

## Redding Groundwater Basin, Enterprise Subbasin

- Groundwater Basin Number: 5-6.04
- County: Shasta
- Surface Area: 60,900 acres (95 square miles)

### Basin Boundaries and Hydrology

The Enterprise Subbasin comprises the portion of the Redding Groundwater Basin bounded on the west and southwest by the Sacramento River, on the north by the Klamath Mountains, and on the east by Little Cow Creek and Cow Creek. Annual precipitation within the basin ranges from 29- to 41-inches, increasing to the north.

### Hydrogeologic Information

#### *Water-Bearing Formations*

The Enterprise Subbasin aquifer system is comprised of continental deposits of late Tertiary to Quaternary age. The Quaternary deposits include Holocene Stream Channel Deposits and terrace deposits of the Modesto and Riverbank formations. The Tertiary deposits are the Pleistocene Tehama Formation and the Tuscan Formation. The following descriptions of water-bearing formations are from Helley and Harwood (1985) unless otherwise noted.

**Holocene Stream Channel Deposits.** The youngest alluvium consists of unconsolidated gravel, sand, silt and clay from stream channel and flood-plain deposits. Holocene stream channel deposits are observed along the entire extents of the western boundary along the Sacramento River. These deposits are also observed along Stillwater Creek extending from the Klamath Mountains to the Sacramento River in the center of the subbasin and along Cow Creek on the eastern side. The thickness ranges to 50 feet. This unit represents the perched water table and the upper part of the unconfined zone of the aquifer. Although the alluvium is moderately permeable, it is not a significant contributor to groundwater usage.

**Pleistocene Terrace Deposits.** The Modesto and Riverbank formations consist of poorly consolidated gravel with some sand and silt deposited during the Pleistocene. They are usually found as terrace deposits near the surface along the Sacramento River and tributaries. The thickness ranges to 50 feet. They are moderately to highly permeable and yield limited domestic water supply from perched water tables.

**Pliocene Tehama Formation.** The Tehama Formation consists of locally cemented silts, sand, gravel, and clay of fluvial origin derived from the Klamath Mountains and Coast Ranges. Thickness of the formation along the southern boundary ranges from 300 feet at the southwestern extents of the subbasin to 1,000 feet at the confluence of Cow Creek and the Sacramento River. From north to south along Cow Creek, the deposit uniformly increases in thickness from where the Chico Formation daylights near Bella Vista to a depth of 500 feet in the vicinity of Palo Cedro and to a depth of 1,000 feet at the Sacramento River (DWR 1964). The permeability is

moderate to high, with yields of 100- to 1,000-gpm. The formation interfingers with the Tuscan Formation along the eastern boundary; however, the extents are unknown.

**Pliocene Tuscan Formation.** The Tuscan Formation consists of volcanic gravel and tuff-breccia, fine- to coarse-grained volcanic sandstone, conglomerate and tuff, tuffaceous silt and clay predominantly derived from andesitic and basaltic source rocks. The formation is described as four separate but lithologically similar units, Units A through D (with Unit A being the oldest), which in some areas are separated by layers of thin tuff or ash units.

Unit A is the oldest water-bearing unit of the formation and is characterized by the presence of metamorphic clasts within interbedded lahars, volcanic conglomerate, volcanic sandstone and siltstone. Unit B is composed of a fairly equal distribution of lahars, tuffaceous sandstone, and conglomerate. Coarse cobble to boulder conglomerate predominates the deposit in the eastern and northern parts of mapped unit. Unit C consists of several massive mudflow or lahar deposits with some interbedded volcanic conglomerate and sandstone. Unit D consists of fragmental deposits characterized by large monolithologic masses of andesite, pumice, and fragments of black obsidian in a mudstone matrix. The unit has limited areal extents and may not occur within the Redding Basin.

Permeability is moderate to high with yields of 100- to 1,000-gpm except for beds of tuff-breccia which are essentially impermeable.

### ***Recharge Areas***

Recharge to the principal aquifer formation is mostly by infiltration of streamflows. Infiltration of applied water and streamflows, and direct infiltration of precipitation are the main sources of recharge into the alluvium (Pierce 1983).

### ***Groundwater Level Trends***

Review of the hydrographs for long-term comparison of spring-spring groundwater levels indicates a gradual decline of approximately 5- to 10-feet associated with the 1976-77 and 1987-94 droughts, followed by a gradual recovery to pre-drought conditions of the early 1970's and 1980's. Evaluation of groundwater level data shows a seasonal fluctuation of approximate 5- to 10-feet and, for the semi-confined wells, between 10- to 15-feet for normal and dry years. Overall, there does not appear to be any increasing or decreasing trends in groundwater levels.

### ***Groundwater Storage***

**Groundwater Storage Capacity.** The storage capacity for the entire Redding Basin is estimated to be 5.5 million acre-feet for 200 feet of saturated thickness over an area of approximately 510 square miles (Pierce 1983). Specific yield data for the Enterprise Subbasin aquifer system is not available to estimate storage capacity at the subbasin level.

### **Groundwater Budget (Type B)**

Estimates of groundwater extraction are based on a survey conducted by the California Department of Water Resources in 1995. The survey included land use and sources of water. Estimates of groundwater extraction for agricultural and municipal/industrial uses are 4,449 and 4,127 acre-feet respectively. Deep percolation from applied water is estimated to be 3,788 acre-feet.

### **Groundwater Quality**

**Characterization.** Magnesium-sodium bicarbonate is the predominate water type in the subbasin. Sodium bicarbonate and sodium chloride type waters are also found. Total dissolved solids range from 160- to 210-mg/L (DWR unpublished data).

**Impairments.** High levels of total dissolved salts and chlorides are present in the lower Tehama and Tuscan Formations. Sodium and boron is present at shallow depth where wells draw from the Chico Formation. Locally high concentrations of iron and manganese occur in the basin.

### **Water Quality in Public Supply Wells**

<b>Constituent Group<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Number of wells sampled<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Number of wells with a concentration above an MCL<sup>3</sup></b>
Inorganics – Primary	18	0
Radiological	19	0
Nitrates	17	0
Pesticides	7	0
VOCs and SVOCs	14	0
Inorganics – Secondary	18	7

<sup>1</sup> A description of each member in the constituent groups and a generalized discussion of the relevance of these groups are included in *California's Groundwater – Bulletin 118* by DWR (2003).

<sup>2</sup> Represents distinct number of wells sampled as required under DHS Title 22 program from 1994 through 2000.

<sup>3</sup> Each well reported with a concentration above an MCL was confirmed with a second detection above an MCL. This information is intended as an indicator of the types of activities that cause contamination in a given basin. It represents the water quality at the sample location. It does not indicate the water quality delivered to the consumer. More detailed drinking water quality information can be obtained from the local water purveyor and its annual Consumer Confidence Report.

### **Well Characteristics**

	<b>Well yields (gal/min)</b>	
Irrigation	Range: 30 – 700	Average: 266 (5 Well Completion Reports)
	<b>Total depths (ft)</b>	
Domestic	Range: 18 – 713	Average: 139 (1970 Well Completion Reports)
Irrigation	Range: 32 – 460	Average: 180 (65 Well Completion Reports)

## Active Monitoring Data

Agency	Parameter	Number of wells /measurement frequency
DWR	Groundwater levels	17 wells semi-annually
DWR	Miscellaneous Water Quality	3
Department of Health Services	Miscellaneous Water Quality	43

## Basin Management

Groundwater management:	Shasta County adopted a groundwater management ordinance in 1998.
Water agencies	
Public	Redding Area Water Committee, Bella Vista WD, City of Redding, Shasta Dam Area Public Utility District, Shasta County Water Agency, Shasta Community Service District.
Private	

## References Cited

- California Department of Water Resources. 1964. Shasta County Investigation. Appendixes E through P. Bulletin 22.
- Helley EJ, Harwood DS. 1985. Geologic Map of the Late Cenozoic Deposits of the Sacramento Valley and Northern Sierran Foothills, California. USGS Map MF-1790.
- Pierce MJ. 1983. Ground Water in the Redding Basin Shasta and Tehama Counties California. USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 83-4052.

## Additional References

- Bailey EH. 1966. Geology of Northern California. California Division of Mines and Geology. Bulletin 190.
- California Department of Pesticide Regulation. 1993. Sampling for Pesticide Residues in California Well Water, 1993 Well Inventory Database. California Environmental Protection Agency.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1958. Ground Water Conditions in Central and Northern California 1957-58. California Department of Water Resources. Bulletin 77-58.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1964. Quality of Ground Water in California 1961-62, Part 1: Northern and Central California. California Department of Water Resources. Bulletin 66-62.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1964. Shasta County Investigation. California Department of Water Resources. Bulletin 22.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1965. Upper Sacramento River Basin Investigation. California Department of Water Resources. Bulletin 150.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1966. Precipitation in the Central Valley. Coordinated Statewide Planning Program. California Department of Water Resources, Sacramento District. Office Report.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1968. Water Well Standards-Shasta County, California. California Department of Water Resources. Bulletin 74-8.

- California Department of Water Resources. 1975. California's Ground Water. California Department of Water Resources. Bulletin 118.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1975. Progress Report Sacramento And Redding Basins Groundwater Study. California Department of Water Resources, Northern and Central Districts, in cooperation with the U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 118.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1978. Evaluation of Groundwater Resources: Sacramento Valley. Department of Water Resources in cooperation with the United States Geological Survey. Appendix A. Bulletin 118-6.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1980. Ground Water Basins in California. California Department of Water Resources. Bulletin 118-80.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1984. Eastern Shasta County Ground Water Study. California Department of Water Resources. Northern District Report.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1987. Progress Report Sacramento and Redding Basins Ground Water Study. California Department of Water Resources, Northern and Central Districts, in cooperation with the U.S. Geological Survey.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1995. Sacramento Valley Groundwater Quality Investigation. California Department of Water Resources, Northern District.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1996. Groundwater Levels in the Redding Groundwater Basin. California Department of Water Resources, Northern District.
- California Department of Water Resources. 1998. California Water Plan Update. California Department of Water Resources Bulletin 160-98 Volumes 1 and 2.
- CH2M Hill. 1975. Redding Regional Water Supply Alternatives for Shasta County Water Agency, City of Redding, Enterprise Public Utility District, Cascade Community Services District, and Bella Vista Water District. Engineering Report.
- CH2M Hill. 2001. Redding Basin Water Resources Management Plan, Phase 2B Report, Prepared for Redding Area Water Council.
- Dickinson WR, Ingersoll RV, Grahm SA. 1979. Paleogene Sediment Dispersal and Paleotectonics in Northern California. Geological Society of America Bulletin 90:1458-1528.
- Diller JA. 1906. Description of the Redding Quadrangle (California). USGS. 138. 14 p.
- Fogelman RP, Evenson KD. 1984. Water Resources Monitoring in the Cottonwood Creek Area, Shasta and Tehama Counties, California, 1982-1983. USGS. Water Resources Investigations 84-4187.
- Fratlicelli LA, Albers JP, Irwin WP, Blake MC. 1987. Geologic Map of the Redding 1 x 2 Degree Quadrangle, Shasta, Tehama, Humboldt, and Trinity Counties, California. USGS. OF-87-257.
- Hinds NEA. 1933. Geologic Formations of the Redding and Weaverville Districts, Northern California. California Journal of Mines and Geology 29(1):76-122.
- Planert M, Williams JS. 1995. Ground Water Atlas of the United States, Segment 1, California, Nevada. USGS. HA-730-B.
- Steele WC. 1980. Quaternary Stream Terraces in the Northwestern Sacramento Valley, Glenn, Tehama, and Shasta Counties, California. USGS.
- Strand RG. 1963. Geologic Atlas of California [Redding Sheet]. California Division of Mines and Geology.

## Errata

Changes made to the basin description will be noted here.