundwater Storage. The minimum threshold for reduction of groundwater storage shall be a total volume of groundwater designated by the Agency that can be taken out of storage without causing undesirable results. Minimum thresholds for reduction of groundwater storage ~~shall~~ may be supported by the following:

(A) The annual sustainable yield of the basin, calculated ~~based on~~ with reference to historical trends and projected water use in the basin, ~~based on~~ with reference to water year type.

(3) Seawater Intrusion. The minimum threshold for seawater intrusion shall be the location where seawater intrusion is considered significant and unreasonable, and shall be defined by a numeric chloride concentration isocontour for each principal aquifer. Minimum thresholds for seawater intrusion shall be supported by the following:

(A) Maps and cross-sections of the chloride concentration isocontour that defines the minimum threshold, interim milestones, and measurable objective for seawater intrusion for each principal aquifer.

(B) A description of the consideration given to the effects of current and projected sea level rise on seawater intrusion of the following during development of the seawater intrusion minimum threshold.

(4) Degraded Water Quality. The minimum threshold for degraded water quality shall be the significant and unreasonable degradation of water quality, including the migration of contaminant plumes that impair water supplies, ~~based on~~with reference to the number of supply wells, a volume of water, or a location of an isocontour that exceeds concentrations of constituents determined by the Agency to be of concern for the ~~basin~~Plan area.

(5) Land subsidence. The minimum threshold for land subsidence shall be the rate of subsidence that substantially interferes with surface land uses. Minimum thresholds for land subsidence shall be supported by the following:

(A) Identification of land uses and property interests that have been affected or are likely to be affected by land subsidence in the basin, including an explanation of how those uses and interests were determined and considered, and the rationale for how minimum thresholds were established in light of those effects.

(B) Maps and graphs showing the extent and rate of land subsidence in the basin that defines the minimum threshold, interim milestones, and measurable objectives.

(6) Depletions of interconnected surface water ~~resulting in adverse impacts to beneficial uses~~. The minimum threshold for depletions of interconnected surface water shall be ~~the volume of surface water depletions caused by groundwater use that has~~ significant and unreasonable adverse impacts on beneficial uses of the surface water. The minimum threshold established ~~for depletions of interconnected surface water to measure adverse impacts on beneficial uses shall~~may be supported by the following:

(A) The location, quantity, and timing of depletions of interconnected surface water. If sufficient data to quantify depletions of interconnected surface water is not available, the Plan ~~shall~~may describe how the Agency will acquire sufficient information no later than the first five-year assessment.

(B) ~~A description of how the depletion of water is affecting beneficial uses of surface waters. A description of the groundwater-surface water model used to quantify surface water depletion. If a groundwater-surface water model is not used to estimate surface water depletion, the Plan shall identify and describe an equally effective method or tool to accomplish this requirement, or identify provisions for developing a groundwater-surface water model capable of quantifying surface water depletion no later than the first five-year assessment.~~

(d) An Agency, ~~after consultation with the Department,~~ may establish a representative minimum threshold for groundwater elevation to serve as the minimum threshold value

for multiple critical parameters, as appropriate. The Agency shall demonstrate that the representative minimum threshold is a reasonable and effective surrogate for multiple individual minimum thresholds and is supported by clear and convincing evidence in the Plan.

- (e) ~~If~~ the Agency may determine that minimum thresholds are not required for seawater intrusion, land subsidence, depletions of interconnected surface water, or water quality, ~~the Plan shall support this determination with clear and convincing evidence.~~

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10721, 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.30. Measurable Objectives

Each Plan shall include one or more measurable objectives for each critical parameter that has an established minimum threshold. The measurable objectives shall ensure that the ~~basin~~ Plan area is managed to avoid or abate existing undesirable results within 20 years of Plan implementation and groundwater is sustainably managed over the planning and implementation horizon.

- (a) Measurable objectives shall be represented by quantitative or, if necessary, qualitative values using the same metrics as are used to define the minimum threshold for each measurable objective, and shall rely on the same monitoring sites as minimum thresholds.
- (b) The measurable objective shall be at or above the minimum threshold to provide a reasonable margin of operational flexibility under adverse conditions which shall take into consideration components such as historical water budgets, seasonal and long-term trends, and overdraft during a period of drought.
- (c) Each Agency may establish measurable objectives that exceed the reasonable margin of operational flexibility for the purpose of improving overall conditions in the basin, but failure to achieve those objectives shall not be grounds for a finding of inadequacy of the Plan.
- (d) Each Agency may use representative minimum thresholds for groundwater levels developed pursuant to Section 354.26(d), as the basis for defining a representative measurable objective that represents all critical parameters. The Agency must demonstrate that the representative measurable objective is a reasonable and effective surrogate for multiple individual measurable objectives supported by clear and convincing evidence in the Plan. ~~the best available science~~
- (e) Each Plan shall include interim milestones for each measurable objective, in increments

of five years, which outline a reasonable path to attaining the measurable objectives within 20 years of Plan implementation. Interim milestones shall be expressed numerically or, if necessary, descriptively in ~~the same units as the~~ relation to the established measurable objective.

- (f) Each Plan may include measurable objectives and interim milestones for additional Plan contents described in Water Code Section 10727.4 where the Agency determines such measures are appropriate for sustainable groundwater management in the basin, Plan area.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

SUBARTICLE 4. Monitoring Networks

§ 354.32. Introduction to Monitoring- Networks

This Subarticle describes the monitoring network that shall be developed for each basin, Plan area, including monitoring objectives, monitoring site summary, monitoring frequency, monitoring protocols, and data reporting requirements. The monitoring network shall promote the collection of data of sufficient quality, frequency, and from sufficient locations to adequately characterize surface water and groundwater conditions in the basin, evaluate management actions, and assess progress toward achieving the sustainability goal.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.34. Monitoring Network

Each Agency shall develop a monitoring network capable of collecting sufficient data to generate information that promotes efficient and effective groundwater management in the demonstrate short-term, seasonal, and long-term trends in surface and groundwater conditions and yields representative information about changes relative to the minimum-thresholds and measurable objectives for the basin managed area.

- (a) Each Plan shall include a description of the monitoring network objectives protocols for the basin managed area, including an explanation of how the network will be developed and implemented to monitor surface water and groundwater conditions, and the interconnection of surface water and groundwater, with sufficient temporal frequency

~~and spatial density to adequately evaluate the affects and effectiveness of Plan implementation. The monitoring network objectives shall be implemented to that are designed to~~ accomplish the following:

(1) Demonstrate progress toward achieving measurable objectives described in the Plan.

(2) Identify impacts to the beneficial uses or users of groundwater.

(3) Identify changes in ~~basin~~ conditions in the Plan area relative to measurable objectives and minimum thresholds.

(4) Quantify annual changes in water budget components.

~~(5) Identify impacts to the ability of adjacent basins to meet the sustainability goal.~~

(b) The monitoring network shall be designed to ensure adequate coverage of critical parameters. If localized conditions warrant the formation of management areas, those areas shall be specifically monitored with a quantity and spacing of monitoring sites sufficient to evaluate conditions in that area.

(c) A Plan may incorporate site information and monitoring data from existing sources into the monitoring network. Incorporated sources of data may include, but are not limited to, existing groundwater management plans, California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring data, or other Department programs, Salt and Nutrient Management Plans, the Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program, the Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program, the Groundwater Ambient Monitoring Assessment Program, ~~the Salt Nutrient Management Plans,~~ as well as other relevant monitoring sites.

(d) The density of monitoring sites and frequency of measurements required to demonstrate short-term, seasonal, and long-term trends shall be determined by the Agency ~~which will consider considering based upon~~ the following factors:

(1) Level of current and projected groundwater use.

(2) Aquifer characteristics including, but not limited to, confined or unconfined aquifer conditions, or other physical characteristics that affect groundwater flow.

(3) Impacts on beneficial uses and users of groundwater and the ability of adjacent basins to meet the sustainability goal.

(4) Whether the Agency has adequate long-term existing monitoring results or other technical information that demonstrates an understanding of aquifer response.

~~(e) The Plan shall describe the following information about the monitoring network:~~

~~(1) Scientific rationale used for the site selection process.~~

~~(2) Monitoring site compliance with best management practices. If a site is not consistent with best management practices, the Plan shall explain why the site is necessary to the monitoring network.~~

~~(3)(5) For each critical parameter, the quantitative values for the minimum threshold, measurable objective, and interim milestones for each monitoring site.~~

~~(f)(e)~~ The location and type of each monitoring site within the basin shall be displayed on a map, and reported in tabular format, and shall include information regarding the monitoring site type, frequency of measurement, and the purposes for which the site is being monitored.

~~(g)(f)~~ The If the Agency develops their own best management practices for monitoring, the best management practices developed by each Agency shall include a description of technical standards, data collection methods, and other procedures or protocols pursuant to Water Code Section 10727.2(f) for all monitoring sites or other data collection facilities to ensure that the monitoring network utilizes on the comparable data and methodologies. Best management practices related to construction and completion standards for wells or other monitoring sites developed for this purpose shall apply prospectively.

~~(h)(g)~~ If the Agency develops their own best management practices for monitoring, The the best management practices for monitoring developed by each Agency shall consider include including the following minimum standards:

(1) Groundwater Elevations. ~~-. The monitoring network shall be capable of demonstrating groundwater~~ Groundwater occurrence, flow directions, and hydraulic gradients between principal aquifers and surface water features ~~that may includes~~ the following:

(A) A sufficient density of monitoring wells capable of collecting representative measurements through depth discrete perforated intervals to adequately characterize the potentiometric surface for each of the principal aquifer.

(B) Static groundwater elevation measurements shall be collected at least two times per year, to represent seasonal low and seasonal high groundwater conditions.

(2) Groundwater Storage. ~~-. The monitoring network shall be capable of p~~Providing sufficient data to enable a reasonably accurate and detailed assessment of the change in annual groundwater storage.

(3) Seawater Intrusion. ~~The If applicable, the~~ network shall be capable of monitoring chloride concentrations, or other constituents approved by the Department, and be sufficiently dense to calculate the current and projected rate of seawater intrusion for each principal aquifer.

- (4) Water Quality: ~~The monitoring network shall be capable of collecting~~ Collecting sufficient spatial and temporal data from each principal aquifer to determine groundwater quality trends for established constituents of concern.
- (5) Land subsidence: ~~The monitoring network shall be capable of i~~Identifying the rate and spatial distribution of land subsidence, which may be measured by extensometers, GPS surveying, remote sensing technology, or other method approved by the Department.
- (6) Interconnected surface waters: ~~The monitoring network shall~~ may be capable of monitoring surface and groundwater conditions where interconnected surface water exists. ~~Monitoring of interconnected surface water systems shall be sufficient to characterize the spatial and temporal exchanges between surface water and groundwater, as necessary and appropriate, to adequately calibrate and apply the tools and methods selected to identify interconnected surface water systems. The~~ interconnected surface water monitoring network may, if deemed necessary by the Agency, include the ~~shall be able to characterize the~~ following:
- (1) Flow conditions including, but not limited to, surface water discharge, ~~surface water head~~, and baseflow contribution.
 - (2) Identifying ~~the approximate date and location where ephemeral or intermittent flowing streams and rivers cease to flow~~ temporal connections, if applicable.
 - (3) ~~Monitor the conditions to adequately characterize temporal changes in conditions with varying stream discharges and regional groundwater pumping conditions.~~
 - (4) Any other factor that is necessary to identify potential significant and unreasonable adverse impact on beneficial uses of the surface water.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code

§ 354.36. Representative Monitoring

Each Agency may designate a subset of monitoring sites as representative of conditions in the basin or an area of the basin for the purposes of establishing specific minimum thresholds, measurable objectives, and related interim milestones, as follows:

- (a) Representative monitoring sites may be designated by the Agency as the point at which critical parameters are monitored, and for which quantitative values for the minimum threshold, measurable objective, and interim milestones are defined.

- (b) Groundwater elevations may be used as a proxy for monitoring other critical parameters if the Agency ~~demonstrates~~ determines the following.
- (1) A substantial correlation exists between groundwater elevations and the critical parameters for which groundwater elevation measurements serve as a substitute.
 - (2) Measurable objectives established for groundwater elevation shall include a reasonable margin of operational flexibility taking into consideration the basin conditions required to avoid undesirable results for the critical parameters for which groundwater elevation measurements serve as a substitute.
- (c) The designation of a representative monitoring site shall be supported by technical evidence demonstrating that the site adequately reflects general conditions in the area.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code

§ 354.38. Assessment and Improvement of Monitoring Network

Each Agency shall evaluate the monitoring network and include an assessment in the initial Plan and each five-year evaluation, including an assessment of whether there are data gaps that could affect the ability of the Plan to achieve the sustainability goal.

- (a) Each Agency shall identify data gaps wherever the ~~basin-Plan area~~ does not contain a sufficient number of monitoring sites, does not monitor sites with sufficient frequency, or utilizes monitoring sites that are unreliable, ~~including those that do not satisfy best management practices adopted by the Agency.~~
- (b) If the monitoring network contains data gaps, the Plan shall include a description of the following:
 - (1) The location and reason for gaps in the monitoring network.
 - (2) Local issues and circumstances that limit or prevent monitoring.
- (c) Each Agency shall describe steps that will be taken to fill any data gaps within the first five years of implementation of the Plan or before the next five-year assessment, including the location and purpose of newly added or installed monitoring sites.
- (d) Each Agency shall consider adjusting the monitoring frequency and density of monitoring sites to provide a greater level of detail about site-specific ~~surface and~~ groundwater and, if applicable, interconnected surface water conditions and the effectiveness of management actions under circumstances that include, but are not limited to the following:

- (1) If minimum thresholds are exceeded.
- (2) Highly variable conditions.
- (3) Adverse impacts to beneficial uses and users of groundwater.
- (4) Adversely affects the ability of an adjacent basin to implement their Plan or impedes achievement of sustainability goals in an adjacent basin.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code

§ 354.40. Reporting Monitoring Data to the Department

All monitoring data shall be stored in the data management system developed pursuant to Section 352.8. A copy of that data shall be submitted electronically on forms provided by the Department according to the Department's data standards, in one of the following methods:

- (a) Each Agency shall compile and include all monitoring data in each Annual Report and, or
- (b) The Agency shall make all monitoring data available to the Department throughout the year, as collected or measured by the Agency.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10728, 10728.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

SUBARTICLE 5. Projects and Management Actions

§ 354.42. Introduction to Projects and Management Actions

This Subarticle describes the criteria for actions and projects to be included in a Plan to meet the sustainability goal of the basin.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 354.44. Projects and Management Actions

- (a) Each ~~Plan Agency~~ shall ~~consider include including~~ a description ~~within the Plan~~ of the projects and management actions adopted to meet measurable objectives and prevent undesirable results. The description ~~shall may~~ include the following:
- (1) A ~~list of all description of~~ projects and management actions proposed in the Plan ~~with a description of the measurable objective that is expected to benefit from the project or action.~~
 - (2) A summary of the permitting and regulatory process required for each project and management action.
 - (3) The status of each project and management action, including a time-table for expected initiation and completion, and the accrual of expected benefits.
 - (4) An explanation of the benefits that are expected to be realized from the project or management action, and how those benefits will be evaluated and measured.
 - (5) An explanation of how the project or management action will be accomplished. If the Plan relies on water from outside the jurisdiction of the Agency, an explanation of the source and reliability of that water shall be included.
 - (6) A description of the legal authority required for each project and management action, and the basis for that authority within the Agency.
 - (7) A description of the financial requirement for each project and management action.
- ~~(b) Each Plan shall include contingency projects or actions as follows:~~
- ~~(1) For each project or management action, and for each measurable objective, the Plan shall describe contingency projects or actions that will be implemented in the event that groundwater conditions have not adequately responded to measures described in the Plan, or if the measures are no longer feasible.~~
 - ~~(2) The Plan shall describe emergency contingency projects or actions that will be implemented in the event that groundwater conditions in the basin have passed a minimum threshold or that undesirable results have occurred or are imminent. Emergency contingency projects or actions shall be designed to achieve immediate~~

~~results such that the Agency is able to demonstrate that the emergency has been abated by or before the next annual report.~~

~~(3) Contingency projects or actions shall be supported by available scientific data, analytical methods, and groundwater models, if available, and quantify changes to groundwater use required to achieve the measurable objectives of the Plan or to avoid undesirable results in the basin.~~

~~(4) The Plan shall describe the following:~~

~~(A) Criteria that would trigger implementation and termination of contingency projects or actions, and the process by which the Agency shall determine that conditions require implementation of contingency projects or actions have occurred.~~

~~(B) The process by which the Agency shall provide notice to the public and other agencies that the implementation of contingency projects or actions is being considered or has been implemented, including a summary of the anticipated consequences of those projects or actions.~~

~~(5) Implementation of a contingency project or action, if fully described in the approved Plan, shall not constitute an amendment to that Plan.~~

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

ARTICLE 6. Evaluation and Assessment

§ 355. Introduction to Evaluation and Assessment

This Article describes the methodology and criteria for the evaluation and assessment of a Plan, which shall also be applied, as appropriate, to the periodic evaluation and assessment of Plans undertaken by the Agency or by the Department, as well as to any amendments to a Plan previously approved by the Department.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 355.2. Department Review of Initial Adopted Plan

Upon adoption of a Plan the Agency shall submit a copy of the initial adopted Plan to the Department for evaluation.

- (a) Upon receipt of an adopted Plan, the Department shall assign a submittal date to the Plan based on the day the Plan is received.
- (b) The Department shall post the adopted Plan, submittal date, and ~~all~~ materials submitted by the Agency on the Department's Internet Web site within 20 days of receipt.
- (c) The Department shall establish a period of ~~no less than~~ 60 days to receive public comments on the adopted plan, as described in Section 353.8.

~~(d) If the Board has jurisdiction over the basin or a portion of the basin pursuant to section 10735.2, the Department, after consultation with the Board, may proceed with an evaluation of a Plan.~~

~~(e)~~(d) The Department shall evaluate a Plan within two years of its submittal date and issue a written assessment of the Plan that includes a description supporting the assessment, which will be posted on the Department's website. The Department may include recommended corrective actions to address any deficiencies identified in the assessment. When Department review is final, the assessment will include a determination of whether the Plan as one the following:

- (1) Adequate. The Department has determined that the Plan satisfies the goals of the Act and is in substantial compliance with this Subchapter.
- (2) Conditionally adequate. The Department has determined that the Plan has minor deficiencies that preclude an adequacy determination, but that could be rectified by the Agency through corrective actions recommended by the Department as described in this Section.
- (3) Inadequate. The Department has determined that the Plan as submitted is not complete and does not satisfy the requirements of Section 355.4(a), that the Plan contains significant deficiencies that preclude an adequacy determination, and those deficiencies cannot be rectified by the Agency in a timely manner, or that the Agency has failed to address deficiencies in a Plan previously classified as conditionally adequate through corrective actions recommended by the Department as described in this Section. If the Department makes any of the determinations described in this subsection, the Department shall seek consultation with the Board to determine whether the Plan is inadequate.

~~(f)~~(e) For a Plan that is conditionally adequate, the Agency may modify a Plan based on a request for additional information from the Department or to include corrective actions to address any deficiencies identified by the Department and submit the modified adopted plan for further evaluation.

- (1) The Department may consult with the Agency to determine the amount of time needed by the Agency to address any deficiencies.
 - (2) The Department ~~may~~ shall allow ~~up to~~ 180 days from the date the Department recommends corrective actions to address deficiencies in a Plan, unless a greater amount of time remains before the basin is required to be managed pursuant to a Plan established by Water Code Section 10720.7.
 - (3) No time limit shall apply to address deficiencies to Plans submitted for low or very low priority basins.
- ~~(e)(f)~~ If an Agency fails to address deficiencies in its Plan so that the Department is able to determine the Plan to be adequate, the Department shall issue an assessment of the Plan as inadequate and seek consultation with the Board.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, 10733.4, 10720.7 Water Code.

§ 355.4. Criteria for Plan Evaluation

The Department shall evaluate a Plan to determine whether the Plan has the overall effect, either individually or in collaboration with other Plans, of achieving the sustainability goal for the basin, complies with the Act, and is in substantial compliance with this Subchapter. Substantial compliance means that the Agency has attempted to comply with these regulations in good faith, that the supporting information is sufficiently detailed and the analyses sufficiently thorough and reasonable, in the judgment of the Department, to permit evaluation of the Plan, and the Department determines that any discrepancy would not materially affect the ability of the Agency to achieve the sustainability goal or of the Department to evaluate the likelihood of the Plan to attain that goal.

- (a) An initial Plan will be deemed inadequate unless it satisfies all of the following conditions:
 - (1) The Plan was submitted within the statutory period established by Water Code Section 10720.7, if applicable.
 - (2) The Plan is complete and includes all information required by the Act and this Subchapter, including a legally adequate coordination agreement, if necessary.
 - (3) The Plan, either on its own or in coordination with other Plans, covers the entire basin.
 - (4) The Agency has taken corrective actions, within the period described in Section 355.2, to address deficiencies in the Plan identified by the Department, if applicable.

(b) The Department shall evaluate a Plan that satisfies the requirements of Subsection (a) to determine whether the Plan, or multiple coordinated Plans, is or are likely to achieve the sustainability goal for the basin. When evaluating whether a Plan is likely to achieve the sustainability goal, the Department shall consider the following:

- (1) Whether the Plan substantially complies with the requirements of this Subchapter.
- (2) The quality of information, data, monitoring, and scientific methods upon which the Plan relies.
- (3) Whether the assumptions, criteria, findings, and objectives, including the sustainability goal, undesirable results, minimum thresholds, measurable objectives, and interim milestones, are reasonable and supported by the available evidence.
- (4) Whether the interests of the beneficial uses and users of groundwater have been adequately considered.
- (5) The feasibility of projects and management actions, including contingency projects, and the likelihood that these actions will prevent undesirable results and ensure that the basin is operated within its sustainable yield.

~~(6) Whether the Plan will adversely affect the ability of an adjacent basin to implement their groundwater sustainability Plan or impede achievement of sustainability goals in an adjacent basin.~~

~~(7)~~(6) Whether the coordination agreements ensure the Plans utilize ~~the~~ similar data and methodologies specified in Water Code Section 10727.6.

~~(8)~~(7) Whether the Agency has the legal authority and financing plan necessary to implement the Plan.

~~(9)~~(8) Whether the best management practices adopted by the Agency cover the range of projects and management actions anticipated by the Plan or are consistent with the best management practices recommended by the Department or general industry standards.

~~(10)~~(9) Public comments and other information indicating that impacts were not adequately considered in determining undesirable results or in developing the plan.

~~(11)~~(10) Whether the Plan would impair the right to safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 106.3, 10720.7, 10727, 10723.2, 10727.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 355.6. Periodic Review of Plan by Department

The Department shall periodically review approved Plans to ensure the Plan, as implemented, remains in conformance with the Act and likely to achieve the sustainability goal for the basin.

(a) The Department shall evaluate existing Plans at least every five years and whenever the Plan is amended. Department review shall be based on information provided in the annual reports and the periodic evaluation of the Plan prepared and submitted by the Agency.

(b) The Department shall consider the following in determining whether a Plan and its implementation is adequate:

(1) The Agency is meeting all of its interim milestones.

(2) The Agency is implementing actions and contingencies outlined in the Plan.

(3) Amendments to the Plan are compatible with the measurable objectives and sustainability goal.

(4) The Agency is compliant with the annual reporting requirements and periodic evaluation requirements.

(5) The Department concludes that the Plan and its implementation are likely to achieve the sustainability goal ~~and not likely to adversely affect the sustainability goals of adjacent basins.~~

~~(6) The Department may request from the Agency any information the Department deems necessary to evaluate the progress toward achieving the sustainability goal and the potential for adverse effects on adjacent basins.~~

~~(7)~~(6) The Department may identify deficiencies in a Plan or its implementation and coordinate with the Agency to correct deficiencies prior to the issuance of the assessment.

~~(8)~~(7) The Plan satisfies the criteria for an initial Plan as described in Section 355.4.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10728.2, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 355.8. Consultation with Board

The Department shall consult with the Board if any of the following occur:

- (a) The Department determines that a Plan may be inadequate.
- (b) The Department determines that a groundwater sustainability program is not being implemented in a manner that will likely achieve the sustainability goal for the basin.
- (c) The Agency has not taken actions to address any deficiencies in a Plan that had been identified by the Department.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10733.2, 10735.2, 10735.4, Water Code.

~~§ 355.10. Resolution of Conflicts by Department~~

~~The Department shall address disputes between Agencies or other entities responsible for groundwater management as follows:~~

- ~~(a) Disputes within a basin shall be the responsibility of the Coordinating Agency or other entities responsible for managing Plans and alternatives within that basin.~~
- ~~(b) Disputes between basins which claim that the implementation of Plans or alternatives in one basin affects the ability of an adjacent basin to implement its Plan, or impedes its ability to achieve the sustainability goal, shall be resolved by the Department.~~
- ~~(c) In resolving disputes, the Department may require additional information from each basin, including any proprietary data used by the Agency. Information withheld will be presumed not to support the interpretations that rely on that data.~~
- ~~(d) If the parties are unable to resolve disputes that relate to fundamental issues of sustainable groundwater management, the Department may find the relevant Plan or Plans and alternatives to be inadequate.~~

~~Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.~~

~~Reference: Sections 10727, 10727.6, 10733.2, Water Code.~~

ARTICLE 7. Reports, Assessments, and Amendments

§ 356. Introduction to Reports, Assessments, and Amendments

This Article describes the procedural and substantive requirements for annual reports, the periodic evaluation and assessments of Plans, and any proposed amendments to an approved Plan prepared by an Agency.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

SUBARTICLE 1. Annual Reports

§ 356.2. Introduction to Reports

This Article describes the requirements for annual reports submitted by Agencies on or before April 1 of each year after the adoption of the Agency's Plan, including information required to demonstrate progress towards achieving the sustainability goal based on performance relative to measurable objectives described in the Plan, and Department review of those reports.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 356.4. Annual Report

Each Agency shall submit an annual report to the Department by April 1 of each year following the adoption of the Plan. The ~~Agency annual report~~ shall ~~consider include~~ including the following components in its annual report:

- (a) General information, including a title page, a transmittal letter, as described in Section 353.4, a table of contents, an executive summary, and a location map depicting the basin Plan area covered by the report.
- (b) A detailed description and graphical representation of the following conditions of the basin-managed Plan area in the Plan:
- (1) Groundwater elevation data from all monitoring wells identified in the monitoring network shall be analyzed and displayed as follows:
 - (A) Groundwater elevation ~~contour maps~~data, unless otherwise submitted pursuant to Section 10932 of the Act, for each principal aquifer in the basin-managed area illustrating, at a minimum, the seasonal high and seasonal low groundwater conditions.
 - (B) Hydrographs of groundwater elevations and water year type using historical data ~~to the greatest extent available~~designated by the Agency, but at a minimum from January 1, 2015, to current reporting year.
 - (2) Annual aggregated data identifying groundwater extraction for the preceding water year. Data shall be collected ~~from the best available measurement methods according to the methods chosen by the Agency~~ and shall be presented in a table that summarizes groundwater extractions by water use sector, general location of extractions, and identifies the method of measurement (direct or estimate) and accuracy of measurements, and a map that illustrates the general location and volume of groundwater extractions.
 - (3) Surface water supply used or available for use, for groundwater recharge or in-lieu use shall be reported based on quantitative data that describes the annual volume and sources for the preceding water year.
 - (4) Total water use ~~data shall be collected from the best available measurement based on methods chosen by the Agency and shall be reported~~ in a table that summarizes total water use by water use sector, water source type, and identifies the method of measurement (direct or estimate) and accuracy of measurements. Existing water use data from the most recent Urban Water Management Plans or Agricultural Water Management Plans within the basin or Plan area may be used, as long as the data are reported by water year.
 - (5) Change in groundwater storage shall include the following:
 - (A) Change in groundwater level storage maps for each principal aquifer in the basin Plan area.
 - (B) A graph depicting water year type and cumulative change in groundwater
-

storage for the ~~basin- Plan area based on~~ with reference to historical data to the greatest extent available, but at a minimum from January 1, 2015, to the current reporting year.

- (c) A synopsis of progress towards implementing the Plan, the ability of the Agency to achieve interim milestones and the implementation of any contingency measures.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10727.2, 10728, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 356.6. Department Review of Annual Reports

- (a) The Department shall acknowledge the receipt of annual reports by written notice and post the report and ~~all~~ related materials on the Department's Internet Web site within 20 days of receipt. If the Department determines that the annual report is incomplete, the Department shall provide written notice to the requesting agency of the need for additional information.
- (b) The Department may provide recommended corrective actions to address any deficiencies in the annual report or implementation of the Plan based on review of the annual report and shall treat the Plan as conditionally adequate, as described in Section 355.2, until the Agency takes appropriate actions to remediate any deficiencies.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10728, 10733.2, Water Code.

SUBARTICLE 2. Periodic Evaluation of Plan

§ 356.8. Introduction to Agency Evaluation and Assessment

This Subarticle describes the requirements for periodic Plan evaluation and assessment undertaken by the Agency, including Department review of that assessment.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 356.10. Agency Evaluation and Assessment

Each Agency shall evaluate and assess the Plan at least every five years and whenever the Plan is amended. ~~The assessment shall be submitted to the Department together with the annual report for that year.~~ The assessment shall describe ~~basin~~ conditions of the Plan area relative to the previous five-year period and the long-term sustainability goal for the ~~basin~~ Plan area. The Agency's assessment ~~shall~~ may include an objective evaluation of Plan implementation and management of groundwater in the ~~basin~~ Plan area, including the following, if applicable:

- (a) A description of each of the measurable objectives and current groundwater conditions for each critical parameter relative to interim milestones and minimum thresholds.
- (b) A description of the implementation of any corrective actions identified by the Agency or recommended by the Department, and the effect on groundwater conditions resulting from those actions.
- ~~(c) A description of the implementation of any contingency projects or actions, and the effect on groundwater conditions resulting from those projects or actions.~~
- ~~(d)~~(c) A description of new information that has been made available since adoption or amendment of the initial Plan, or since the last five-year evaluation. The description shall also include whether new information warrants changes to any aspect of the Plan, including, but not limited to, the evaluation of basin conditions, minimum thresholds, or the criteria defining undesirable results.
- ~~(e)~~(d) An evaluation of the hydrogeologic conceptual model, basin conditions, and the water budget in light of new information or changes in water use.
- ~~(f)~~(e) A survey description of the monitoring network within the basin Plan area, and evaluation of whether any areas within the basin are represented by less data or by data of insufficient quality or control than required by best management practices. The survey ~~shall~~ may include the following:
 - (1) An assessment of monitoring network function protocols with an analysis of data collected to date, identification of potential data gaps, and the actions necessary to improve the monitoring network.
 - (2) If the Agency identifies areas that require more or better data or other information, the Plan ~~shall~~ may describe a program for the acquisition of such data sources and incorporation of newly obtained information into the overall Plan.
 - ~~(3) Gaps in data or data quality shall be remediated no later than the first five-year assessment by the Department.~~

(4)(3) Elements of the Plan, including, but not limited to, the hydrogeological conceptual model, groundwater conditions, management areas, water budget, or the identification of undesirable results and the setting of minimum thresholds and measurable objectives, shall be reconsidered and revisions proposed, if necessary, for the second five-year assessment by the Department.

(5)(4) The Plan shall prioritize the installation of new data collection facilities and analysis of new data based on the needs of the basin Plan area.

~~(g) Information describing any legislative actions, including a summary of regulations or ordinances related to the Plan adopted by the Agency.~~

~~(h)(f) Information describing any enforcement or legal actions taken by the Agency.~~

~~(h)(g) A description of completed or proposed Plan amendments.~~

~~(h)(h) A summary of coordination that occurred between Agencies in a single basin and Agencies in hydrologically connected basins, and land use agencies where applicable.~~

~~(h)(i) Other information the agency deems appropriate, along with any information necessary to the Department to conduct a periodic review as required by Water Code Section 10733.~~

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10727.2, 10728.2, 10733.2, 10733.8, Water Code.

SUBARTICLE 3. Plan Amendments

§ 356.12. Amendments and Modifications to Plan

Any amendment or other modification to a Plan shall be evaluated by the Department for consistency with the requirements of the Act and of this Subchapter.

(a) An Agency may modify a Plan at any time, and submit the modified Plan to the Department for evaluation.

(1) Prior to modifying a Plan, the Agency may submit the proposed modifications to the Department for evaluation.

- (2) If the Department determines the proposed modifications are not significant, the Department shall notify the Agency that no further review shall be required and that the Agency may adopt the proposed modifications without formally amending the Plan.
- (3) If the Department determines that the proposed modifications are or may be significant, the Department shall notify the Agency that the proposed modifications may only be adopted as formal amendments to the Plan.
- (b) Whenever a Plan is amended, the Agency shall submit a copy of the amended Plan to the Department for evaluation pursuant to the requirements of this Subchapter for submission of a Plan.
- (c) The Department shall review and issue an assessment of the amended Plan that states whether the amended plan is adequate or inadequate.
- (d) The Department's evaluation shall focus on the amended portions of the Plan and any new information that is relevant to the amendments or other Plan elements. The Department will not evaluate any part of the Plan that has not been amended unless the Department has reason to believe the proposed amendment may result in changed conditions to other areas or to other aspects of the Plan.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10727.2, 10728.4, 10733.2, Water Code.

ARTICLE 8. Coordination Agreements

§ 357. Introduction to Coordination Agreements

This Article describes the requirements for voluntary coordination agreements between agencies in different basins and mandatory coordination agreements between agencies within a basin developed pursuant to Water Code Section 10727.6.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 357.2. Interbasin Agreements

Two or more Agencies may enter into an interbasin agreement to establish compatible goals and understandings regarding fundamental elements of the Plans of each Agency as they

relate to sustainable groundwater management. Interbasin agreements should facilitate the exchange of technical information between Agencies and include a process to resolve disputes concerning the interpretation of that information. Interbasin agreements may include any information the participating Agencies deem appropriate, including the following:

(a) General information:

- (1) Identity of all basins participating in and covered by the terms of the agreement.
- (2) For each basin, a list of all Agencies or other public agencies or other entities with groundwater management responsibilities.
- (3) For each basin, a list of all Plan or alternatives or adjudicated areas.

(b) Technical information:

- (1) An estimate of groundwater flow across basin boundaries, including consistent and coordinated data, methods and assumptions.
- (2) An estimate of stream-aquifer interactions at boundaries.
- (3) Establish a common understanding of the geology and hydrology of the basins and their hydraulic connectivity as it applies to determining groundwater flow across basin boundaries, and describe the different assumptions utilized by different Plans and how the Agencies reconciled those differences.
- (4) Establish measurable criteria and a monitoring network regarding threshold values that would confirm that no adverse impacts are resulting from managing groundwater in any basin-managed area pursuant to terms of the agreement. If minimum thresholds or measurable objectives differ substantially between basins, the agreement will-may specify how the Agencies will reconcile those differences and manage the basins to avoid undesirable results. The Agreement shall-may identify all differences that the parties consider significant and include a plan and schedule to reduce the uncertainties so that over time, they collectively resolve those important uncertainties and differences.

(c) A description of the process for identifying and resolving conflicts between Agencies that are party-parties to the agreement.

Interbasin agreements submitted to the Department shall be posted on the Department's Internet Web site.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10733, and 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 357.4. Intrabasin Coordination

(a) Agencies intending to develop and implement Plans pursuant to Water Code Section 10727(b)(3) shall enter into a coordination agreement to ensure that the Plans are developed and implemented utilizing the same-similar data and methodologies and that elements of the Plans necessary to achieve the sustainability goal are based upon consistent interpretations of basin conditions.

~~(b) Intrabasin coordination agreements shall establish or identify a Submitting Agency that shall be the single point of contact with the Department.~~

~~(c)~~(b) Each Agency shall submit ~~to the Submitting Agency all~~ Plans, Plan amendments, supporting information, ~~all~~ monitoring data and other pertinent information, along with annual reports and periodic evaluations, in a coordinated manner to DWR.

~~(d)~~(c) ~~The Submitting~~ Each Agency shall compile ~~and rectify~~ data and interpretations regarding basin conditions provided by the Agencies and produce a single report synthesizing and summarizing that information into a coherent and credible account of basin conditions. Reports produced by the Submitting Agency shall and evaluate how these conditions may comply with the sustainability goal by evaluating ~~include~~ the following, if applicable and necessary:

(1) An explanation of hHow the Plans implemented together satisfy the requirements of the Act and are in substantial compliance with this Subchapter.

(2) ~~An explanation of hH~~ow the Plans have been integrated using ~~the same-similar~~ data and methodologies to provide useful information regarding the following:

(A) Hydrogeologic conceptual models, as described in Section 354.12.

(B) State of the basin, as described in Section 354.14.

(C) Water budgets, as described in Section 354.16.

(D) Undesirable results, minimum thresholds, measurable objectives, as described in Subarticle 3 of Article 5.

~~(E) Monitoring networks, and monitoring objectives, as described in Subarticle 4 of Article 5.~~

~~(F)~~(E) Projects and management actions, as described in Subarticle 5 of Article 5.

(3) An explanation of hHow the integration of information and interpretations described in this section provides useful information regarding each of the assumptions described

in Water Code Section 10727.6.

~~(4) Reports produced by the Submitting Agency shall accompany the initial Plan, any amendment to the Plan, annual reports, and the five-year assessment by each Agency within the basin.~~

~~(e)(d)~~ Intrabasin coordination agreements shall describe the responsibilities of each Agency for meeting the terms of the agreement, the procedures for the timely exchange of information between Agencies ~~and with the Submitting Agency, and procedures for resolving conflicts between Agencies.~~

~~(f)(e)~~ Intrabasin coordination agreements shall identify adjudicated areas within the basin, and any local agencies that have adopted an alternative that has been accepted by the Department.

~~(g)(f)~~ The intrabasin coordination agreement shall be submitted to the Department together with the Plans for the basin and, if approved, shall become part of the Plan for each participating Agency.

~~(h)~~ The Department shall evaluate the Agreement for compliance with the procedural and technical requirements of this section, ~~to assure that the Agreement is binding on all parties, and that provisions of the Agreement are sufficient to address any disputes between or among Agencies that are party to the agreement.~~

~~(i)(h)~~ Plans subject to the requirement of this section shall not be deemed adequate without a legally binding agreement.

~~(k)(i)~~ Interagency agreements shall be reviewed as part of the five-year assessment, revised as necessary, dated, and signed by all parties.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Sections 10727.6, 10733, and 10733.2, Water Code.

ARTICLE 9. Alternatives and Adjudicated Areas

§ 358. Introduction to Alternatives and Adjudicated Areas

This Article describes the methodology and criteria for the submission and evaluation of alternatives to a Plan and for adjudicated areas.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

February 18, 2016

§ 358.2. Adjudicated Areas Subject to Water Code Section 10720.8

The watermaster or a local agency shall submit the following to the Department for an adjudicated area described in Water Code Section 10720.8:

- (a) By April 1, 2016, a copy of a governing final judgment, or other judicial order or decree, and any amendments entered before April 1, 2016.
- (b) Within 90 days of entry by a court, a copy of any amendment made and entered by the court to the governing final judgment or other judicial order or decree on or after April 1, 2016.
- (c) By April 1, 2016, and annually thereafter, a report containing the following information to the extent available for the portion of the basin subject to the adjudication:
 - (1) Groundwater elevation data unless otherwise submitted pursuant to Water Code Section 10932.
 - (2) Annual aggregated data identifying groundwater extraction for the preceding water year.
 - (3) Surface water supply used for or available for use for groundwater recharge or in-lieu use.
 - (4) Total water use.
 - (5) Change in groundwater storage.
 - (6) The annual report submitted to the court.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10720.8, 10733.2, Water Code.

§ 358.4. Alternatives to Groundwater Sustainability Plans

- (a) A local agency that submits an alternative shall demonstrate that the alternative applies to the entire basin and satisfies the eligibility requirements of Water Code Section 10733.6, including an assessment that the alternative satisfies the objectives of the Act, and that the alternative is within a basin that is in compliance with Part 2.11 of the Water Code (commencing with Section 10920).

- (b) An alternative shall be submitted to the Department by January 1, 2017, and every five years thereafter.
- (c) A local agency shall include the following information based on the type of alternative submitted:
- (1) An alternative submitted pursuant to Water Code Section 10733.6(b)(1) shall include a copy of the groundwater management plan.
 - (2) An alternative submitted pursuant to Water Code Section 10733.6(b)(2) that is not an adjudicated area described in Water Code Section 10720.8 shall do the following:
 - (A) Demonstrate that the adjudication submitted to the Department as an alternative is a comprehensive adjudication as defined by Chapter 7 of Title 10 of Part 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure (commencing with Section 830).
 - (B) Provide the Department with a copy of the adjudication order and any annual report submitted to the court pursuant to the adjudication.
 - (C) A local agency submitting an alternative based on an adjudication action described in Water Code Section 10733.6 (b)(4)(B) may, notwithstanding Water Code Section 10733.6 (c), submit the adjudication action to the Department for evaluation after January 1, 2017.
 - (3) An alternative submitted pursuant to Water Code Section 10733.6(b)(3) shall demonstrate that ~~no undesirable results are present in~~ the basin has operated within its sustainable yield or have occurred between January 1, 2005, and January 1, 2015. Each subsequent submission shall demonstrate ~~that no undesirable results are present in the basin or have occurred for the preceding ten-year period~~ the same.
- (e) A local agency shall include an explanation of the functional equivalence of terms and concepts used in the alternative with the substantive and procedural requirements of the Act and this Subchapter.
- (f) If a local agency submits an alternative for a basin that includes areas outside its jurisdiction or service area, the local agency shall enter into agreements with any local agency or other entity from which information will be required to comply with reporting requirements for the alternative and to demonstrate that basin satisfies ongoing requirements of the alternative. An agreement shall include a list and map of all local agencies or entities that are party to the agreement.
- (g) After an alternative has been approved by the Department, if one or more Plans are adopted within the basin, the alternative and any agreements shall be revised, as necessary, to reflect any changes that may have resulted from adoption of the Plan, and the local agency responsible for the alternative and Agency responsible for the Plan shall enter into an agreement that satisfies the requirements of Section 357.4.
-

(h) Any person may provide comments to the Department regarding an alternative in a manner consistent with Section 353.8.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10727, 10733.2, 10727.2, 10733, 10733.6, 10733.8, Water Code.

§ 358.6. Department Evaluation of Plan Alternatives

The Department shall evaluate an alternative to a Plan consistent with Article 6 of these regulations to determine whether the alternative satisfies the goals of the Act to achieve groundwater sustainability through local management and avoid undesirable results, including to adjacent groundwater basins.

Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code.

Reference: Section 10733.2, 10733.6, Water Code.