DocuSign Envelope ID: 13367F11-3EEF-4BC5-8FE2-91DEFAA83711 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

| | ECONOMIC IMPA | CISIAIENENI | |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| DEPARTMENT NAME | CONTACT PERSON | EMAIL ADDRESS | TELEPHONE NUMBER |
| Department of Water Resources | Kristen Martin | kmartin@water.ca.gov | 916-712-6623 |
| DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Architectural and Engineering contracting | g | | NOTICE FILE NUMBER Z 2023-0913-01 |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAGE | CTS Include calculations and a | assumptions in the rulemaking record. | · |
| 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate a. Impacts business and/or employees b. Impacts small businesses c. Impacts jobs or occupations d. Impacts California competitiveness If any box in Items 1 and | e whether this regulation: e. Imposes reporting f. Imposes presored g. Impacts indivities h. None of the a See attached a through g is checked, come | orting requirements criptive instead of performance | sis (EFIA) |
| 2. The Department of Water Resource (Agency/Department) Below \$10 million Between \$10 and \$25 million Between \$25 and \$50 million Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is | estimates that the eco | onomic impact of this regulation (which includ guired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Imp</u> | |
| 3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp | 0 rofits): <u>See attached EFIA</u> , | section 4.1.7 | |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: | N/A | | |
| 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea | | eliminated: <u>0</u> | |
| Explain: See attached EFIA, section 4.1 | <u>.7</u> | | |
| 6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0 | and eliminated: 0 | roction 4.1.6 | |
| Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa | cted: <u>See attached EFIA, s</u> | section 4.1.0 | |
| 7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc If YES, explain briefly: | e goods or services here? | ☐ YES ※ NO | |
| | | | |

DocuSign Envelope ID: 13367F11-3EEF-4BC5-8FE2-91DEFAA83711 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| В. | B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the n | rulemaking record. | |
|----|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| 1. | What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individu | uals may incur to comply with this regulation over its | s lifetime? \$ 0 |
| | a. Initial costs for a small business: \$0 | Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 | Years: N/A |
| | b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$0 | Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 | Years: N/A |
| | c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0 | Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 | Years: N/A |
| | d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Potential for | minor changes in A&E proposal costs. Se | ee attached EFIA, section 4.1.1 |
| 2. | 2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for e | each industry: N/A | |
| | 3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual control include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, a | | |
| 4. | 4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? | NO | |
| | If YES, enter the | annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$ | |
| | | Number of units: | |
| 5. | 5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$ | | |
| | Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence | of Federal regulations: These regulations clari | fy the A&E contracting |
| | process of a state agency. No federal regulations g | overn that. | |
| | Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be | pe due to State - Federal differences: \$ N/A | |
| c. | C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is | not specifically required by rulemaking law, but end | couraged. |
| 1. | . Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: See attached EFIA, section 4.1.2. | | |
| | | | |
| 2. | 2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or | goals developed by the agency based on broa | ad statutory authority? |
| | Explain: See attached EFIA, section 4.1.2. | | |
| 3. | 3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its life | time? \$ <u>0</u> | |
| 4. | 4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business | s within the State of California that would result fron | n this regulation: N/A |
| | | | |
| D. | D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged. | assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation o | f the dollar value of benefits is not |
| 1. | List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternative | res were considered, explain why not: There are I | no alternatives to the |
| ., | proposed regulation that would be less burdenso | | |
| | clarifies the Department's procurement process au | uthority. See attached EFIA section 4.2 | for more info. |

DocuSign Envelope ID: 13367F11-3EEF-4BC5-8FE2-91DEFAA83711 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| | Economic III | in her strikelit (commed) |
|----------|--|--|
| 2. | Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this reg | ulation and each alternative considered: |
| | Regulation: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ | |
| | Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ N/A Cost: \$ N | N/A |
| | Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ N/A Cost: \$ N | J/A |
| 3. | Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a co of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alterna | |
| | such changes are unlikely and so not quantif | |
| | | |
| 4. | Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance staregulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equip | ment, or prescribes specific |
| | actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered | at to force compliance costs. |
| | | ndate the use of specific technologies or equipment, nor prescribes |
| | specific actions or procedures. | |
| <u> </u> | MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumption | ons in the rulemaking record. |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to |
| 1 | Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California busines | senterprises exceed \$10 million ? YES NO |
| ١. | | If YES, complete E2. and E3 |
| | | If NO, skip to E4 |
| 2. | Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternative | |
| | Alternative 1: | |
| | Alternative 2: | |
| | (Attach additional pages for other alternatives) | |
| 3. | For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter | the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio: |
| | Regulation: Total Cost \$ | Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ |
| | Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ | Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ |
| | Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ | Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ |
| 4. | | onomic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California ate the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months ed? |
| | YES X NO | |
| | If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulator</u> Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in t | |
| 5. | Briefly describe the following: | |
| | The increase or decrease of investment in the State: | N/A. See attached EFIA section 4.1.13 |
| | | |
| | The incentive for innovation in products, materials or process | es: N/A. See attached EFIA section 4.1.13 |
| | | |
| | The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, l | |
| | residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and qua- section 4.1.13 | lity of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: N/A. See attached EFIA |
| | ACCUSED THE PROPERTY OF THE PR | |

DocuSign Envelope ID: 13367F11-3EEF-4BC5-8FE2-91DEFAA83711 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

| | FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNM urrent year and two subsequent Fiscal Ye | | through 6 and attach calculations and assun | nptions of fiscal impact for the |
|----------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| | Additional expenditures in the curren (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of | t State Fiscal Year which are reimb of the California Constitution and ! | oursable by the State. (Approximate) Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Cod | e). |
| | \$ | | | |
| | a. Funding provided in | | | _ |
| | Budget Act of | or Chapter | , Statutes of | _ |
| | b. Funding will be requested in the | Governor's Budget Act of | | - |
| | | Fiscal Year: | | |
| | (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of | of the California Constitution and S | eimbursable by the State. (Approximate) Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code | ≘). |
| | \$Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimi | | de informações | |
| | a. Implements the Federal mandate | | te information: | |
| | a. Implements the rederal mandate | | | |
| | b. Implements the court mandate so | et forth by the | | Court. |
| | | | | |
| | Case of: | | vs. | |
| | c. Implements a mandate of the peo | ople of this State expressed in thei | r approval of Proposition No. | |
| | Date of Election: | | | |
| | d. Issued only in response to a speci | | | |
| | Local entity(s) affected: | me request nom anected local ene | , | |
| | Local entity(s) affected | | | |
| | _ | | | |
| | e. Will be fully financed from the fee | es, revenue, etc. from: | | |
| | Authorized by Section: | | _ of the | Code; |
| | f. Provides for savings to each affec | ted unit of local government whic | ch will, at a minimum, offset any additional cos | ts to each; |
| | g. Creates, eliminates, or changes th | ne penalty for a new crime or infra | ction contained in | |
| | 3. Annual Savings. (approximate) | | | |
| | \$ | | | |
| \times | 4. No additional costs or savings. This regu | ulation makes only technical, non-su | ubstantive or clarifying changes to current law re | gulations. |
| | 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation o | does not affect any local entity or pr | rogram. | |
| | 6. Other. Explain | | | |

DocuSign Envelope ID: 13367F11-3EEF-4BC5-8FE2-91DEFAA83711 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. | sumptions of fiscal impact for the current |
|--|--|
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| It is anticipated that State agencies will: | |
| a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources. | |
| b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year | |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program. | |
| 🖂 4. Other. Explain The intent of the proposed regulation is to provide clarity so no direct f | iscal impacts are expected. |
| See attached EFIA section 4.1.10 for more information. | |
| C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attain impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. | ch calculations and assumptions of fiscal |
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| | |
| \$ | |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program. | |
| 4. Other. Explain | |
| | |
| | |
| FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE | DATE |
| | 10/2/2023 |
| The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect | |
| he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta. highest ranking official in the organization. | ry must have the form signed by the |
| AGENCY SECRETARY | DATE |
| Bryan Cash | 9/21/2023 |
| Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im | pact Statement in the STD. 399. |
| DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER | DATE |
| | |
| | I . |